

# Logics of space with contact and connectedness predicates: complete axiomatizations of the universal fragments

Tinko Tinchev

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics  
Sofia University

Supported by contract DID02/32 with Bulgarian NFS  
Theories of space and time: algebraic, topological and logical approaches

International Workshop on Topological Methods in Logic II  
June 8–10, Tbilisi, Georgia

The aim of this talk is to present axiomatizations of some region-based theories

and to show their completeness with respect to three different semantics: topological, algebraic and relational (Kripke structures).

The aim of this talk is to present axiomatizations of some region-based theories

and to show their completeness with respect to three different semantics: topological, algebraic and relational (Kripke structures).

Let  $\mathcal{T} = \langle T, \tau \rangle$  be a topological space. The set of regular closed sets in  $\mathcal{T}$  form a Boolean algebra under the set-theoretical inclusion,  $RC(\mathcal{T})$ .

Let us remind:  $0 = \emptyset$ ,  $1 = T$ ,  $X_1 \sqcup X_2 = X_1 \cup X_2$ ,  
 $X_1 \sqcap X_2 = Cl(Int(X_1 \cap X_2))$ ,  $X^* = Cl(T \setminus X)$

The regions: the elements of the Boolean algebra  $RC(\mathcal{T})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T} = \langle T, \tau \rangle$  be a topological space. The set of regular closed sets in  $\mathcal{T}$  form a Boolean algebra under the set-theoretical inclusion,  $RC(\mathcal{T})$ .

Let us remind:  $0 = \emptyset$ ,  $1 = T$ ,  $X_1 \sqcup X_2 = X_1 \cup X_2$ ,  
 $X_1 \sqcap X_2 = Cl(Int(X_1 \cap X_2))$ ,  $X^* = Cl(T \setminus X)$

The regions: the elements of the Boolean algebra  $RC(\mathcal{T})$ .

When  $\mathcal{T}$  is  $\mathbb{R}^m$  for some positive integer  $m$ , an important kind of regions are the polytops in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ : the subalgebra,  $PRC(\mathbb{R}^m)$ , of  $RC(\mathbb{R}^m)$  generated by the set of simple polytops. Where a simple polytop is a region which is intersection of finitely many closed half-spaces.

# $k$ -contact

Let  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , be regions. They are in  $k$ -contact,  $\mathbf{C}^k(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  iff  $a_1 \cap \dots \cap a_k \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $k = 2$ , then  $\mathbf{C}^2$  is the standard contact relation,  $\mathbf{C}$ .

$\mathfrak{A}_B = (B, \mathbf{C}^2, \mathbf{C}^3, \dots)$ , where  $B$  is a Boolean algebra of regions or polytops.

The first order language  $\mathcal{L}$  is the extension of the language of the Boolean algebras,  $0, 1, \sqcup, \sqcap, *$  with the binary predicate  $\mathbf{C}^2$ ,  $\mathcal{L}'$  is the extension of  $\mathcal{L}$  with the set of  $k$ -ary predicate symbols  $\mathbf{C}^k$  for all  $k > 2$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a class of Boolean algebras of regions or polytops and

$Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}') = \{\phi \mid \mathfrak{A}_B \models \phi, B \in \mathcal{K}, \phi \text{ is universal sentence from } \mathcal{L}'\}$

Let  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , be regions. They are in  $k$ -contact,  $\mathbf{C}^k(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  iff  $a_1 \cap \dots \cap a_k \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $k = 2$ , then  $\mathbf{C}^2$  is the standard contact relation,  $\mathbf{C}$ .

$\mathfrak{A}_B = (B, \mathbf{C}^2, \mathbf{C}^3, \dots)$ , where  $B$  is a Boolean algebra of regions or polytops.

The first order language  $\mathcal{L}$  is the extension of the language of the Boolean algebras,  $0, 1, \sqcup, \sqcap, *$  with the binary predicate  $\mathbf{C}^2$ ,  $\mathcal{L}'$  is the extension of  $\mathcal{L}$  with the set of  $k$ -ary predicate symbols  $\mathbf{C}^k$  for all  $k > 2$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a class of Boolean algebras of regions or polytops and

$Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}') = \{\phi \mid \mathfrak{A}_B \models \phi, B \in \mathcal{K}, \phi \text{ is universal sentence from } \mathcal{L}'\}$



Let  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , be regions. They are in  $k$ -contact,  $C^k(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  iff  $a_1 \cap \dots \cap a_k \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $k = 2$ , then  $C^2$  is the standard contact relation,  $C$ .

$\mathfrak{A}_B = (B, C^2, C^3, \dots)$ , where  $B$  is a Boolean algebra of regions or polytops.

The first order language  $\mathcal{L}$  is the extension of the language of the Boolean algebras,  $0, 1, \sqcup, \sqcap, *$  with the binary predicate  $C^2$ ,  $\mathcal{L}'$  is the extension of  $\mathcal{L}$  with the set of  $k$ -ary predicate symbols  $C^k$  for all  $k > 2$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a class of Boolean algebras of regions or polytops and

$Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}') = \{\phi \mid \mathfrak{A}_B \models \phi, B \in \mathcal{K}, \phi \text{ is universal sentence from } \mathcal{L}'\}$

Let  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , be regions. They are in  $k$ -contact,  $\mathbf{C}^k(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  iff  $a_1 \cap \dots \cap a_k \neq \emptyset$ .  
If  $k = 2$ , then  $\mathbf{C}^2$  is the standard contact relation,  $C$ .

$\mathfrak{A}_B = (B, \mathbf{C}^2, \mathbf{C}^3, \dots)$ , where  $B$  is a Boolean algebra of regions or polytops.

The first order language  $\mathcal{L}$  is the extension of the language of the Boolean algebras,  $0, 1, \sqcup, \sqcap, *$  with the binary predicate  $\mathbf{C}^2$ ,  $\mathcal{L}'$  is the extension of  $\mathcal{L}$  with the set of  $k$ -ary predicate symbols  $\mathbf{C}^k$  for all  $k > 2$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a class of Boolean algebras of regions or polytops and

$Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}') = \{\phi \mid \mathfrak{A}_B \models \phi, B \in \mathcal{K}, \phi \text{ is universal sentence from } \mathcal{L}'\}$

Our aim is to axiomatize:

1.  $Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}_{all}, \mathcal{L}')$ , where  $\mathcal{K}_{all}$  is the class of all  $RC(\mathcal{T})$
2.  $Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}_{connected}, \mathcal{L}')$ , where  $\mathcal{K}_{connected}$  is the class of all  $RC(\mathcal{T})$  for connected topological spaces  $\mathcal{T}$
3.  $Th_{\forall}(RC(\mathbb{R}^m), \mathcal{L}')$ ,  $m \geq 1$
4.  $Th_{\forall}(PRC(\mathbb{R}^m), \mathcal{L}')$ ,  $m > 1$
5.  $Th_{\forall}(PRC(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}')$  and to give a new proof of:
6.  $Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}_{connected}, \mathcal{L}') = Th_{\forall}(RC(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}') = Th_{\forall}(RC(\mathbb{R}^m), \mathcal{L}') = Th_{\forall}(PRC(\mathbb{R}^m), \mathcal{L}')$ ,  $m \geq 2$

Our aim is to axiomatize:

1.  $Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}_{all}, \mathcal{L}')$ , where  $\mathcal{K}_{all}$  is the class of all  $RC(\mathcal{T})$
2.  $Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}_{connected}, \mathcal{L}')$ , where  $\mathcal{K}_{connected}$  is the class of all  $RC(\mathcal{T})$  for connected topological spaces  $\mathcal{T}$
3.  $Th_{\forall}(RC(\mathbb{R}^m), \mathcal{L}')$ ,  $m \geq 1$
4.  $Th_{\forall}(PRC(\mathbb{R}^m), \mathcal{L}')$ ,  $m > 1$
5.  $Th_{\forall}(PRC(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}')$  and to give a new proof of:
6.  $Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}_{connected}, \mathcal{L}') = Th_{\forall}(RC(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}') = Th_{\forall}(RC(\mathbb{R}^m), \mathcal{L}') = Th_{\forall}(PRC(\mathbb{R}^m), \mathcal{L}')$ ,  $m \geq 2$

Let  $T_{all}$  be

- the set an universal axiomatization of the Boolean algebras
- the axioms for the equality in  $\mathcal{L}' +$
- universal closure of the following formulas

$$C^k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (x_i \neq 0)$$

$$C^k(x_1, \dots, x' \sqcup x'', \dots, x_k) \leftrightarrow$$

$$\bigwedge C^k(x_1, \dots, x', \dots, x_k) \vee C^k(x_1, \dots, x'', \dots, x_k), 1 \leq i \leq k$$

$$(x \neq 0) \rightarrow C^2(x, \dots, x)$$

$C^k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \rightarrow C^k(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)})$ , where  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $1, \dots, k$

$$C^k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_k)$$

$$C^{k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \rightarrow C^k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

Let  $T_{all}$  be

- the set an universal axiomatization of the Boolean algebras
- the axioms for the equality in  $\mathcal{L}' +$
- universal closure of the following formulas

$$C^k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (x_i \neq 0)$$

$$C^k(x_1, \dots, x' \sqcup x'', \dots, x_k) \leftrightarrow$$

$$\bigwedge C^k(x_1, \dots, x', \dots, x_k) \vee C^k(x_1, \dots, x'', \dots, x_k), 1 \leq i \leq k$$

$$(x \neq 0) \rightarrow C^2(x, \dots, x)$$

$C^k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \rightarrow C^k(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)})$ , where  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $1, \dots, k$

$$C^k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_k)$$

$$C^{k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \rightarrow C^k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

Let  $T_{all}$  be

- the set an universal axiomatization of the Boolean algebras
- the axioms for the equality in  $\mathcal{L}' +$
- universal closure of the following formulas

$$C^k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (x_i \neq 0)$$

$$C^k(x_1, \dots, x' \sqcup x'', \dots, x_k) \leftrightarrow$$

$$\bigwedge C^k(x_1, \dots, x', \dots, x_k) \vee C^k(x_1, \dots, x'', \dots, x_k), 1 \leq i \leq k$$

$$(x \neq 0) \rightarrow C^2(x, \dots, x)$$

$C^k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \rightarrow C^k(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)})$ , where  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $1, \dots, k$

$$C^k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_k)$$

$$C^{k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \rightarrow C^k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

## Theorem

Let  $\phi$  be an universal sentence from  $\mathcal{L}'$ . Then

$$T_{all} \vdash \phi \iff \phi \in Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}_{all}, \mathcal{L}')$$

Let  $T_{connected}$  be  $T_{all} + \forall x((x \neq 0) \wedge (x \neq 1) \rightarrow C^2(x, x^*))$

## Theorem

Let  $\phi$  be an universal sentence from  $\mathcal{L}'$ . Then

$$T_{connected} \vdash \phi \iff \phi \in Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}_{connected}, \mathcal{L}')$$



## Theorem

Let  $\phi$  be an universal sentence from  $\mathcal{L}'$ . Then

$$T_{all} \vdash \phi \iff \phi \in Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}_{all}, \mathcal{L}')$$

Let  $T_{connected}$  be  $T_{all} + \forall x((x \neq 0) \wedge (x \neq 1) \rightarrow C^2(x, x^*))$

## Theorem

Let  $\phi$  be an universal sentence from  $\mathcal{L}'$ . Then

$$T_{connected} \vdash \phi \iff \phi \in Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}_{connected}, \mathcal{L}')$$

### Theorem (K., P., Z.)

$$Th_{\forall}(\mathcal{K}_{connected}, \mathcal{L}') = Th_{\forall}(RC(\mathbb{R}^m), \mathcal{L}') = Th_{\forall}(PRC(\mathbb{R}^m), \mathcal{L}') = Th_{\forall}(RC(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}'), m \geq 2$$

Let  $T_1$  be  $T_{connected}$  + the universal closure of  
 $C^3(x_1, x_2, x_3) \rightarrow \bigvee_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (x_i \sqcap x_j \neq 0)$  and  
 $C^{k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \rightarrow \bigvee_{1 \leq i < j \leq k+1} C^k(x_i \sqcap x_j, \dots)$

## Theorem

Let  $\phi$  be an universal sentence from  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then

$$T_1 \vdash \phi \iff \phi \in Th_{\forall}(PRC(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}')$$

To use more or less standard techniques from classical model theory.

## Idea II: modal approach (Vakarelov)

We consider the open formulas of the language  $\mathcal{L}$  as modal formulas:

there are two type of syntactical objects — Boolean terms constructed from the Boolean constants 0, 1 and countably many Boolean variables by means of the Boolean operations. the (modal) formulas are build from atomic formulas —  $a \leq b$  and  $C(a, b)$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are Boolean terms — by means of the propositional connections

## Relational semantics

Kripke frame and model are standard notions.

Any Boolean term  $a$  has a value  $V(a) \subseteq W$  in the model  $\mathcal{M} = (W, R, V)$  defined by induction.

Truth value of the atomic formula:

$\mathcal{M} \models (a \leq b)$  iff  $V(a) \subseteq V(b)$

$\mathcal{M} \models C(a, b)$  iff  $\exists x \exists y (x \in V(a) \& y \in V(b) \& xRy)$

Now, the truth value of an arbitrary formula is defined as usual by induction.

**Algebraic semantics** is given in Boolean algebras with binary relation in a standard way.

**Topological semantics** is given by the Boolean algebras of the type  $RC(\mathcal{T})$  in a standard way.

## Relational semantics

Kripke frame and model are standard notions.

Any Boolean term  $a$  has a value  $V(a) \subseteq W$  in the model

$\mathcal{M} = (W, R, V)$  defined by induction.

Truth value of the atomic formula:

$\mathcal{M} \models (a \leq b)$  iff  $V(a) \subseteq V(b)$

$\mathcal{M} \models C(a, b)$  iff  $\exists x \exists y (x \in V(a) \& y \in V(b) \& xRy)$

Now, the truth value of an arbitrary formula is defined as usual by induction.

**Algebraic semantics** is given in Boolean algebras with binary relation in a standard way.

**Topological semantics** is given by the Boolean algebras of the type  $RC(\mathcal{T})$  in a standard way.

## Relational semantics

Kripke frame and model are standard notions.

Any Boolean term  $a$  has a value  $V(a) \subseteq W$  in the model

$\mathcal{M} = (W, R, V)$  defined by induction.

Truth value of the atomic formula:

$\mathcal{M} \models (a \leq b)$  iff  $V(a) \subseteq V(b)$

$\mathcal{M} \models C(a, b)$  iff  $\exists x \exists y (x \in V(a) \& y \in V(b) \& xRy)$

Now, the truth value of an arbitrary formula is defined as usual by induction.

**Algebraic semantics** is given in Boolean algebras with binary relation in a standard way.

**Topological semantics** is given by the Boolean algebras of the type  $RC(\mathcal{T})$  in a standard way.



## Relational semantics

Kripke frame and model are standard notions.

Any Boolean term  $a$  has a value  $V(a) \subseteq W$  in the model

$\mathcal{M} = (W, R, V)$  defined by induction.

Truth value of the atomic formula:

$\mathcal{M} \models (a \leq b)$  iff  $V(a) \subseteq V(b)$

$\mathcal{M} \models C(a, b)$  iff  $\exists x \exists y (x \in V(a) \& y \in V(b) \& xRy)$

Now, the truth value of an arbitrary formula is defined as usual by induction.

**Algebraic semantics** is given in Boolean algebras with binary relation in a standard way.

**Topological semantics** is given by the Boolean algebras of the type  $RC(\mathcal{T})$  in a standard way.

## Relational semantics

Kripke frame and model are standard notions.

Any Boolean term  $a$  has a value  $V(a) \subseteq W$  in the model  $\mathcal{M} = (W, R, V)$  defined by induction.

Truth value of the atomic formula:

$\mathcal{M} \models (a \leq b)$  iff  $V(a) \subseteq V(b)$

$\mathcal{M} \models C(a, b)$  iff  $\exists x \exists y (x \in V(a) \& y \in V(b) \& xRy)$

Now, the truth value of an arbitrary formula is defined as usual by induction.

**Algebraic semantics** is given in Boolean algebras with binary relation in a standard way.

**Topological semantics** is given by the Boolean algebras of the type  $RC(\mathcal{T})$  in a standard way.

Formal system  $L$  for the modal formulas:

Axioms — corresponding to the matrices of the given axioms

Rule of inference: (MP)

### Theorem (B., T., V.)

*For any modal formula  $\phi$  the following conditions are equivalent*

*(i)  $\phi$  is a theorem of  $L$*

*(ii)  $\phi$  is true in the class of all (finite) Kripke frames with reflexive and symmetric relation*

*(iii)  $\phi$  is true in the class of all (finite) contact Boolean algebras*

*(iv)  $\phi$  is true in the class of all  $RC(\mathcal{T})$ .*

The same is true when  $L_1 = L + (a \neq 0, 1 \rightarrow C(a, a^*))$  and we add in (ii) connectedness of the frames, in (iii) — the corresponding condition, and in (iv) — connectedness of the topological spaces.

Formal system  $L$  for the modal formulas:

Axioms — corresponding to the matrices of the given axioms

Rule of inference: (MP)

### Theorem (B., T., V.)

*For any modal formula  $\phi$  the following conditions are equivalent*

*(i)  $\phi$  is a theorem of  $L$*

*(ii)  $\phi$  is true in the class of all (finite) Kripke frames with reflexive and symmetric relation*

*(iii)  $\phi$  is true in the class of all (finite) contact Boolean algebras*

*(iv)  $\phi$  is true in the class of all  $RC(\mathcal{T})$ .*

The same is true when  $L_1 = L + (a \neq 0, 1 \rightarrow C(a, a^*))$  and we add in (ii) connectedness of the frames, in (iii) — the corresponding condition, and in (iv) — connectedness of the topological spaces.

The above theorem(s) can be easily modified for the language  $\mathcal{L}'$ . But the cases concerning  $RC(\mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $PRC(\mathbb{R}^m)$  require additional efforts.

Roughly speaking, for a given finite model over the frame for the logic we have to find appropriated p-morphic preimage which can be “realized” in  $PRC(\mathbb{R}^m)$ .

# The predicate connectedness

In the  $RC(\mathcal{T})$  one of the predicates with a good meaning is the unary predicate  $c$ :  $c(a)$ , where  $a \in RC(\mathcal{T})$ , iff  $a$  is connected subset.

Now in the modal approach we add one more type of atomic formulas:  $c(a)$

Kripke semantics:  $\mathcal{M} \models c(a)$  iff  $V(a)$  is a connected subset of  $W$  (in the graph theory sense).

Let  $L^c$  be the extension of  $L$  with the axiom

$c(a) \wedge a = p \sqcup q \wedge p, q \neq 0 \rightarrow C(p, q)$

and the rule

$$\frac{\alpha \wedge p \sqcup q = a \wedge p, q \neq 0 \rightarrow C(p, q)}{\alpha \rightarrow c(a)},$$

where  $p, q$  are different Boolean variables not occurring in the term  $a$  and in the formula  $\alpha$ .

# The predicate connectedness

In the  $RC(\mathcal{T})$  one of the predicates with a good meaning is the unary predicate  $c$ :  $c(a)$ , where  $a \in RC(\mathcal{T})$ , iff  $a$  is connected subset.

Now in the modal approach we add one more type of atomic formulas:  $c(a)$

Kripke semantics:  $\mathcal{M} \models c(a)$  iff  $V(a)$  is a connected subset of  $W$  (in the graph theory sense).

Let  $L^c$  be the extension of  $L$  with the axiom

$c(a) \wedge a = p \sqcup q \wedge p, q \neq 0 \rightarrow C(p, q)$

and the rule

$$\frac{\alpha \wedge p \sqcup q = a \wedge p, q \neq 0 \rightarrow C(p, q)}{\alpha \rightarrow c(a)},$$

where  $p, q$  are different Boolean variables not occurring in the term  $a$  and in the formula  $\alpha$ .

# The predicate connectedness

In the  $RC(\mathcal{T})$  one of the predicates with a good meaning is the unary predicate  $c$ :  $c(a)$ , where  $a \in RC(\mathcal{T})$ , iff  $a$  is connected subset.

Now in the modal approach we add one more type of atomic formulas:  $c(a)$

Kripke semantics:  $\mathcal{M} \models c(a)$  iff  $V(a)$  is a connected subset of  $W$  (in the graph theory sense).

Let  $L^c$  be the extension of  $L$  with the axiom

$$c(a) \wedge a = p \sqcup q \wedge p, q \neq 0 \rightarrow C(p, q)$$

and the rule

$$\frac{\alpha \wedge p \sqcup q = a \wedge p, q \neq 0 \rightarrow C(p, q)}{\alpha \rightarrow c(a)},$$

where  $p, q$  are different Boolean variables not occurring in the term  $a$  and in the formula  $\alpha$ .



# The predicate connectedness

In the  $RC(\mathcal{T})$  one of the predicates with a good meaning is the unary predicate  $c$ :  $c(a)$ , where  $a \in RC(\mathcal{T})$ , iff  $a$  is connected subset.

Now in the modal approach we add one more type of atomic formulas:  $c(a)$

Kripke semantics:  $\mathcal{M} \models c(a)$  iff  $V(a)$  is a connected subset of  $W$  (in the graph theory sense).

Let  $L^c$  be the extension of  $L$  with the axiom

$c(a) \wedge a = p \sqcup q \wedge p, q \neq 0 \rightarrow C(p, q)$

and the rule

$$\frac{\alpha \wedge p \sqcup q = a \wedge p, q \neq 0 \rightarrow C(p, q)}{\alpha \rightarrow c(a)},$$

where  $p, q$  are different Boolean variables not occurring in the term  $a$  and in the formula  $\alpha$ .

## Theorem

*The logic  $L^c$  is complete with respect to*

- (i) the class of all (finite) Kripke frames*
- (ii) the class of all algebras of the type  $RC(\mathbb{R}^3)^A$ , where  $A$  is a polytop in  $\mathbb{R}^3$*
- (iii) the class of all topological spaces*

Let  $L_1^c = L^c + (a \neq 0, 1 \rightarrow C(a, a^*))$ .

## Theorem

*The logic  $L_1^c$  is complete with respect to*

- (i) the class of all (finite) Kripke frames connected in graph theory sense*
- (ii) the class of all algebras of the type  $RC(\mathbb{R}^3)^A$ , where  $A$  is a connected polytop in  $\mathbb{R}^3$*
- (iii) the class of all connected topological spaces.*

# One application of $L_1^c$

Let us define the predicates  $SC$  and  $Sc$  in  $PRC(\mathbb{R}^2)$  in the following way

$Sc(A)$  iff  $Int(A)$  is connected in topological sense

$SC(A, B)$  iff  $(\exists A' \leq A)(\exists B' \leq B)(A, B \neq 0 \wedge Sc(A' \cup B'))$

Let  $L_2 = L_1^c +$  the following two axioms

$$\neg \left( \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq 5} (x_i \neq 0 \wedge c(x_i)) \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq 5} (C(x_i, x_j) \wedge (x_i \sqcap x_j = 0)) \right)$$

$$\neg \left( \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq 6} (x_i \neq 0 \wedge c(x_i)) \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq 6} (x_i \sqcap x_j = 0) \wedge \bigwedge_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3 \\ 4 \leq j \leq 6}} (C(x_i, x_j)) \right)$$

# One application of $L_1^c$

Let us define the predicates  $SC$  and  $Sc$  in  $PRC(\mathbb{R}^2)$  in the following way

$Sc(A)$  iff  $Int(A)$  is connected in topological sense

$SC(A, B)$  iff  $(\exists A' \leq A)(\exists B' \leq B)(A, B \neq 0 \wedge Sc(A' \cup B'))$

Let  $L_2 = L_1^c +$  the following two axioms

$$\neg \left( \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq 5} (x_i \neq 0 \wedge c(x_i)) \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq 5} (C(x_i, x_j) \wedge (x_i \sqcap x_j = 0)) \right)$$

$$\neg \left( \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq 6} (x_i \neq 0 \wedge c(x_i)) \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq 6} (x_i \sqcap x_j = 0) \wedge \bigwedge_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3 \\ 4 \leq j \leq 6}} (C(x_i, x_j)) \right)$$

## Theorem

*The logic  $L_2$  is complete with respect to the structure  $\langle PRC(\mathbb{R}^2), SC, Sc \rangle$*