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#### Abstract

A probability density functional (nonlinear and unbounded, generally speaking) is considered. The consistency and asymptotic normality conditions are established for the plug-in-estimator. A convergence order estimator is obtained.     


## 1. Introduction

Problems of probability density estimation are the subject of investigation of many scientists. Interesting results were obtained for various classes of functionals. Both the bounded functional estimators ( $[1-5]$ ) and the unbounded have been studied (in particular, Fisher information and Shannon entropy integral functional [6-8]).

In [9], L. Goldstein and K. Messer analyzed the general probability density functional and the regression function functionals. General estimation results were obtained. An attempt of a general approach was also made in [10] for the Gasser-Müller regression function.

The present paper deals with the case, where the functional is of a general form. In particular, it may be nonlinear, or unbounded. In this case a class of functionals is identified for which a plug-in-estimator is valid and the consistency and asymptotic normality of the estimator is shown.

Let $X$ be a random variable with an unknown distribution density $f(x)$. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a sample of independent copies of $X$. Further, let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a functional defined on a subspace $\mathcal{L} \subset L_{2}(R)$ having a second order derivative. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{L}$ and hence we believe that $\mathfrak{M} f$ exists. Our aim is to study the problem of consistence of the estimator $\mathfrak{M} f$ with the help of a plug-in-estimator, $\mathfrak{M} \widehat{f}_{n}$, where $\widehat{f}_{n}$ is an estimator of $f$.

[^0]In order to estimate $f$ and its derivatives we use the Rosenblatt-Parzen probability density kernel estimators (see [11-13]) having the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{f}_{n}^{(j)}(x)=\frac{1}{n h_{n}^{j+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K^{(j)}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right), j=0,1, \ldots, m \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{n}$ a sequence of vanishing positive numbers, $K(x)$ is a function with density properties.

The stated problem considers the case including both bounded functional estimators (integral or other type), information and entropy functional.

## 2. Notation and Conditions

Here we introduce the notation and some conditions that will be needed in the sequel. Let $X$ be a random variable with a probability distribution density $f(x)$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:
( $\boldsymbol{f} \mathbf{1}) f(x)$ has continuous derivatives of the $m$-th order inclusively and for a $C_{f}>0$, we have

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|f^{(i)}(x)\right| \leq C_{f}<\infty, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, m
$$

$(f 2)$ a strictly increasing continuous function $H(x)$, exists such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|y| \leq x} \frac{1}{f(y)} \leq H(x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider a real-valued function $K(x) \geq 0$ and assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
( $\boldsymbol{k} \mathbf{1}$ ) the support of the function $K(x)$ is compact;
(k2) $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x) d x=1$;
(k3) $-\infty$
In particular, these conditions imply that for $C_{K}>0$, we have

$$
\left|K^{(i)}(x)\right| \leq C_{K}<\infty, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, m
$$

For the sequences $h_{n}$ we assume the condition
(h) $h_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, is a sequence of positive monotonically vanishing numbers, such that

$$
h_{n} \geq \frac{c \log n}{n} \text { for } c>0
$$

It is known (see [14]) that under the conditions $(f 1),(f 2),(k 1)-(k 3)$ and ( $\boldsymbol{h}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|\widehat{f}_{n}(x)-E \widehat{f}_{n}(x)\right|=O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\left|\log h_{n}\right| \vee \log \log n}}{\sqrt{n h_{n}}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with probability 1.
For the functional $\mathfrak{M}$ we assume the following. Let $W_{m}=W_{m}(R)$ be a Sobolev space of functions from $L_{2}(R)$ with continuous derivatives up to the $m$-th order, inclusively, with the norm

$$
\|g\|_{m}=\sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|g^{(j)}(x)\right|^{2} d x}
$$

In the space $W_{m}$ we have a scalar product

$$
\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)_{m}=\sum_{j=0}^{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{1}^{(j)}(x) g_{2}^{(j)}(x) d x
$$

We consider the functional $\mathfrak{M}$ having the following properties:
(M1) the functional $\mathfrak{M}$ is defined on the subspace $\mathcal{L} \subset W_{m}$;
(M2) there exists a $\mathfrak{M} f$;
( $\mathfrak{M 3 )}$ there exists functionals $\mathfrak{M}_{k}, k=0,1, \ldots$, and a sequence $s_{k} \rightarrow \infty$, such that
(i) the domain of definition of the functional $\mathfrak{M}_{k}$ is $\mathcal{L}_{k}=$ $W_{m}\left(\left[-s_{k} ; s_{k}\right]\right)$ for every $k=1,2, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{k}$ and is canonically viewed as a subspace of $\mathcal{L}$;
(ii) $\widehat{f}_{n} \in \mathcal{L}_{k}$ for every $k=1,2, \ldots$;
(iii) for any $g \in \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{M}_{k} g \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} g$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$;
(iv) the functional $\mathfrak{M}_{k}$ is smooth in the sense that there exists a derivative up to the second order, inclusively: $\mathfrak{M}_{k}^{\prime}$ is a linear functional on $\mathcal{L}_{k}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{k}^{\prime \prime}$ is a bilinear functional on $\mathcal{L}_{k}$ which satisfy the following inequalities

$$
\left\|\mathfrak{M}_{k}^{(i)} g\right\|_{m} \leq C \cdot s_{k}{ }^{\alpha} \cdot\|g\|^{\beta} \cdot\|g\|_{k m}^{2}, \quad g \in \mathcal{L}_{k}, \quad \alpha \geq 0, \quad \beta \leq 0, \quad i=1,2
$$

where $\|g\|$ denotes a uniform norm of the element $g$, and $\|g\|_{k m}$ is a norm in $\mathcal{L}_{k}$.
Denote $f_{n}(x)=E \widehat{f}_{n}(x)$. We have the equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n}(x) & =E \widehat{f}_{n}(x)= \\
& =\frac{1}{n h_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K\left(\frac{x-t}{h_{n}}\right) f(t) d t=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(u) f\left(x-u h_{n}\right) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $f_{n}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ converges almost everywhere $x \in R$. It also implies the convergence of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathfrak{M} f-\mathfrak{M} f_{n}\right| \longrightarrow 0 \text { a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted here that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathfrak{M} f-\mathfrak{M}_{n} \widehat{f}_{n}\right| \leq\left|\mathfrak{M} f-\mathfrak{M}_{n} f_{n}\right|+\left|\mathfrak{M}_{n} \widehat{f}_{n}-\mathfrak{M}_{n} f_{n}\right| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, for a sufficiently large $s_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathfrak{M} f-\mathfrak{M}_{n} f_{n}\right| \leq\left|\mathfrak{M} f-\mathfrak{M}_{n} f\right|+\left|\mathfrak{M}_{n} f-\mathfrak{M}_{n} f_{n}\right| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the condition ( $\mathfrak{M 3}$ ), (iii) that $\left|\mathfrak{M} f-\mathfrak{M}_{n} f\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $s_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. The same condition together with (4) imply that $\left|\mathfrak{M}_{n} f-\mathfrak{M}_{n} f_{n}\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $s_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Thus in representation (5) the main point is to estimate the second summand in the right-hand side.

## 3. Remainder Estimation

Consider the difference $\mathfrak{M}_{n} \widehat{f}_{n}-\mathfrak{M}_{n} f_{n}$ and with the help of the condition $(\mathfrak{M 3})$ (iv) write it as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{n} \widehat{f}_{n}-\mathfrak{M}_{n} f_{n}=S_{n}\left(h_{n}\right)+R_{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{n}\left(h_{n}\right)$ is the result how the derivative of the functional (here a linear functional) $\mathfrak{M}_{n}$ acts on $\widehat{f}_{n}-f_{n}$. In (7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}=O\left(\left\|\mathfrak{M}_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\widehat{f}_{n}-f_{n}\right)\right\|_{n m}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimate $R_{n}$. It follows from (3) that under the condition

$$
\frac{\log \log n}{n h_{n}^{2 m+1}} \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

we have

$$
-C_{f} \leq \widehat{f}_{n}(x) \leq C_{f}
$$

Therefore we may assume that $\widehat{f}_{n} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$ and apply the condition ( $\mathfrak{M} 3$ ), (iv). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{n}\right| \leq C s_{n}^{\alpha}\|f\|^{\beta}\left\|\widehat{f}_{n}-f_{n}\right\|_{n m}^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expression (9) according to conditions (f1), (f2) results in

$$
\left|R_{n}\right| \leq C s_{n}^{\alpha} H\left(s_{n}\right)^{\beta}\left\|\widehat{f}_{n}-f_{n}\right\|_{n m}^{2}
$$

Denote

$$
s_{n}^{\alpha} H\left(s_{n}\right)^{\beta}:=d\left(s_{n}\right), \quad\left\|\widehat{f}_{n}-f_{n}\right\|_{m}^{2}:=r(n)
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{n}\right| \leq C d\left(s_{n}\right) r(n) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $d(x)$ is a strictly increasing function. In order to estimate $r(n)$, we apply a technique used for a similar problem in [5].

Let

$$
Y_{i}=Y_{i}(x)=\frac{1}{n}\left\{\frac{1}{h_{n}} K\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right)-f_{n}(x)\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}(x)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\frac{1}{h_{n}} K\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right)-f_{n}(x)\right\}=\widehat{f}_{n}(x)-f_{n}(x)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}(m)=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}(x)\right\|_{m}^{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimate the function

$$
g_{i}=g_{i}(x)=\frac{1}{n h_{n}} K\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right)
$$

through the norm $\|\cdot\|_{m}$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g_{i}\right\|_{m}^{2} & =\sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{h_{n}^{j+1}} K^{(j)}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right)\right)^{2} d x= \\
& =\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{1}{h_{n}^{2 j+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(K^{(j)}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right)\right)^{2} d \frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}} \leq \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n^{2} h_{n}^{2 m+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(K^{(j)}(u)\right)^{2} d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g_{i}\right\|_{m} \leq \frac{1}{n h_{n}^{m+\frac{1}{2}}}\|K\|_{m} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} A_{n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (k3) and (k4), $\|K\|_{m}$ is finite. From (12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Y_{i}\right\|_{m} \leq\left\|g_{i}\right\|_{m}+E\left\|g_{i}\right\|_{m} \leq 2 A_{n} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate $r(n)$, we apply McDiarmid's inequality, which will be stated here for convenience.

McDiarmid's inequality. Let $L\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$ be a real function such that for each $i=1, \ldots, m$ and some $c_{i}$, the supremum in $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}, y$, of the difference

$$
\left|L\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{i-1}, y_{i}, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)-L\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{i-1}, y, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)\right| \leq c_{i}
$$

Then if $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}$ are independent random variables taking values in the domain of the function $L\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$, then for every $t>0$,

$$
P\left\{\left|L\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)-E L\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)\right| \geq t\right\} \leq 2 e^{-\frac{2 t^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}^{2}}}
$$

We apply McDiarmid's inequality to the expression

$$
L\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right\|_{m}
$$

and $c_{i}=4 A_{n}$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$. For any $t>0$, taking into consideration (13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left\{\left|\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right\|_{m}-E\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right\|_{m}\right| \geq t\right\} \leq 2 e^{-\frac{t^{2} n h_{m}^{2 m+1}}{2\|K\|_{m}^{2}}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting

$$
t=\frac{2\|K\|_{m} \sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{n h_{n}^{2 m+1}}}
$$

in (14) and applying the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right\|_{m}=E\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right\|_{m}+O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{n h_{n}^{2 m+1}}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with probability 1.
Estimate now $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right\|_{m}^{2}$. Towards this end, we use Jensen's inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(E\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right\|_{m}\right)^{2} \leq E\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right\|_{m}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(Y_{i}^{(j)}(x)\right)^{2} d x \leq \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E\left\{\frac{1}{h_{n}^{j+1}} K^{(j)}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right)-f_{n}^{(j)}(x)\right\}^{2} d x \leq \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{1}{h_{n}^{j+1}} K^{(j)}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right)\right)^{2} d x\right\}^{2} \leq \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{n^{2} h_{n}^{2 m+2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E\left(K^{(j)}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right)\right)^{2} d x\right\}= \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{n^{2} h_{n}^{2 m+2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(K^{(j)}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{x-y}{h_{n}}\right) f(y) d y d x \leq \\
& \quad \leq \frac{C_{f}}{n h_{n}^{2 m+1}}\|K\|_{m}^{2} . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

From (11), (15) and (16) it follows that almost everywhere we have

$$
r(n)=O\left(\frac{\log n}{n h_{n}^{2 m+1}}\right) .
$$

Then the following theorem is true.
Theorem 1. If the conditions (f1), (f2), (k1)-(k3), (h) and (M1)(M3) are satisfied, then in representation (14) for the remainder we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}=O\left(\frac{d\left(s_{n}\right) \log n}{n h_{n}^{2 m+1}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Consistency

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be a fixed number. Choose a sequence $h_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log n}{n h_{n}^{2 m+1}} \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We select $s_{n}$ as a solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log n}{n h_{n}^{2 m+1}}=\frac{\varepsilon}{d\left(s_{n}\right)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
d(x)=x^{\alpha} H^{\beta}(x)
$$

The function $y=d(x)$ for $x>0$ is continuous, strictly increasing and taking all values in the interval $(0 ; \infty)$. Therefore equation (19) has a solution with respect to $s_{n}$. Besides, $s_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently,

$$
\left|R_{n}\right|<\varepsilon \text { for a sufficiently large } n \text {. }
$$

Now we estimate the main summand $S_{n}\left(h_{n}\right)$ in the equality

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{n} \widehat{f}_{n}-\mathfrak{M}_{n} f_{n}=S_{n}\left(h_{n}\right)+R_{n}
$$

Let

$$
\bar{K}_{i n}(x):=K\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right) \text { and } Z_{i}\left(h_{n}\right):=\frac{1}{h_{n}} \mathfrak{M}_{n}^{\prime} \bar{K}_{i n} .
$$

Then $S_{n}\left(h_{n}\right)$ can be represented as a sum of independent random variables

$$
S_{n}\left(h_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{Z_{i}\left(h_{n}\right)-E Z_{i}\left(h_{n}\right)\right\} .
$$

Let $[-\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}]$ be the smallest interval containing the support of $K(x)$ (such an interval exists, what follows from ( $\boldsymbol{k} \mathbf{1}$ ). Note that for a sufficiently large $n$, we have $s_{n}>k$, and therefore $Z_{i}\left(h_{n}\right)=0$ for $s_{n}>k$. Taking this into consideration together with the condition (M3), (iv), we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Z_{i}\left(h_{n}\right)\right| \leq C \mathbb{k}^{\alpha} H^{\beta}(\mathbb{k})\left\|\frac{1}{h_{n}} K\left(\frac{\cdot-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right)\right\|_{m}^{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\left|Z_{i}\left(h_{n}\right)\right| \leq N \mathbb{k}^{\alpha} H^{\beta}(\mathbb{k}) \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{1}{h_{n}^{j+1}} \int_{-\mathbb{k}}^{\mathbb{k}} K^{(j)}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right) d x \leq B h_{n}^{-m}
$$

for a sufficiently large $n$ and some $B$.
Apply Hoeffding's inequality.
Hoeffding's inequality. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be independent random variables. Assume that the $X_{i}$ are almost surely bounded

$$
P\left\{a_{i} \leq X_{i} \leq b_{i}\right\}=1
$$

Then

$$
P\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E X_{i}\right| \geq t\right\} \leq 2 \exp \left\{-\frac{2 n^{2} t^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)^{2}}\right\}
$$

This inequality results in

$$
P\left\{\left|S_{n}\left(h_{n}\right)\right|>t\right\} \leq 2 \exp \left\{-\frac{n t^{2} h_{n}^{2 m}}{2 B^{2}}\right\}
$$

Take

$$
t=\frac{2 B \sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{n} h_{n}^{m}}
$$

We have

$$
P\left\{\left|S_{n}\left(h_{n}\right)\right|>\frac{2 B \sqrt{\log n}}{\sqrt{n} h_{n}^{m}}\right\} \leq 2 \exp \{-2 \log n\}
$$

By virtue of the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have

$$
S_{n}\left(h_{n}\right)=O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n h_{n}^{2 m}}}\right)
$$

with probability 1.
Note that the condition

$$
\frac{\log n}{n h_{n}^{2 m+1}} \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

leads to the convergence of

$$
\frac{\log n}{n h_{n}^{2 m}} \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Therefore $S_{n}\left(h_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Consequently, the following theorem is true.
Theorem 2. Let the conditions (f1), (f2), (k1)-(k3), (h) and (M1)-
(M3) be satisfied. As the equivalence of positive numbers $h_{n}$ monotonically vanishes, therefore

$$
\frac{\log n}{n h_{n}^{2 m+1}} \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

If for every $n, s_{n}$ there is a solution of equation (19), we have

$$
I\left(\widehat{f}_{n}, s_{n}\right)-I(f) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with probability 1.

## 5. Central Limit Theorem

Remember the following representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{n} \widehat{f}_{n}-\mathfrak{M}_{n} f_{n}=\mathfrak{M}_{n}^{\prime}\left(f_{n}\right)\left(\widehat{f}_{n}-f_{n}\right)+R_{n} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
Z_{i}\left(h_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{h_{n}} \mathfrak{M}_{n}^{\prime} \bar{K}_{i n},
$$

then $S_{n}\left(h_{n}\right)=\mathfrak{M}_{n}^{\prime}\left(f_{n}\right)\left(\widehat{f}_{n}-f_{n}\right)$ can be represented as a sum of independent random variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}\left(h_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{Z_{i}\left(h_{n}\right)-E Z_{i}\left(h_{n}\right)\right\} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Find the moments of $Z_{i}\left(h_{n}\right)$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E Z_{i}\left(h_{n}\right) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathfrak{M}_{n}^{\prime}\left(f_{n}(\cdot)\right) \frac{1}{h_{n}} K\left(\frac{\cdot-y}{h_{n}}\right) f(y) d y= \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathfrak{M}_{n}^{\prime}\left(f_{n}\left(y+\cdot h_{n}\right)\right) K(\cdot) f(y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty, h_{n} \downarrow 0$. Therefore

$$
E Z_{i}\left(h_{n}\right) \longrightarrow E \mathfrak{M}^{\prime}(f(X)) K
$$

Now let $0 \leq j, v \leq n$. Consider the value

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{j, v}(y) & =E Z_{j}\left(h_{n}\right) Z_{v}\left(h_{n}\right)= \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathfrak{M}_{n}^{\prime}\left(f_{n}(\cdot)\right) \frac{1}{h_{n}} K\left(\frac{-y}{h_{n}}\right) \mathfrak{M}_{n}^{\prime}\left(f_{n}(\cdot)\right) \frac{1}{h_{n}} K\left(\frac{\cdot-y}{h_{n}}\right) f(y) d y= \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathfrak{M}_{n}^{\prime}\left(f_{n}\left(y+\cdot h_{n}\right)\right) K(\cdot) \mathfrak{M}_{n}^{\prime}\left(f_{n}\left(y+\cdot h_{n}\right)\right) K(\cdot) f(y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

which for $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$
E Z_{i}^{2}\left(h_{n}\right) \longrightarrow E\left[\mathfrak{M}^{\prime}(f(X)) K\right]^{2}
$$

Absolutely similarly, we can show that for $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
E Z_{i}^{4}\left(h_{n}\right) \longrightarrow E\left[\mathfrak{M}^{\prime}(f(X)) K\right]^{4}
$$

After calculations we can see that under the defined conditions for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $h_{n} \rightarrow 0$, we ontain

$$
n \operatorname{Var}\left(S_{n}\left(h_{n}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(Z_{i}\left(h_{n}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathfrak{M}^{\prime}(f(X)) K\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sigma^{2}(f)<\infty
$$

and

$$
E Z_{i}^{4}\left(h_{n}\right) \longrightarrow E\left[\mathfrak{M}^{\prime}(f(X)) K\right]^{4}<\infty .
$$

By Lyapunov's central limit theorem, we obtain the following result.
Theorem 3. Let the conditions (f1), (f2), (k1)-(k3), (h), (M1)(M3) be satisfied and the sequence of positive numbers $h_{n}$ monotonically vanish, so that

$$
\frac{\log n}{n h_{n}^{2 m+1}} \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

for every $n, s_{n}$, there is a solution of equation (19). Then

$$
\sqrt{n}\left\{I \mathfrak{M}_{n} \widehat{f}_{n}-\mathfrak{M}_{n} f_{n}\right\} \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \sigma^{2}(f)\right) .
$$

## 6. Example

Consider the functional

$$
\mathfrak{M} g=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi\left(x, g(x), g^{\prime}(x), \ldots, g^{(n)}(x)\right) d x
$$

In this case, instead of the functional $\mathfrak{M}_{k}, k=0,1, \ldots$, we have integral functionals

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{k} g=\int_{-s_{k}}^{s_{k}} \varphi\left(x, g(x), g^{\prime}(x), \ldots, g^{(n)}(x)\right) d x
$$

Under the appropriate condition imposed on the function $\varphi$, we can obtain all results of [15], in particular, the estimators for Fisher's information functional and Shannon entropies.
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