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## ON THE CRITERIA OF WELL-POSED OF THE PERIODIC PROBLEM FOR LINEAR SYSTEMS OF IMPULSIVE EQUATIONS WITH FINITE AND FIXED POINTS OF IMPULSES ACTIONS

Let $P \in L\left([0, \omega] ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right), p \in L\left([0, \omega] ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), Q_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}(j=1, \ldots, m)$, $q_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}(j=1, \ldots, m), 0=\tau_{0}<\tau_{1}<\cdots<\tau_{m}<\tau_{m+1}=\omega$ and $\omega$ be a fixed positive number.

Consider the linear the impulsive system

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d x}{d t} & =P(t) x+p(t)  \tag{1}\\
x\left(\tau_{j}+\right)-x\left(\tau_{j}-\right) & =Q_{j} x\left(\tau_{j}\right)+q_{j} \quad(j=1, \ldots, m) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

For the system (1), (2) consider the $\omega$ periodic problem

$$
x(0)=x(\omega)
$$

Let the system (1), (2) has the unique $\omega$ periodic solution $x_{0}$.
Consider sequences of matrix- and vector-functions $P_{k} \in L\left([0, \omega] ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)$ $(k=1,2, \ldots)$ and $p_{k} \in L\left([0, \omega] ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots)$, sequences of constant matrices $Q_{k j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}(j=1, \ldots, m ; k=1,2, \ldots)$ and constant vectors $q_{k j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}(j=1, \ldots, m ; k=1,2, \ldots)$.

In this paper necessary and sufficient conditions as well as effective sufficient conditions are established for a sequence of boundary value problems

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d x}{d t} & =P_{k}(t) x+p_{k}(t)  \tag{3}\\
x\left(\tau_{j}+\right)-x\left(\tau_{j}-\right) & =Q_{k j} x\left(\tau_{j}\right)+q_{k j} \quad(j=1, \ldots, m) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$(k=1,2, \ldots)$ to have a unique $\omega$ solution $x_{k}$ for sufficiently large $k$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}(t)=x_{0}(t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on $[a, b]$.
Analogous questions for the general linear boundary value problems and $\omega$-periodic problems are investigated e.g. in [1], [2], [6], [7] (see the references

[^0]therein, too) for systems of ordinary differential equations, in [3], [4] for systems of generalized ordinary differential equations, and in [5] for systems of impulsive equations.

Throughout the paper, the following notation and definitions will be used.
$\mathbb{R}=]-\infty, \infty\left[. \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}\right.$ is the space of all real $n \times l$-matrices $X=\left(x_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n, l}$ with the norm

$$
\|X\|=\max _{j=1, \ldots, l} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i j}\right|
$$

$O_{n \times l}$ is the zero $n \times l$-matrix.
$\operatorname{det}(X)$ is the determinant of a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.
$I_{n}$ is the identity $n \times n$-matrix.
$\delta_{i j}$ is the Kroneker symbol, i.e. $\delta_{i i}=1$ and $\delta_{i j}=0$ for $i \neq j(i, j=1, \ldots)$.
$\mathbb{R}^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ is the space of all real column $n$-vectors $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$.
$\operatorname{BVC}\left([0, \omega] ; \tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{m} ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}\right)$ is the normed space of all continuous on the intervals $\left.\left.\left[0, \tau_{1}\right],\right] \tau_{k}, \tau_{k+1}\right](k=1, \ldots, m)$ matrix-functions of bounded variation $X:[0, \omega] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}$ with the norm

$$
\|X\|_{s}=\sup \{\|X(t)\|: t \in[0, \omega]\}
$$

$L\left([0, \omega] ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}\right)$ is the set of all measurable and Lebesgue integrable on $[0, \omega]$ matrix-functions.
$C\left([0, \omega] ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}\right)$ is the set of all continuous on $[0, \omega]$ matrix-functions.
$\widetilde{C}\left([0, \omega] ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}\right)$ is the set of all absolutely continuous on $[0, \omega]$ matrixfunctions.
$\widetilde{C}\left([0, \omega] \backslash\left\{\tau_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m} ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}\right)$ is the set of all matrix-functions restrictions of which on every closed interval $[c, d]$ from $[0, \omega] \backslash\left\{\tau_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$ belong to $\widetilde{C}([0, \omega]$; $\mathbb{R}^{n \times l}$ ).

On the set $C\left([0, \omega] ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}\right) \times \underbrace{\mathbb{R}^{n \times l} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}}_{m} \times L\left([0, \omega] ; \mathbb{R}^{l \times k}\right)$ we introduce the operator

$$
\mathcal{B}_{0}\left(\Phi, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{m}, X\right)(t) \equiv \int_{0}^{t} \Phi(s) X(s) d s+\sum_{j=0, \tau_{j} \in[0, t[ }^{m} G_{j} \int_{\tau_{j}}^{t} X(s) d s
$$

where $G_{0}=O_{n \times n}$.
Under a solution of the system (1), (2) we understand a continuous from the left vector-function $x \in \widetilde{C}\left([0, \omega] \backslash\left\{\tau_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m} ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}\right) \cap \operatorname{BVC}\left([0, \omega] ; \tau_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\tau_{m} ; \mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) satisfying the system (1) for a.e. $t \in[0, \omega]$ and the equality (2) for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

We assume everywhere that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+Q_{j}\right) \neq 0 \quad(j=1, \ldots, m)
$$

Note that this condition guarantees the unique solvability of the system (1), (2) under the Cauchy condition $x\left(t_{0}\right)=c_{0}$.

Definition 1. We say that a sequence $\left(P_{k}, p_{k},\left\{Q_{k j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m},\left\{q_{k j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}\right)(k=$ $1,2, \ldots)$ belongs to the set $S\left(P, p,\left\{Q_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m},\left\{q_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}\right)$ if the system (3), (4) has the unique $\omega$-periodic solution $x_{k}$ for any sufficiently large $k$ and the condition (5) holds uniformly on $[0, \omega]$.

Theorem 1. The include

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(P_{k}, p_{k},\left\{Q_{k j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m},\left\{q_{k j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}, \ell_{k}\right)\right)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in S\left(P, p,\left\{Q_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m},\left\{q_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}, \ell\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds if and only if there exist sequences of matrix-functions $\Phi, \Phi_{k} \in$ $\widetilde{C}\left([a, b] ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots)$ and constant matrices $G_{j}, G_{k j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, G_{0}=$ $G_{k 0}=O_{n \times n}(j=0, \ldots, m ; k=1,2, \ldots)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \sum_{j=0}^{m} \int_{\tau_{j}}^{\tau_{j+1}}\left\|\Phi_{k}^{\prime}(t)+\left(\Phi_{k}(t)+\sum_{i=0}^{j} Q_{k j}\right) P_{k}(t)\right\| d t<\infty,  \tag{7}\\
\left.\left.\inf \left\{\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\Phi(t)+\sum_{i=0}^{j} G_{i}\right)\right|: t \in\right] \tau_{j}, \tau_{j+1}\right]\right\}>0(j=0, \ldots, m),  \tag{8}\\
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G_{k j}=G_{j}(j=1, \ldots, m),  \tag{9}\\
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} Q_{k j}=Q_{j}, \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} q_{k j}=q_{j} \quad(j=1, \ldots, m), \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{k}(t) & =\Phi(t)  \tag{11}\\
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{B}_{0}\left(\Phi_{k}, G_{k 1}, \ldots, G_{k m}, P_{k}\right)(t) & =\mathcal{B}_{0}\left(\Phi, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{m}, P\right)(t)  \tag{12}\\
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{B}_{0}\left(\Phi_{k}, G_{k 1}, \ldots, G_{k m}, p_{k}\right)(t) & =\mathcal{B}_{0}\left(\Phi, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{m}, p\right)(t) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

are fulfilled uniformly on $[a, b]$.
Remark 1. The conditions (12) and (13) are fulfilled uniformly on $[a, b]$ if and only if the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau_{j}}^{t}\left(\Phi_{k}(s)+\sum_{i=0}^{j} G_{k i}\right) P_{k}(s) d s=\int_{\tau_{j}}^{t}\left(\Phi(s)+\sum_{i=0}^{j} G_{i}\right) P(s) d s \\
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau_{j}}^{t}\left(\Phi_{k}(s)+\sum_{i=0}^{j} G_{k i}\right) p_{k}(s) d s=\int_{\tau_{j}}^{t}\left(\Phi(s)+\sum_{i=0}^{j} G_{i}\right) p(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

are fulfilled uniformly on $\left[\tau_{j}, \tau_{j+1}\right]$ for every $j \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$.

Corollary 1. Let the condition (10) hold. Let, moreover, there exist matrix-functions $\Phi, \Phi_{k} \in \widetilde{C}\left([a, b] ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots)$ such that the conditions (7) and

$$
\left.\left.\inf \left\{\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\Phi(t)+\left(1-\delta_{0 j}\right) j I_{n}\right)\right|: t \in\right] \tau_{j}, \tau_{j+1}\right]\right\}>0(j=0, \ldots, m)
$$

hold and the conditions (11),
$\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau_{j}}^{t}\left(\Phi_{k}(s)+\left(1-\delta_{0 j}\right) j I_{n}\right) P_{k}(s) d s=\int_{\tau_{j}}^{t}\left(\Phi(s)+\left(1-\delta_{0 j}\right) j I_{n}\right) P(s) d s$
and

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau_{j}}^{t}\left(\Phi_{k}(s)+\left(1-\delta_{0 j}\right) j I_{n}\right) p_{k}(s) d s=\int_{\tau_{j}}^{t}\left(\Phi(s)+\left(1-\delta_{0 j}\right) j I_{n}\right) p(s) d s
$$

be fulfilled uniformly on $\left[\tau_{j}, \tau_{j+1}\right]$ for every $j \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$. Then the condition (6) holds.

Corollary 2. Let the condition (10) hold. Let, moreover, there exist matrix-functions $\Phi, \Phi_{k} \in \widetilde{C}\left([a, b] ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots)$ such that
$\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \int_{a}^{b}\left\|\Phi_{k}^{\prime}(t)+\Phi_{k}(t) P_{k}(t)\right\| d t<\infty, \quad \inf \{|\operatorname{det}(\Phi(t))|: t \in[a, b]\}>0$ and the conditions (11) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{t} \Phi_{k}(s) P_{k}(s) d s & =\int_{a}^{t} \Phi(s) P(s) d s \\
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{t} \Phi_{k}(s) p_{k}(s) d s & =\int_{a}^{t} \Phi(s) p(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

are fulfilled uniformly on $[a, b]$. Then the condition (6) holds.
Corollary 3. Let the conditions (9) and (10) hold. Let, moreover, there exist constant matrices $G_{j}, G_{k j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, G_{0}=G_{k 0}=O_{n \times n}(j=0, \ldots, m$; $k=1,2, \ldots)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \sum_{j=0}^{m} \int_{\tau_{j}}^{\tau_{j+1}}\left\|\left(I_{n}+\sum_{i=0}^{j} Q_{k i}\right) P_{k}(t)\right\| d t<\infty  \tag{14}\\
\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} G_{i}\right) \neq 0 \quad(j=1, \ldots, m)
\end{gather*}
$$

and the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau_{j}}^{t}\left(I_{n}+\sum_{i=0}^{j} G_{k i}\right) P_{k}(s) d s=\int_{\tau_{j}}^{t}\left(I_{n}+\sum_{i=0}^{j} G_{i}\right) P(s) d s, \\
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau_{j}}^{t}\left(I_{n}+\sum_{i=0}^{j} G_{k i}\right) p_{k}(s) d s=\int_{\tau_{j}}^{t}\left(I_{n}+\sum_{i=0}^{j} G_{i}\right) p(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

are fulfilled uniformly on $\left[\tau_{j}, \tau_{j+1}\right]$ for every $j \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$. Then the condition (6) holds.

Corollary 4. Let the conditions (10) and (14) hold and the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{t} P_{k}(s) d s=\int_{a}^{t} P(s) d s, \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{t} p_{k}(s) d s=\int_{a}^{t} p(s) d s \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

be fulfilled uniformly on $[a, b]$. Then the condition (6) holds.
Corollary 5. Let the condition (10), and (14) hold and the condition (15) be fulfilled uniformly on $[a, b]$. Then the condition (6) holds.

Remark 2. In Theorem 1 and Corollaries 1-5 we can assume without loss of generality that $\Phi(t) \equiv I_{n}$ and $G_{j}=O_{n \times n}(j=1, \ldots, m)$ everywhere they appear. So that the condition (8) in Theorem 1 as well as the analogous conditions in the corollaries are valid automatically.

These results follow from analogous results for a system of so-called generalized differential equations contained in [4] because the system (1), (2) is its particular of one.
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