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## UNIFORM CONVERGENCE OF THE GENERALIZED BIEBERBACH POLYNOMIALS IN CLOSED SMOOTH DOMAINS

## 1. Introduction and main results

Let $G$ be a finite domain in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, bounded by a rectifiable Jordan curve $L$, and let $G^{-}:=\operatorname{Ext} L$. Further let $\mathbb{T}:=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|w|=1\}, \mathbb{D}:=\operatorname{Int} \mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{D}^{-}:=\operatorname{Ext} \mathbb{T}$. By the Riemann conformal mapping theorem, there exists a unique conformal mapping $w=\varphi(z)$ of $G$ onto $\mathbb{D}\left(0, r_{0}\right):=\left\{w:|w|<r_{0}\right\}$ with the normalization $\varphi\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, $\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $r_{0}=1$. The inverse mapping of $\varphi$ we denote by $\psi$.

Let also $\varphi_{0}$ be the conformal mapping of $G^{-}$onto $\mathbb{D}^{-}$normalized by

$$
\varphi_{0}(\infty)=\infty, \quad \lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{0}(z) / z>0
$$

and $\psi_{0}:=\varphi_{0}^{-1}$. For an arbitrary function $f$ given on $G$ we set

$$
\|f\|_{L_{p}(G)}^{p}:=\iint_{G}|f(z)|^{p} d \sigma_{z}, \quad p>0
$$

It is well known (see [11], p. 433) that the function

$$
\varphi_{p}(z):=\int_{z_{0}}^{z}\left[\varphi^{\prime}(\zeta)\right]^{2 / p} d \zeta, \quad z \in G, \quad p>0
$$

minimizes the integral $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{L p(G)}^{p}(p>0)$ in the class of all functions analytic in $G$ with the normalization $f\left(z_{0}\right)=0, f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=1$. In this work we study the approximation of $\varphi_{p}$ by the extremal polynomials defined below.

Let $\Pi_{n}$ be the class of all polynomials $p_{n}$ of degree at most $n$ satisfying the conditions $p_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=0, p_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=1$. Then we can prove that the integral $\left\|\varphi_{p}^{\prime}-p_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L p(G)}^{p}(p>1)$ is minimized in $\Pi_{n}$ by an unique polynomial $\pi_{n, p}$. We call [6] these extremal polynomials $\pi_{n, p}$ the generalized Bieberbach polynomials for the pair ( $G, z_{0}$ ). In case of $p=2$ they are the usual Bieberbach polynomials $\pi_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$. The approximation problems for $\varphi_{2}=\varphi$ in closed domains with various boundary conditions, where approximation is conducted by the usual Bieberbach polynomials were intensively studied in $[9,10,13$, $12,2,3,7,8]$.

Similar problems for $\varphi_{p}(p>1)$ using the generalized Bieberbach polynomials were investigated in $[6,1]$. In the above cited works the rate of convergence to zero of the quantity $\left\|\varphi_{p}-\pi_{n, p}\right\|_{\bar{G}}(n \rightarrow \infty)$ has been estimated by means of the geometric properties of $G$.

[^0]One of the interesting problem in this direction is the problem connected with a conjecture due to S. N. Mergelyan, who in [10] showed that the Bieberbach polynomials satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi-\pi_{n}\right\|_{\bar{G}} \leq c(\varepsilon) / n^{1 / 2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$, whenever $L$ is a smooth Jordan curve and stated it as a conjecture that the exponent $1 / 2-\varepsilon$ in (1) could be replaced by $1-\varepsilon$.

In [7] it has been possible for us to obtain some improvement of the above cited Mergelyan's estimation (1). For its formulation, it is necessary to give some definitions as follows.

For a weight function $\omega$ given on $L$, and $p>1$ we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{p}(L, \omega) & :=\left\{f \in L^{1}(L):|f|^{p} \omega \in L^{1}(L)\right\} \\
E^{p}(G, \omega) & :=\left\{f \in E^{1}(G): f \in L^{p}(L, \omega)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $g \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, \omega)$ and let $g_{h}(w)$ be the mean value function for $g$ defined as:

$$
g_{h}(w):=\frac{1}{2 h} \int_{-h}^{h} g\left(w e^{i t}\right) d t, \quad 0<h<\pi, \quad w \in T .
$$

The function $\Omega_{p, \omega}(g, \cdot):[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ defined by

$$
\Omega_{p, \omega}(g, \delta):=\sup \left\{\left\|g-g_{h}\right\|_{L^{p}(T, \omega)}, h \leq \delta\right\}, \quad 1<p<\infty
$$

is called the integral modulus of continuity in $L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, \omega)$ for $g$.
The improvement obtained in [7] can be formulated in the following way: If the boundary $L$ is a smooth Jordan curve, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{0}-\pi_{n}\right\|_{\bar{G}} \leq \mathrm{const}\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)^{1 / 2} \Omega_{2,\left|\psi_{0}^{\prime}\right|}\left(\left(\varphi^{\prime} \circ \psi_{0}\right) \cdot\left(\psi_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}, 1 / n\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geq 2$, where $\Omega_{2,\left|\psi_{0}^{\prime}\right|}(\cdot, 1 / n)$ is the integral modulus of continuity in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T},\left|\psi_{0}^{\prime}\right|\right)$ for $\left.\varphi^{\prime} \circ \psi_{0}\right) \cdot\left(\psi_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}$. From this result in particular it follows that if $G$ is a finite domain with a smooth Jordan boundary, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi-\pi_{n}\right\|_{\bar{G}} \leq \mathrm{const}\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which improves the estimation (1).
In this work, developing the idea used in $[6,7,8]$ we shall obtain a new estimation for the approximation of $\varphi_{p}, 1<p<\infty$, by means of the generalized Bieberbach polynomials $\pi_{n, p}$. This estimation in case of $p=2$ appears simpler than (2).

We begin with the following definition.
Definition 1. Let $G$ be a domain with a smooth boundary $L, p>1$, and let $\Phi_{p}:=\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime} \circ \psi_{0}\right)$ on $\mathbb{T}$. The function

$$
\omega_{r}^{*}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}, \delta\right):=\sup _{|h| \leq \delta}\left\|\Phi_{p}\left(w e^{i h}\right)-\Phi_{p}(w)\right\|_{L^{r}(\mathbb{T})}=: \omega_{r}\left(\Phi_{p}, \delta\right), \quad r>1
$$

is called the generalized integral modulus of continuity for $\varphi_{p}^{\prime}=\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2 / p} \in E^{r}(G)$.
This definition is correct, because by [14] for the smooth domains $\varphi^{\prime}, \varphi_{0}^{\prime} \in L^{r}(L)$ and $\psi^{\prime}, \psi_{0}^{\prime} \in L^{r}(\mathbb{T})$, and hence $\Phi_{p} \in L^{r}(\mathbb{T})$ for every $r>1$.

Our main results are the following.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a finite domain with a smooth boundary $L$ and let $p>1$. Then

$$
\left\|\varphi_{p}-\pi_{n, p}\right\|_{\bar{G}} \leq \begin{cases}c_{1} \sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{n}} \omega_{2+\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\varphi^{\prime}, 1 / n\right), & p=2 \\ c_{2} n^{-1 / p} \omega_{p+\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}, 1 / n\right), & p>2 \\ c_{3} n^{1 / p-1+\varepsilon^{\prime}} \omega_{p+\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}, 1 / n\right), & 1<p<2\end{cases}
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$ and with the constants $c_{i}=c_{i}(\varepsilon)>0, i=1,2,3$.
In spite of the fact that the function $\varphi_{p}$ is defined on $G$ it has [6] a continuous extension to $\bar{G}$. Therefore, the uniform norm in the above inequality is well defined.

From this theorem in case of $p=2$ we have the following result.
Corollary 1. Let $G$ be a domain with a smooth boundary L. Then

$$
\left\|\varphi-\pi_{n}\right\|_{\bar{G}} \leq c_{1}\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)^{1 / 2} \omega_{2+\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\varphi^{\prime}, 1 / n\right) \quad n \geq 1
$$

with a constant $c_{1}=c_{1}(\varepsilon)>0$.
As it follows from Definition 1 the modulus of continuity $\omega_{2+\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\varphi^{\prime}, 1 / n\right)$ is simpler


If $L$ is sufficiently smooth and $p \geq 2$, then $\varepsilon>0$ may be omitted in Theorem 1. In particular, for domain $G$ with a Dini-smooth boundary $L$ the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2. If $L$ is Dini-smooth and $p>1$, then

$$
\left\|\varphi_{p}-\pi_{n, p}\right\|_{\bar{G}} \leq \begin{cases}c_{4} \sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{n}} \omega_{2}^{*}\left(\varphi^{\prime}, 1 / n\right) & p=2 \\ c_{5} n^{-1 / p} \omega_{p}^{*}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}, 1 / n\right), & p>2 \\ c_{6} n^{1 / p-1+\varepsilon} \omega_{p}^{*}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}, 1 / n\right), & 1<p<2\end{cases}
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$ and with the constants $c_{4}, c_{5}>0, c_{6}=c_{6}(\varepsilon)>0$.
If $L \in C(1, \alpha), 1<\alpha<1$, then by virtue of the Kellogg-Warschawski theorem $\omega_{2}^{*}\left(\varphi^{\prime}, 1 / n\right) \leq c_{7} / n^{\alpha}$ and hence the following result holds.

Corollary 2. Let $L \in C(1, \alpha), 1<\alpha<1$. Then

$$
\left\|\varphi-\pi_{n}\right\|_{\bar{G}} \leq c_{8} \sqrt{\ln n} / n^{1 / 2+\alpha}, \quad n \geq 1
$$

with a constant $c_{8}>0$.
Earlier, the last result was proved in [13].

## 2. Auxiliary Results

For the function $\varphi_{p}$ and a weight $\omega$ we set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varepsilon_{n}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{r}:=\inf _{p_{n}}\left\|\varphi_{p}^{\prime}-p_{n}\right\|_{L_{r}(G)}, \quad E_{n}^{\circ}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{r}:=\inf _{p_{n}}\left\|\varphi_{p}^{\prime}-p_{n}\right\|_{L^{r}(L)} \\
E_{n}^{\circ}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}, \omega\right)_{r}:=\inf _{p_{n}}\left\|\varphi_{p}^{\prime}-p_{n}\right\|_{L^{r}(L, \omega)}
\end{gathered}
$$

where inf is taken over all polynomials $p_{n}$ of degree at most $n$ and

$$
\left\|\varphi_{p}^{\prime}-p_{n}\right\|_{L^{r}(L, \omega)}:=\left(\int_{L}\left|\varphi_{p}^{\prime}(z)-p_{n}(z)\right|^{r} \omega(z)|d z|\right)^{1 / r}
$$

One of the important step in the proofs of the main results is the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a domain with a smooth boundary $L$ and let $p>1$. Then

$$
\varepsilon_{n}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p} \leq c_{9} n^{-1 / p} E_{n}^{\circ}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}, 1 /\left|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right|\right)_{p}
$$

with a constant $c_{9}>0$.
The following theorem gives an estimation for the quantity $E_{n}^{\circ}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}, 1 /\left|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right|\right)_{p}$
Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a domain with a smooth boundary L, and let $1<p<\infty$. Then

$$
E_{n}^{\circ}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}, 1 /\left|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right|\right)_{p} \leq c_{10} \omega_{p+\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}, 1 / n\right.
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$ and with a constant $c_{10}=c(\varepsilon)$.
Corollary 3. Let $G$ be a domain with a Dini-smooth boundary $L$ and let $p>1$. Then

$$
E_{n}^{\circ}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}, 1 /\left|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right|\right)_{p} \leq c_{11} \omega_{p}^{*}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}, 1 / n\right)
$$

with a constant $c_{11}>0$.
The approximation properties of the polynomials $\pi_{n, p}^{\prime}, n=1,2, \ldots$, are given in the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $G$ be a domain with a smooth boundary $L$ and let $p>1$. Then

$$
\left\|\varphi_{p}^{\prime}-\pi_{n, p}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{p}(G)} \leq c_{12} n^{-1 / p} \omega_{p+\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}, 1 / n\right), \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$ and with $c_{12}=c_{12}(\varepsilon)>0$.
Lemma 2. Let $G$ be a domain with a Dini-smooth boundary $L$ and let $p>1$. Then

$$
\left\|\varphi_{p}^{\prime}-\pi_{n, p}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{p}(G)} \leq c_{13} n^{-1 / p} \omega_{p}^{*}\left(\varphi_{p}^{\prime}, 1 / n\right), \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

with a constant $c_{13}>0$.
The following result is a particular case of the more general result proved in [3] (for $p=2$ ) and [6] (in case of $1<p<\infty$ ).

Lemma 3. Let $G$ be a finite domain with a smooth boundary $L$ and let $p_{n}$ be any polynomial of degree $\leq n$ with $p_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$. Then

$$
\left\|p_{n}\right\|_{\bar{G}} \leq \begin{cases}c_{14} \sqrt{\log n}\left\|p_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{p}(G)}, & p=2 ; \\ c_{15}\left\|p_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{p}(G)}, & p>2 \\ c_{16} n^{2 / p-1+\varepsilon}\left\|p_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{p}(G)}, & 1<p<2\end{cases}
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$, and with $c_{14}, c_{15}>0$ and $c_{16}=c_{16}(\varepsilon)>0$.
The following lemma was proved in [3], Lemma 15 in case of $p=2$ treating the Bieberbach polynomials $\pi_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$. The proof in case of $p \in(1, \infty)$, goes similarly.

Lemma 4. Let $G$ be a finite simple connected domain, and let $p_{n}$ be a polynomial of degree $\leq n$ satisfying the condition $p_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$. Assume that

$$
\left\|p_{n}\right\|_{\bar{G}} \leq c_{17} \alpha_{n}\left\|p_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{p}(G)}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\varphi_{p}^{\prime}-\pi_{n, p}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{p}(G)} \leq c_{18} \beta_{n},
$$

with some positive constants $c_{20}$ and $c_{21}$, where

$$
\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \nearrow,\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \searrow \text { and }\left\{\gamma_{n}:=\alpha_{n} \cdot \beta_{n}\right\} \searrow \text {. }
$$

If in addition, there exists a sequence of indexes $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\gamma_{n_{k+1}} \leq \varepsilon \gamma_{n_{k}}, \quad \alpha_{n_{k+1}} \leq c_{19} \alpha_{n_{k}}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

for some $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and a constant $c_{19} \geq 1$, then

$$
\left\|\varphi_{p}-\pi_{n, p}\right\|_{\bar{G}} \leq c_{20} \gamma_{n}
$$

## 3. Proof of Main Results

We apply the familar method of Simonenko [12] and Andrievskii [3] for $p=2$, its modification in case of $1<p<\infty$ given in [6] and also Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof goes similarly to that of the main result of [8], by using Theorems 3, 4 and Lemmas 1, 3, and with a suitable choice of $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}$ and $n_{k}$ in Lemma 4.

Proof of Theorem 2. The same method of proof is valid also in this case; merely we apply Corollary 3 and Lemma 2 instead of Theorem 4 and Lemma 1, respectively.
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