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GENERALIZED PICONE IDENTITY
AND COMPARISON OF HALF-LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
OF ORDER $4 m$

Abstract. A Picone-type identity and the Sturm-type comparison theorems are established for ordinary differential equations of the form

$$
\left(p(t) \varphi\left(u^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 m)}+q(t) \varphi(u)=0
$$

and

$$
\left(P(t) \varphi\left(v^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 m)}+Q(t) \varphi(v)=0,
$$

where $m \geq 1, p, P \in C^{2 m}([a, b],(0, \infty)), q, Q \in C([a, b], \mathbf{R}), \varphi(s):=|s|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sgn} s$ and $\alpha>0$.
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$$
\left(p(t) \varphi\left(u^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 m)}+q(t) \varphi(u)=0
$$

@๐

$$
\left(P(t) \varphi\left(v^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 m)}+Q(t) \varphi(v)=0
$$

$\operatorname{logơ}_{( } m \geq 1, p, P \in C^{2 m}([a, b],(0, \infty)), q, Q \in C([a, b], \mathbf{R}), \varphi(s):=$



## 1. Introduction

In the classical Sturm comparison theory for linear self-adjoint differential equations of the second order a fundamental role plays by the so-called Pi cone's formula (see [14]). It states that if $x, p x^{\prime}, y$ and $P y^{\prime}$ are continuously differentiable functions on an interval $I$ with $y(t) \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\frac{x}{y}\left(p x^{\prime} y-P x y^{\prime}\right)\right]= \\
=-\frac{x^{2}}{y}\left(P y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+x\left(p x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(p-P) x^{\prime 2}+P\left(x^{\prime}-\frac{x}{y} y^{\prime}\right)^{2} . \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

If, in addition, $x$ and $y$ solve in $I$ the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(p(t) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) u=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(P(t) v^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+Q(t) v=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, where $0<P(t) \leq p(t)$ and $Q(t) \leq q(t)$ in $I$, and $x$ have consecutive zeros at $a$ and $b(a<b)$, then integrating (1.1) between $a$ and $b$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{a}^{b}\left[(q(t)-Q(t)) x^{2}+(p(t)-P(t)) x^{\prime 2}+P(t)\left(x^{\prime}-\frac{x}{y} y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] d t \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Sturmian conclusion about the existence of a zero in $[a, b]$ for any solution $y$ of the majorant equation (1.3) readily follows from (1.4).

Generalizations and extensions of the Sturm's comparison principle and underlying Picone-type identities to nonlinear equations and higher-order (ordinary and partial) differential operators have been obtained by various authors. We refer, in particular, to the papers [1]-[17] and the references cited therein.

The purpose of the present paper is to extend (1.1) to half-linear ordinary differential operators of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{\alpha}[x] \equiv\left(p \varphi\left(x^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 m)}+q \varphi(x) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.L_{\alpha}[y] \equiv\left(P \varphi\left(y^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 m)}+Q \varphi_{( } y\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m \geq 1, p, P \in C^{2 m}([a, b],(0, \infty)), q, Q \in C([a, b], \mathbf{R})$ and $\varphi(s):=$ $|s|^{\alpha-1} s$ for $s \neq 0, \alpha>0$, and $\varphi(0)=0$. Next, in Section 3, we illustrate the usefulness of the obtained identity by deriving Sturm's comparison theorems and other qualitative results concerning half-linear differential equations of the order $4 m$.

In the linear case, i.e. if (1.5) and (1.6) reduce to a pair of $4 m$ th-order self-adjoint operators of the form $l_{1}[x] \equiv\left(p x^{(2 m)}\right)^{(2 m)}+q x$ and $L_{1}[y] \equiv$ $\left(P y^{(2 m)}\right)^{(2 m)}+Q y$, respectively, two different kinds of Picone-type identities are known in the literature. The first one which can be found in Kusano
et al. [12] says (when specialized to (1.5) and (1.6)), that if $x \in D_{l_{1}}(I)$, $y \in D_{L_{1}}(I)$, and none of $y, y^{\prime}, \ldots, y^{(2 m-1)}$ vanishes in $I$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{2 m-1}(-1)^{k} \frac{x^{(k)}}{y^{(k)}}\left[x^{(k)}\left(P y^{(2 m)}\right)^{(2 m-k-1)}-y^{(k)}\left(p x^{(2 m)}\right)^{(2 m-k-1)}\right]\right\}= \\
=\frac{x^{2}}{y} L_{1}[y]-x l_{1}[x]+(q-Q) x^{2}+(p-P)\left[x^{(2 m)}\right]^{2}+ \\
+P\left[x^{(2 m)} \frac{x^{(2 m-1)}}{y^{(2 m-1)}} y^{(2 m)}\right]^{2}-y^{(2 m-1)}\left(P y^{(2 m)}\right)^{\prime}\left[\frac{x^{(2 m-1)}}{y^{(2 m-1)}}-\frac{x^{(2 m-2)}}{y^{(2 m-2)}}\right]^{2} . \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

A typical comparison result based on the above formula is the following theorem (see [12]).

Theorem A. Suppose there exists a nontrivial real-valued function $u \in$ $\mathcal{D}_{l_{1}}([a, b])$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{a}^{b} u l_{1}[u] d t \leq 0 \\
u(a)=u^{\prime}(a)=\cdots=u^{(2 m-1)}(a)=u(b)=\cdots=u^{(2 m-1)}(b)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\int_{a}^{b}\left[(p(t)-P(t))\left(u^{(2 m)}\right)^{2}+(q(t)-Q(t)) u^{2}\right] d t \geq 0
$$

If $v \in \mathcal{D}_{L_{1}}([a, b])$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
v L_{1}[v] \geq 0 \text { in }(a, b), \text { where } P(t) \geq 0, \\
v^{(k)}\left[P(t) v^{(2 m)}\right]^{(2 m-k)} \geq 0 \quad \text { in }(a, b), \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2 m-1,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left[P(t) v^{(2 m)}\right]^{(2 m-\nu)} \neq 0 \text { in }(a, b) \text { for some } \nu, \quad 1 \leq \nu \leq 2 m-1
$$

then at least one of $v, v^{\prime}, \ldots, v^{(2 m-1)}$ has a zero in $(a, b)$.
Recently, Kusano-Yoshida's formula (1.7) was generalized to half-linear ordinary differential operators of an arbitrary even order (see [5]).

The second Picone type identity applied to (1.5) and (1.6) has been obtained by N . Yoshida [16]. The specialization to the one-dimensional case studied here says that if $x \in D_{l_{1}}(I), y \in D_{L_{1}}(I)$ and none of $y, y^{\prime}, \ldots, y^{(2 m-2)}$ vanishes in $I$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left\{\sum _ { k = 0 } ^ { m - 1 } \frac { x ^ { ( 2 m - 2 k - 2 ) } } { y ^ { ( 2 m - 2 k - 2 ) } } \left[x^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\left(P y^{(2 m)}\right)^{(2 k+1)}-\right.\right. \\
& \left.-y^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\left(p x^{(2 m)}\right)^{(2 k+1)}\right]+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left[\left(p x^{(2 m)}\right)^{(2 m-2 k-2)} x^{(2 k+1)}-\left(P y^{(2 m)}\right)^{(2 k)}\left(\frac{\left(x^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right)^{2}}{y^{(2 m-2 k-2)}}\right)^{\prime}\right]\right\}= \\
=\frac{x^{2}}{y} L_{1}[y]-x l_{1}[x]+(p-P)\left[x^{(2 m)}\right]^{2}+(q-Q) x^{2}+ \\
+P\left[x^{(2 m)}-\frac{x^{(2 m-2)}}{y^{(2 m-2)}} y^{(2 m)}\right]^{2}+ \\
+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{\left(P y^{(2 m)}\right)^{(2 k)}}{y^{(2 m-2 k)}}\left[x^{(2 m-2 k)}-\frac{x^{(2 m-2 k-2)}}{y^{(2 m-2 k-2)}} y^{(2 m-2 k)}\right]^{2}- \\
-2 \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\left(P y^{(2 m)}\right)^{(2 k)}}{y^{(2 m-2 k-2)}}\left[x^{(2 m-2 k-1)}-\frac{x^{(2 m-2 k-2)}}{y^{(2 m-2 k-2)}} y^{(2 m-2 k-1)}\right]^{2} \tag{1.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

The following comparison theorem can be easily obtained with the help of the identity (1.8) (see [16]).

Theorem B. Assume that there exists a nontrivial function $u \in D_{l_{1}}([a, b])$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{a}^{b} u l_{1}[u] d t \leq 0 \\
u(a)=u^{\prime}(a)=\cdots=u^{(2 m-1)}(a)=u(b)=u^{\prime}(b)=\cdots=u^{(2 m-1)}(b)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
V[u] \equiv \int_{a}^{b}\left[(p(t)-P(t))\left(u^{(2 m)}\right)^{2}+(q(t)-Q(t)) u^{2}\right] d t \geq 0
$$

If $v \in D_{L_{1}}([a, b])$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{1}[v] \geq 0 \quad \text { in }(a, b), \\
(-1)^{k} v^{(2 k)}(t)>0 \text { at some point } t \in(a, b), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m-1, \\
(-1)^{m+k)}\left(P v^{(2 m)}\right)^{(2 k)} \geq 0 \text { in }(a, b), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m-2 \\
\left(P v^{(2 m)}\right)^{(2 m-2)}<0 \text { in }(a, b)
\end{gathered}
$$

then at least one of the functions $v, v^{\prime}, \ldots, v^{(2 m-2)}$ must vanish at some point of $[a, b]$.

## 2. The Generalized Picone's Identity

Let $p, P \in C^{2 m}([a, b],(0, \infty)), m \geq 1$ and $q, Q \in C([a, b], \mathbf{R})$. For a fixed $\alpha>0$ we define the function $\varphi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $\varphi(s)=|s|^{\alpha-1} s$ for $s \neq 0$ and $\varphi(0)=0$, and consider ordinary differential operators of the form

$$
l_{\alpha}[x]=\left(p(t) \varphi\left(x^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 m)}+q(t) \varphi(x)
$$

and

$$
L_{\alpha}[y]=\left(P(t) \varphi\left(y^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 m)}+Q(t) \varphi(y)
$$

with the domains $D_{l_{\alpha}}(a, b)$ (resp., $\left.D_{L_{\alpha}}(a, b)\right)$ defined to be the sets of all functions $x$ (resp., $y$ ) of the class $C^{2 m}([a, b], \mathbf{R})$ such that $p \varphi\left(x^{(2 m)}\right)$ (resp., $\left.P \varphi\left(y^{(2 m)}\right)\right)$ are in $C^{2 m}((a, b), \mathbf{R}) \bigcap C([a, b], \mathbf{R})$.

Also, by $\Phi_{\alpha}$ we denote the form defined for $X, Y \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\alpha>0$ by

$$
\Phi_{\alpha}(X, Y):=|X|^{\alpha+1}+\alpha|Y|^{\alpha+1}-(\alpha+1) X \varphi(Y)
$$

According to the Young inequality, it follows that $\Phi_{\alpha}(X, Y) \geq 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathbf{R}$ and the equality holds if and only if $X=Y$.

We begin with the following lemma which can be verified by a routine computation.

Lemma 2.1. If $x \in C^{2 m}([a, b], \mathbf{R}), y \in D_{L_{\alpha}}((a, b))$ and none of $y, y^{\prime}, \ldots$, $y^{(2 m-2)}$ vanishes in $(a, b)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left\{\sum _ { k = 0 } ^ { m - 1 } \left[-\frac{\left|x^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right|^{\alpha+1}}{\varphi\left(y^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right)}\left(P \varphi\left(y^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 k+1)}+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left(\frac{\left|x^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right|^{\alpha+1}}{\varphi\left(y^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right)}\right)^{\prime}\left(P \varphi\left(y^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 k)}\right]\right\}= \\
& =-\frac{|x|^{\alpha+1}}{\varphi(y)} L_{\alpha}[y]+Q|x|^{\alpha+1}+P\left|x^{(2 m)}\right|^{\alpha+1}-P \Phi_{\alpha}\left(x^{(2 m)}, \frac{x^{(2 m-2)}}{y^{(2 m-2)}} y^{(2 m)}\right)- \\
& -\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{\left(P \varphi\left(y^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 k)}}{\varphi\left(y^{(2 m-2 k)}\right)} \Phi_{\alpha}\left(x^{(2 m-2 k)}, \frac{x^{(2 m-2 k-2)}}{y^{(2 m-2 k-2)}} y^{(2 m-2 k)}\right)+ \\
& +\alpha(\alpha+1) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\left(P \varphi\left(y^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 k)}}{\varphi\left(y^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right)}\left|x^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right|^{\alpha-1} \times \\
& \times\left[x^{(2 m-2 k-1)}-\frac{x^{(2 m-2 k-2)}}{y^{(2 m-2 k-2)}} y^{(2 m-2 k-1)}\right]^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We now establish a stronger form of Picone's identity in which the relatively weak hypothesis from Lemma 2.1 that $x$ is any $2 m$-times continuously differentiable function is replaced by the assumption that $x$ is from the domain $\mathcal{D}_{l_{\alpha}}$ of the operator $l_{\alpha}$.

Lemma 2.2. If $x \in D_{l_{\alpha}}((a, b)), y \in D_{L_{\alpha}}((a, b))$ and none of $y, y^{\prime}, \ldots$, $y^{(2 m-2)}$ vanishes in $(a, b)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left\{\sum _ { k = 0 } ^ { m - 1 } \left[\frac{\left|x^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right|^{\alpha+1}}{\varphi\left(y^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right)}\left(P \varphi\left(y^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 k+1)}-\right.\right. \\
& -\left(P \varphi\left(y^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 k)}\left(\frac{\left|x^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right|^{\alpha+1}}{\varphi\left(y^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right)}\right)^{\prime}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\left.+\left(p \varphi\left(x^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 m-2 k-2)} x^{(2 k+1)}-x^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\left(p \varphi\left(x^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 k+1)}\right]\right\}= \\
=\frac{|x|^{\alpha+1}}{\varphi(y)} L_{\alpha}[y]-x l_{\alpha}[x]+(p-P)\left|x^{(2 m)}\right|^{\alpha+1}+(q-Q)|u|^{\alpha+1}+ \\
+P \Phi_{\alpha}\left(x^{(2 m)}, \frac{x^{(2 m-2)}}{y^{(2 m-2)}} y^{(2 m)}\right)+ \\
+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{\left(P \varphi\left(y^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 k)}}{\varphi\left(y^{(2 m-2 k)}\right)} \Phi_{\alpha}\left(x^{(2 m-2 k)}, \frac{x^{(2 m-2 k-2)}}{y^{(2 m-2 k-2)}} y^{(2 m-2 k)}\right)- \\
\quad-\alpha(\alpha+1) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\left(P \varphi\left(y^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 k)}}{\varphi\left(y^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right)}\left|x^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right|^{\alpha-1} \times \\
\times \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3. Applications

As the first application of the identity (2.1) we obtain the following result.
Theorem 3.1. If there exists a nontrivial function $u \in C^{2 m}([a, b], \mathbf{R})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(a)=u^{\prime}(a)=\cdots=u^{(2 m-1)}(a)=u(b)=\cdots=u^{(2 m-1)}(b)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\alpha}[u] \equiv \int_{a}^{b}\left[P(t)\left|u^{(2 m)}\right|^{\alpha+1}+Q(t)|u|^{\alpha+1}\right] d t \leq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there does not exist a $v \in \mathcal{D}_{L_{\alpha}}([a, b])$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{\alpha}[v] \geq 0 \text { in }(a, b),  \tag{3.3}\\
v(a)>0, \quad v(b)>0  \tag{3.4}\\
(-1)^{k} v^{(2 k)}>0 \text { in }[a, b], \quad 1 \leq k \leq m-1,  \tag{3.5}\\
(-1)^{m+k}\left(P \varphi\left(v^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 k)} \geq 0 \quad \text { in }(a, b), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m-2 \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P \varphi\left(v^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 m-2)}<0 \text { in }(a, b) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a $v \in \mathcal{D}_{L_{\alpha}}([a, b])$ satisfying (3.3)-(3.7). Since $v(a)>0, v(b)>0$ and $v^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ in $(a, b)$, it follows that $v(t)>0$ on $[a, b]$. Integrating the identity $(2.1)$ on $[a, b]$, we obtain

$$
0 \geq M_{\alpha}[u]-\int_{a}^{b} \frac{|u|^{\alpha+1}}{v^{\alpha}} L_{\alpha}[v] d t \geq
$$

$$
\geq-\alpha(\alpha+1) \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\left(P \varphi\left(v^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 m-2)}}{v^{\alpha}}|u|^{\alpha-1}\left(u^{\prime}-\frac{u}{v} v^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t \geq 0
$$

It follows that $u^{\prime}-u v^{\prime} / v=0$ in $(a, b)$ and therefore $u / v=k$ in $[a, b]$ for some nonzero constant $k$. Since $u(a)=u(b)=0$ and $v(a)>0, v(b)>0$, we have a contradiction. Hence there can exist no $v$ satisfying (3.3)-(3.7).

Theorem 3.2. If there exists a nontrivial $u \in C^{2 m}([a, b], \mathbf{R})$ satisfying (3.1) and (3.2), then every solution $v \in \mathcal{D}_{L_{\alpha}}((a, b))$ of the inequality (3.3) satisfying (3.5)-(3.7) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(t_{0}\right)>0 \text { for some } t_{0} \in(a, b) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

has zero in $[a, b]$.
Proof. If the function $v$ satisfies (3.3), (3.5)-(3.7) and (3.8), then either $v(a)<0$, and hence $v$, must vanish somewhere in $(a, b)$, or $v(a) \geq 0$. In the latter case, however, Theorem 3.1 implies that $v(a)=0$ or $v(b)=0$, and thus the proof is complete.

As an application of the identity (2.2), we derive the Sturm-type comparison theorem. It belongs to weak comparison results in the sense that the conclusion regarding to $v$ applies to $[a, b]$ rather than $(a, b)$.

Theorem 3.3. If there exists a nontrivial $u \in \mathcal{D}_{l_{\alpha}}((a, b))$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{a}^{b} u l_{\alpha}[u] d t \leq 0  \tag{3.9}\\
u(a)=u^{\prime}(a)=\cdots=u^{(2 m-1)}(a)=u(b)=\cdots=u^{(2 m-1)}(b)=0,  \tag{3.10}\\
V_{\alpha}[u] \equiv \int_{a}^{b}\left[(p(t)-P(t))\left|u^{(2 m)}\right|^{\alpha+1}+(q(t)-Q(t))|u|^{\alpha+1}\right] d t \geq 0, \tag{3.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

and if $v \in \mathcal{D}_{L_{\alpha}}((a, b))$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\alpha}[v] \geq 0 \text { in }(a, b) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-1)^{k} v^{(2 k)}\left(t_{k}\right)>0 \text { at some point } t_{k} \in(a, b), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m-1  \tag{3.13}\\
(-1)^{m+k}\left(P \varphi\left(v^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 k)} \geq 0 \quad \text { in }(a, b), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m-2 \tag{3.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P \varphi\left(v^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 m-2)}<0 \text { in }(a, b) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then at least one of $v, v^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, v^{(2 m-2)}$ vanishes somewhere in $[a, b]$.
Proof. Suppose that none of $v, v^{\prime}, \ldots, v^{(2 m-2)}$ vanishes in $[a, b]$. From the identity (2.2) integrated on $[a, b]$ we obtain, in view of the the conditions of the theorem, that

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=V_{\alpha}[u]+\int_{a}^{b} \frac{|u|^{\alpha+1}}{v^{\alpha}} L_{\alpha}[v] d t-\int_{a}^{b} u l_{\alpha}[u] d t+\int_{a}^{b} P \Phi_{\alpha}\left(u^{(2 m)}, \frac{u^{(2 m-2)}}{v^{(2 m-2)}} v^{(2 m)}\right) d t+ \\
+\int_{a}^{b}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{\left(P \varphi\left(v^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 k)}}{\varphi\left(v^{(2 m-2 k)}\right)} \Phi_{\alpha}\left(u^{(2 m-2 k)}, \frac{u^{(2 m-2 k-2)}}{v^{(2 m-2 k-2)}} v^{(2 m-2 k)}\right)\right\} d t- \\
-\alpha(\alpha+1) \int_{a}^{b}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\left(P \varphi\left(v^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 k)}}{\varphi\left(v^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right)}\left|u^{(2 m-2 k-2)}\right|^{\alpha-1} \times\right. \\
\left.\times\left[u^{2 m-2 k-1)}-\frac{u^{(2 m-2 k-2)}}{v^{(2 m-2 k-2)}} v^{(2 m-2 k-1)}\right]^{2}\right\} d t \geq \\
\geq-\alpha(\alpha+1) \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\left(P \varphi\left(v^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 m-2)}}{v^{\alpha}}|u|^{\alpha-1}\left(u^{\prime}-\frac{u}{v} v^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently, $u^{\prime}-u v^{\prime} / v=0$ in $(a, b)$, that is, $u / v=k$ in $(a, b)$, and hence on $[a, b]$ by continuity, for some nonzero constant $k$. However, this is not the case since $u(a)=u(b)=0$, whereas $v(t)>0$ on $[a, b]$. This contradiction shows that at least one of $v, v^{\prime}, \ldots, v^{(2 m-2)}$ must vanish in $[a, b]$.

Finally, we use the identity (2.2) to obtain a lower bound for the first eigenvalue of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
l_{\alpha}[u]=\lambda \varphi(u) \text { in }(a, b)  \tag{3.16}\\
u(a)=u^{\prime}(a)=\cdots=u^{(2 m-1)}(a)=u(b)=\cdots=u^{(2 m-1)}(b)=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $\lambda_{1}$ be the first eigenvalue of the problem (3.16)-(3.17) and $u_{1} \in \mathcal{D}_{l_{\alpha}}((a, b))$ be the corresponding eigenfunction. If there exists a function $v \in \mathcal{D}_{L_{\alpha}}((a, b))$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{k} v^{(2 k)} & >0 \text { in }[a, b], 0 \leq k \leq m-1, \\
(-1)^{m+k}\left(P \varphi\left(v^{(2 m)}\right)\right)^{(2 k)} \geq 0 & \text { in }(a, b), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and if $V_{\alpha}\left[u_{1}\right] \geq 0$, then $\lambda_{1} \geq \inf _{t \in(a, b)}\left[\frac{L_{\alpha}[v]}{v^{\alpha}}\right]$.
Proof. The identity (2.2) in view of the above hypotheses implies that

$$
\lambda_{1} \int_{a}^{b}\left|u_{1}\right|^{\alpha+1} d t-\int_{a}^{b}\left|u_{1}\right|^{\alpha+1} \frac{L_{\alpha}[v]}{v^{\alpha}} d t \geq 0
$$

from which the conclusion follows readily.
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