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Let $-\infty<a<b<+\infty, I=[a, b], n$ be a natural number, and let $f: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $h: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be continuous operators. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d x(t)}{d t} & =f(x)(t)  \tag{1}\\
h(x) & =0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

by a solution of which we mean an absolutely continuous vector function $x: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying both the system (1.1) almost everywhere on $I$ and the condition (1.2).

The well-posedness of this problem is more or less satisfactorily investigated only in the cases when $f$ is either the linear, or the Nemytski operator (see, e.g., [1]-[9] and the references therein). In a general case to which we propose the present paper, the well-posedness of the problem (1), (2) remains still little studied.

In what follows, the following notation will be used.
$\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers, $\mathbb{R}_{+}=[0,+\infty[$;
$\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the space of $n$-dimensional vectors $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ with components $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}(i=$ $1, \ldots, n)$ and the norm

$$
\|x\|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|
$$

$C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the space of continuous vector functions $x: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the norm

$$
\|x\|_{C}=\max \{\|x(t)\|: t \in I\}
$$

$L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the space of vector functions $x: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with Lebesgue integrable components and the norm

$$
\|x\|_{C}=\int_{a}^{b}\|x(t)\| d t
$$

$L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\{x \in L(I ; \mathbb{R}): x(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in I\} ;$
$M\left(I \times \mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is the set of nondecreasing in the second argument functions $\omega$ : $I \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\omega(\cdot, \rho) \in L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$for $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\omega(t, 0)=0$ for $t \in I$.

If $\left.x^{0} \in C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \rho \in\right] 0,+\infty\left[, \eta^{*} \in L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right.$and $\eta: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then we put

$$
\mathcal{U}\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right)=\left\{x \in C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right):\left\|x-x^{0}\right\|<\rho\right\}
$$

and denote by $\mathcal{U}_{\eta, \eta^{*}}\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right)$ the set of absolutely continuous vector functions $x \in \mathcal{U}\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|x^{\prime}(t)-\eta\left(x^{0}\right)(t)\right\| \leq \eta^{*}(t) \text { for almost all } t \in I
$$
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Along with (1), (2) we will consider the perturbed problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d x(t)}{d t}=f(x)(t)+\eta(x)(t)  \tag{3}\\
h(x)+\gamma(x)=0 \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\eta: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\gamma: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are continuous operators.
Let $x^{0}$ be a solution of the problem (1), (2), and let $\rho$ be a positive constant. Introduce the following definitions.

Definition 1. The problem (1), (2) is said to be ( $x^{0} ; \rho$ )-well-posed if for any $\left.\varepsilon \in\right] 0, \rho[$, $\left.\rho^{*} \in\right] 0,+\infty\left[, \eta^{*} \in L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right.$and $\omega \in M\left(I \times \mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$there exists $\delta>0$ such that no matter how are the continuous operators $\eta: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\gamma: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\eta(x)(t)-\eta(y)(t)\| \leq \omega\left(t,\|x-y\|_{C}\right), \quad\|\gamma(x)\| \leq \rho \text { for } t \in I, \quad x \text { and } y \in \mathcal{U}\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right) \\
\left\|\int_{a}^{t} \eta(x)(s) d s\right\| \leq \delta, \quad\|\gamma(x)\|<\delta \text { for } t \in I, \quad x \in \mathcal{U}_{\eta, \eta^{*}}\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

the perturbed problem (3), (4) has at least one solution contained in the ball $\mathcal{U}\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right)$, and each of such solutions belongs also to the ball $\mathcal{U}\left(x^{0} ; \varepsilon\right)$.

Definition 2. The problem (1), (2) is said to be well-posed if it is $\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right)$-well-posed for an arbitrary $\rho>0$.

Definition 3. The pair $(p, \ell)$ of continuous operators $p: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\ell: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be consistent if:
(i) for any $x \in C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the operators $p(x, \cdot): C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\ell(x, \cdot)$ : $C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are linear;
(ii) there exist an integrable in the first argument and nondecreasing in the second argument function $\alpha: I \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$and a nondecreasing function $\alpha_{0}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that for arbitrary $x$ and $y \in C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and for almost all $t \in I$ the inequalities

$$
\|p(x, y)(t)\| \leq \alpha\left(t,\|x\|_{C}\right)\|y\|_{C}, \quad\|\ell(x, y)\| \leq \alpha_{0}\left(\|x\|_{C}\right)\|y\|_{C}
$$

are fulfilled;
(iii) there exists a positive constant $\beta$ such that for any $x \in C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), q \in L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $c_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, an arbitrary solution $y$ of the boundary value problem

$$
\frac{d y(t)}{d t}=p(x, y)(t)+q(t), \quad \ell(x, y)=c_{0}
$$

admits the estimate

$$
\|y\|_{C} \leq \beta\left(\left\|c_{0}\right\|+\|q\|_{L}\right) .
$$

Definition 4. A solution $x^{0}$ of the problem (1), (2) is said to be strongly isolated in radius $\rho_{0}$, if there exist a consistent pair $(p, \ell)$ of continuous operators $p: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times$ $C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\ell: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and continuous operators $q$ : $C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $c_{0}: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup \{\|q(x)(\cdot)\| & \left.: x \in C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\} \in L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \quad \sup \left\{\left\|c_{0}(x)\right\|: x \in C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\}<+\infty  \tag{5}\\
f(x)(t) & =p(x, x)(t)+q(x)(t), \quad h(x)=\ell(x, x)-c_{0}(x) \text { for } x \in \mathcal{U}\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x(t)}{d t}=p(x, x)(t)+q(x)(t), \quad \ell(x, x)=c_{0}(x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no solution, different from $x^{0}$.
Theorem 1. If the problem (1), (2) has a solution $x^{0}$ which is strongly isolated in radius $\rho>0$, then this problem is $\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right)$-well-posed.

Corollary 1. Let there exist a solution $x^{0}$ of the problem (1), (2), constants $\rho_{0}>0$, $\alpha_{0}>0$, a function $\alpha \in L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and continuous operators $p: \mathcal{U}\left(x^{0} ; \rho_{0}\right) \times C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\ell: \mathcal{U}\left(x^{0} ; \rho_{0}\right) \times C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that for arbitrary $x \in \mathcal{U}\left(x^{0} ; \rho_{0}\right)$, $y \in C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and for almost all $t \in I$ the conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|p(x, y)(t)\| \leq \alpha(t)\|y\|_{C}, \quad\|\ell(x, y)\| \leq \alpha_{0}\|y\|_{C} \\
f(x)(t)-f\left(x^{0}\right)(t)=p\left(x, x-x^{0}\right)(t), \quad h(x)-h\left(x^{0}\right)=\ell\left(x, x-x^{0}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

are fulfilled. Let, moreover, for an arbitrary $x \in \mathcal{U}\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right)$ the operators $p(x, \cdot): C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\ell(x, \cdot): C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be linear and the homogeneous problem

$$
\frac{d y(t)}{d t}=p\left(x^{0}, y\right)(t), \quad \ell\left(x^{0}, y\right)=0
$$

have only a trivial solution. Then for sufficiently small $\rho>0$ the problem (1), (2) is $\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right)$-well-posed.

Corollary 2. Let $p: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), q: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, $\ell: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $c_{0}: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be continuous operators such that the pair $(p, \ell)$ is consistent and the conditions (5) are fulfilled. Then the unique solvability of the problem (6) guarantees its well-posedness.

For an arbitrary natural number $k$, we consider now the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d x(t)}{d t}=f(x)(t)+\eta_{k}(t, \zeta(x)(t))  \tag{k}\\
h(x)+\gamma_{k}(x)=0 \tag{k}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\eta_{k}: I \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a vector function satisfying the local Carathéodory conditions, while $\zeta: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and $\gamma_{k}: C\left(I ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are continuous operators, and $\zeta$ and $m$ are independent of $k$.

By $X_{k}\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right)$ we denote the set of solutions of the problem $\left(7_{k}\right),\left(8_{k}\right)$ contained in the ball $\mathcal{U}\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right)$.

Theorem 2. Let the problem (1), (2) have a solution $x^{0}$ which is strongly isolated in radius $\rho>0$, and let there exist $\rho_{0}>0, \omega \in M\left(I \times \mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and a continuous function $\omega_{0}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\omega_{0}(0)=0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\zeta(x)\|_{C} \leq \rho_{0}, \quad\|\zeta(x)-\zeta(\bar{x})\|_{C} \leq \omega_{0}\left(\|x-\bar{x}\|_{C}\right) \\
\left\|\gamma_{k}(x)-\gamma_{k}(\bar{x})\right\| \leq \omega_{0}\left(\|x-\bar{x}\|_{C}\right) \text { for } x \text { and } \bar{x} \in \mathcal{U}\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\eta_{k}(t, z)-\eta_{k}(t, \bar{z})\right\| \leq \omega(t,\|z-\bar{z}\|) \text { for } t \in I, \quad\|z\| \leq \rho_{0}, \quad\left\|\bar{z}_{0}\right\| \leq \rho_{0}
$$

Let, moreover,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \gamma_{k}(x)=0 \text { for } x \in \mathcal{U}\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right) \\
\sup \left\{\left\|\int_{a}^{t} \eta_{k}(s, z) d s\right\|: t \in I, z \in \mathbb{R}^{m},\|z\| \leq \rho_{0}\right\} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Then there exists a natural number $k_{0}$ such that $X_{k}\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right) \neq \varnothing$ for $k \geq k_{0}$ and

$$
\sup \left\{\left\|x-x^{0}\right\|: x \in X_{k}\left(x^{0} ; \rho\right)\right\} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty
$$
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