G. Khuskivadze and V. Paatashvili

ON A PROPERTY OF HARMONIC
FUNCTIONS FROM THE SMIRNOV CLASS


#### Abstract

It is proved that for harmonic functions from the Smirnov class $e\left(L_{1 p}\left(\rho_{1}\right), L_{2 q}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$ (i.e., for functions satisfying the inequality (2)) in a simply connected domain with the Lyapunov boundary $L$ almost everywhere on $L$ there exist the angular boundary values which on the part $L_{2}$ of the boundary form an absolutely continuous function.
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The boundary value problems for harmonic functions are, as usual, considered in different functional classes and the character of their solvability depends considerably on the choice of a class of unknown functions.

When considering Zaremba's mixed boundary value problem, the boundary of the domain is divided into two parts $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ and it is required to find a harmonic function from a class $A$ such that on the portion $L_{1}$ the boundary function of that function and on the portion $L_{2}$ the boundary function of its normal derivative take preassigned values. In the capacity of the class $A$, one of the possible sets is the set of harmonic functions such that the integral $p$-means are bounded "near" $L_{1}$ and the integral $q$-means of their partial derivatives are bounded "near" $L_{2}$. Since in the role of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ there appear finite unions of arcs, it is natural to consider weighted integral means with singularities at the ends of those arcs.

Proceeding from the above reasoning, in the works [1, 2] the authors, in connection with the study of Zaremba's problem, have introduced the classes $e\left(L_{1 p}\left(\rho_{1}\right), L_{2 q}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$.

As far as the boundedness of integral means is taken as the basis in determining Smirnov classes of analytic functions, the above-introduced class is naturally called the Smirnov class of harmonic functions.

In $[1,2]$, the solution of the mixed boundary value problem, besides its belonging to the class $e\left(L_{1 p}\left(\rho_{1}\right), L_{2 q}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$, is required to be absolutely continuous on $L_{2}$. However, it turns out that any function from the above-indicated class possesses the latter property. In the present paper we prove this fact. In Section $1^{0}$ we present the definition of the class $e\left(L_{1 p}\left(\rho_{1}\right), L_{2 q}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$ and cite some properties of functions from that class established in [2] which will be needed in the sequel. In Section $2^{0}$ we prove absolute continuity on $L_{2}$ of the boundary function of the function from $e\left(L_{1 p}\left(\rho_{1}\right), L_{2 q}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$.
$1^{0}$. Let $D$ be a simply connected domain bounded by a simple rectifiable curve $L$ and let $\mathcal{L}_{k}=\left(A_{k}, B_{k}\right), k=\overline{1, m}$ be arcs lying separately on $L$. By $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{m}$ we denote the points $A_{k}, B_{k}$ taken arbitrarily. Assume $L_{1}=$ $\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \mathcal{L}_{k}, L_{2}=L \backslash L_{1}$. $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{n}$ denote the points on $L$ different from ${ }_{C}^{k=1}$; note that the points $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n_{1}}$ are located on $L_{1}$ while $D_{n_{1}+1} \ldots D_{n}$ on $L_{2}$. Assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}(z)=\prod_{k=1}^{n_{1}}\left|z-D_{k}\right|^{\alpha_{k}}, \quad \rho_{2}(z)=\prod_{k=1}^{2 m}\left|z-C_{k}\right|^{\alpha_{k}} \prod_{k=n_{1}+1}^{n}\left|z-D_{k}\right|^{\beta_{k}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z=z(w)$ be the conformal mapping of the unit circle $U=\{w:|w|<1\}$ onto the domain $D$, and let $w=w(z)$ be the inverse mapping. Suppose $\Gamma_{1}=$ $w\left(L_{1}\right), \Gamma_{2}=w\left(L_{2}\right), \Gamma_{j}(r)=\left\{w: w=r e^{i \theta}, e^{i \theta} \in \Gamma_{j}\right\}, L_{j}(r)=z\left(\Gamma_{j}(r)\right)$.

We say that a harmonic in the domain $D$ function $u(z), z=x+i y=r e^{i \theta}$ belongs to the class $e\left(L_{1 p}\left(\rho_{1}\right), L_{2 q}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{r}\left[\int_{L_{1}(r)}\left|u(z) \rho_{1}(z)\right|^{p}|d z|+\int_{L_{2}(r)}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(z)\right|^{q}+\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(z)\right|^{q}\right) \rho_{2}^{q}(z)|d z|\right]<\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case where $D$ coincides with the unit circle, this class will be denoted by $h\left(\Gamma_{1 p}\left(\rho_{1}\right), \Gamma_{2 q}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$. For $\Gamma_{1}=\gamma=\{t:|t|=1\}$ and $\rho_{1} \equiv 1$, we obtain the well-known class $h_{p}$ ([3], p. 373).

Statement 1 (see [2]). If $p>1, q>1$ and for the weights $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ we have $-\frac{1}{p}<\alpha_{k}<\frac{1}{p^{\prime}},-\frac{1}{q}<\gamma_{k}<\frac{1}{q^{\prime}},-\frac{1}{q}<\beta_{k}<\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}\left(p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}, q^{\prime}=\frac{q}{q-1}\right)$ and $u \in h\left(\Gamma_{1 p}\left(\rho_{1}\right), \Gamma_{2 q}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$, then:
(i) there exists $\sigma>1$ such that $u \in h_{\sigma}$;
(ii) if $v$ is the function harmonically conjugate to $u$, then $v \in h\left(\Gamma_{1 p_{1}}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right.$, $\left.\Gamma_{2 q}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$, where $p_{1}=\frac{p \sigma}{p+\sigma}$;
(iii) if, however, $u \in e\left(L_{1 p}\left(\rho_{1}\right), L_{2 q}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$, then the function $U(w)=$ $u(z(w))$ belongs to the class $h\left(\Gamma_{1 p}\left(\omega_{1}\right), \Gamma_{2 q}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right)$, where $\omega_{1}(w)=\rho_{1}(z(w)) \times$ $\times \sqrt[p]{\left|z^{\prime}(w)\right|}, \omega_{2}(w)=\rho_{2}(z(w)) \sqrt[q]{\left|z^{\prime}(w)\right|}$.

Due to this fact, if $u \in h\left(\Gamma_{1 p}\left(\rho_{1}\right), \Gamma_{2 q}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$, then:
(a) almost everywhere on $\gamma$ there exist angular boundary values $u^{+}(t)$, and $u\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ can be represented by the Poisson integral of the function $u^{+}$;
(b) if $\phi(z)=u(z)+i v(z)$, then $\phi \in H^{\sigma}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|z|=r} \int_{\Theta\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)}\left|\phi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{q} \omega_{2}^{q}(z)|d z|<\infty, \Theta\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)=\left\{\theta: 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi, e^{i \theta} \in \Gamma_{2}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(for the definition of Hardy classes $H^{6}$ see [3], p. 388).
$2^{0}$. Theorem. Let $p>1, q>1$, the weight functions $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ be given by the equalities (1), where $\alpha_{k} \in\left(-\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right), \gamma_{k}, \beta_{k} \in\left(-\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{q^{\prime}}\right)$, and let $u \in$ $h\left(\Gamma_{1 p}\left(\rho_{1}\right), \Gamma_{2 q}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$. Then the function $u$ can be continuously extended to every closed arc lying on $\Gamma_{2}$. Moreover, the boundary function $u^{+}(t)$ is such that there exist the limits

$$
u\left(A_{k}-\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow A_{k}-} u^{+}(t), \quad u\left(B_{k-1}+\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow B_{k-1}+} u^{+}(t), \quad k=\overline{2, m}
$$

and the obtained in such a way function is absolutely continuous on $\Gamma_{2}$. Moreover, $\frac{\partial u^{+}}{\partial \theta} \in L^{q}\left(\Gamma_{2} ; \rho_{2}\right)$.
Proof. It suffices to consider the case where $m=1$, i.e., we assume that $\gamma_{a b}$ is the arc of the circumference $\gamma$ with the ends $a$ and $b$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sup _{r}\left[\int_{\Theta\left(\gamma \backslash \gamma_{a b}\right)}\left(u\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \rho_{1}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)^{p} d \theta+\right. \\
\left.+\int_{\Theta\left(\gamma_{a b}\right)}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{q}+\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{q}\right) \rho_{2}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta\right]<\infty, \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Theta(E)=\left\{\theta: e^{i \theta} \in E, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi\right\}$.
Let the function $v$ be harmonically conjugate to the function $u$, and $\phi=u+i v$. According to Statement $1, \phi \in H^{\sigma} \subset H^{1}$, and therefore $\phi(z)$ possesses angular boundary values almost everywhere on $\gamma$. Thus in arbitrarily small neighbourhoods of the points $a$ and $b$ there are the points
$\widetilde{a}=e^{i \widetilde{\alpha}}, \widetilde{b}=e^{i \widetilde{b}}, \widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b} \in \gamma_{a b}$ at which there exist angular boundary values $\phi^{+}(\widetilde{a}), \phi^{+}(\widetilde{b})$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u^{+}(\theta) \frac{e^{i \theta}+z}{e^{i \theta}-z} d \theta \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now the domain $G \subset U$ which is bounded by the radii passing through the points $\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b}$ and by the arc of the circumference $\gamma_{\widetilde{a} \widetilde{b}} \subset \gamma_{a b}$. Let us show that $\phi^{\prime} \subset E^{1}(G)$ (for the definition of the classes $E^{p}(G), p>0$, see [3], p. 422). Towards this end, it is sufficient to construct a sequence of rectifiable curves $\gamma_{n} \subset G$ converging to the boundary for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n} \int_{\gamma_{n}}\left|\phi^{\prime}(z)\right||d z|<\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see, e.g., [3], p. 422-423).
Let $\left\{\widetilde{a}_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\widetilde{b}_{n}\right\}$ be sequences of points on $\gamma_{a b}$, converging respectively to the points $\widetilde{a}$ and $\widetilde{b}$. Consider the curves $\gamma_{1 n}=\left\{z: z=\rho e^{i \widetilde{\alpha}_{n}}, \frac{1}{n}<\rho<\right.$ $\left.r_{n}=1-\frac{1}{n}\right\}, \gamma_{2 n}=\left\{z: z=\rho e^{i \widetilde{\beta}_{n}}, \frac{1}{n}<\rho<r_{n}\right\}, \gamma_{3 n}=\left\{z: z=\frac{1}{n} e^{i \alpha}\right.$, $\left.\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}<\alpha<\widetilde{\beta}_{n}\right\}, \gamma_{4 n}=\left\{z: z=r_{n} e^{i \alpha}, \widetilde{\alpha_{n}}<\alpha<\widetilde{\beta}_{n}\right\}$, where we put $\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}=\arg \widetilde{a}_{n}, \widetilde{\beta}_{n}=\arg b_{n}$ and let $\gamma_{n}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{4} \gamma_{j n}, n>2$. It is obvious that $\gamma_{n}$ converges to the boundary $G$. Let us prove that the inequality (6) is valid for $\gamma_{n}$.

Let $r<r_{n}<\rho$; choose a point $e^{i \alpha}$ between $a$ and $\widetilde{a}$ and a point $e^{i \beta}$ between $\widetilde{b}$ and $b$ with the condition that there exist $\phi^{+}\left(e^{i \alpha}\right)$ and $\phi^{+}\left(e^{i \beta}\right)$. We write $\left(-2 \pi i \phi^{\prime}\right)$ in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
-2 \pi i \phi^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \varphi}\right) & =\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{\rho \phi\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right) d e^{i \theta}}{\left(\rho e^{i \theta}-r e^{i \varphi}\right)^{2}}+\int_{2 \pi \backslash[\alpha, \beta]} \frac{\rho \phi\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right) d e^{i \theta}}{\left(\rho e^{i \theta}-r e^{i \varphi}\right)^{2}}= \\
& =\phi_{1}\left(r e^{i \varphi}\right)+\phi_{2}\left(r e^{i \varphi}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the distance from $\gamma_{n}$ to the arc $\gamma \backslash\left(e^{i \alpha}, e^{i \beta}\right)$ is positive, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n} \int_{\gamma_{n}}\left|\phi_{2}(z)\right||d z| \leq M_{1} \sup _{\rho} \int_{\Theta\left(\gamma \backslash \gamma_{a b}\right)}\left|\phi\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta<\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimate now the integrals of $\phi_{1}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma_{n}}\left|\phi_{1}(z)\right||d x| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{4} \int_{\gamma_{j n}}\left|\phi_{1}(z)\right||d z|=\sum_{j=1}^{4} I_{j n} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{1 n}=\int_{1 / n}^{r_{n}}\left|\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \phi\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right) \frac{d}{d \theta} \frac{1}{\rho e^{i \theta}-r e^{i \alpha_{n}}}\right| d r= \\
=\int_{1 / n}^{r_{n}}\left|\frac{\phi\left(\rho e^{i \beta}\right)}{\rho e^{i \beta}-r e^{i \alpha_{n}}}-\frac{\phi\left(\rho e^{i \alpha}\right)}{\rho e^{i \alpha}-r e^{i \alpha_{n}}}-\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{\phi^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{\rho e^{i \theta}-r e^{i \alpha_{n}}} d e^{i \theta}\right| d r . \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\phi^{+}\left(e^{i \alpha}\right)$ and $\phi^{+}\left(e^{i \beta}\right)$ exist and the distance from the points $\rho e^{i \beta}$, $\rho e^{i \alpha}$ to $\gamma_{1 n}$ is positive, it follows from (8) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{1 n} \leq M+\int_{1 / n}^{r_{n}}\left|\frac{\phi^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right) d e^{i \theta}}{\rho e^{i \theta}-r e^{i \alpha_{n}}}\right| d r \leq \\
\leq M+\int_{1 / n}^{r_{n}}\left|\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta}{\sqrt{(\rho-r)^{2}+4 \rho r \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta-\alpha_{n}}{2}}}\right| d r=M+J_{n} . \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next, taking into account that $n \geq 3$ and $\sin x>\frac{2}{\pi} x$ for $|x|<\frac{\pi}{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{n} & \leq \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \int_{1 / n}^{r_{n}} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{(\rho-r)^{2}+4 \rho r \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta-\alpha_{n}}{2}}} \leq \\
& \leq \frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{\rho}} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \int_{1 / n}^{r_{n}} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{r} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\rho-r}{\theta-\alpha_{n}}\right)^{2}+1}} d \theta \leq \\
& \leq M_{1} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \int_{1 / n}^{r} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\rho-r}{\theta-\alpha_{n}}\right)^{2}+1}} d \theta \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming $(\rho-r)\left|\theta-\alpha_{n}\right|^{-1}=x$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{r} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\rho-r}{\theta-\alpha_{n}}\right)^{2}+1}}=\frac{1}{\left|\theta-\alpha_{n}\right|} \int_{\frac{\rho-r_{n}}{\left|\theta-\alpha_{n}\right|}}^{\frac{\rho}{\left|\theta-\alpha_{n}\right|}} \frac{\left|\theta-\alpha_{n}\right| d x}{\sqrt{x^{2}+1}} \leq \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{\frac{\rho}{\left|\theta-\alpha_{n}\right|}} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{x^{2}+1}}+\int_{1}^{\frac{\rho}{\theta-\alpha \mid}} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{x^{2}+1}} \leq 1+\ln \left|\frac{1}{\theta-\alpha_{n}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

The inequality (9) implies that

$$
J_{n} \leq M_{2}\left(\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right) \rho_{2}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{q} d \theta\right)^{1 / q}\left(\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{d \theta}{\left|\rho_{2}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right) \ln \right| \theta-\alpha_{n}| | q^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}
$$

Taking into account that $\gamma_{k}<\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}$, the last inequality, (3) and (9) allow us to conclude that $\sup I_{1 n}<\infty$. Just in the same way we can establish that $\sup I_{2 n}<\infty$. The estimate for $I_{3 n}$ is obvious.
$\stackrel{n}{n}$ Further,

$$
I_{4 n}=\int_{\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}}^{\widetilde{\beta}_{n}}\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta \leq \int_{\Theta\left(\gamma_{a b}\right)}\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(r_{n} e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta
$$

and from (3) it follows that $\sup I_{4 n}<\infty$.
Thus $\sup I_{j n}<\infty, j=\frac{n}{1,4}$, and therefore (7), ( $7_{1}$ ) and ( $7_{2}$ ) show that the inequality (6) is valid. In particular, we conclude that angular boundary values $\phi^{\prime}(t)$ exist almost everywhere on $\gamma_{\widetilde{a} \tilde{b}}$ for any $\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b} \in \gamma_{a b}$ at which $\phi^{+}(\widetilde{a})$, $\phi^{+}(\widetilde{b})$ exist. Since such $\widetilde{a}$ and $\widetilde{b}$ lie arbitrarily close to $a$ and $b, \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \phi^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ exists almost everywhere on $\Theta\left(\gamma_{a b}\right)$. By Fatou's lemma, the expressions (3) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Theta\left(\gamma_{a b}\right)}\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \rho_{2}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{q} d \theta<\infty \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the inequalities $-\frac{1}{q}<\gamma_{k}<\frac{1}{q^{\prime}},-\frac{1}{q}<\beta_{k}<\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}$, it is not difficult to establish the existence of $\varepsilon, \varepsilon>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Theta\left(\gamma_{a b}\right)}\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{1+\varepsilon} d \theta \leq M<\infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\phi^{\prime} \in E^{1}(G)$, the function $\phi(z)$ is continuous on $G$ and $\phi(t)=\phi^{+}(t)$ is absolutely continuous on the boundary of $G$ (see, e.g., [4], p. 208). Thus $\phi(t)$ is absolutely continuous on the $\operatorname{arcs} \gamma_{\tilde{a} \tilde{b}}$ and, consequently, is such on every closed arc lying on $\gamma_{a b}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\int_{\widetilde{\alpha}}^{\theta} \phi_{\theta}^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta-\phi\left(e^{i \widetilde{\alpha}}\right), \quad \widetilde{\alpha} \leq \theta \leq \widetilde{\beta} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (12) and (13) it follows that the limits

$$
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow(\arg a)+} \phi\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\phi(a+), \quad \lim _{\theta \rightarrow(\arg b)-} \phi\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\phi(b-)
$$

exist. Therefore the representation (13) is valid for any $\theta, e^{i \theta} \in \gamma_{a b}$ if we replace $\phi\left(e^{i \widetilde{\alpha}}\right)$ by $\phi(a+)$. Hence $\phi(t)$ is absolutely continuous on $\bar{\gamma}_{a b}$. Moreover, the inequality (11) holds. Since $u(z)=\operatorname{Re} \phi(z)$, this implies that all the assertions of the theorem about the function $u(z)$ are true.

Incidentally, we have proved the following

Statement 2. If $\phi \in H^{1}$ and for some $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\sup _{r} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left|\operatorname{Re} \phi^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{1+\varepsilon} d \theta<\infty, \quad 0 \leq \alpha<\beta \leq 2 \pi
$$

then $\phi(z)$ is continuously extendable to every closed arc lying on the arc $\gamma_{a b}$ with $a=e^{i \alpha}, b=e^{i \beta}$, there exist the limits

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow a+} \phi^{+}(t)=\phi^{+}(a+), \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow b-} \phi^{+}(t)=\phi^{+}(b-)
$$

and the function $\phi^{+}(t)$ is absolutely continuous on $\bar{\gamma}_{a b}$.
Let $z=t(s)$ be the equation of the curve $L$ with respect to the arc coordinate. Taking into account the property of the absolute continuity of the function $w(t(s))$ with respect to $s$ on $[0, l]$ and of the function $z\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ with respect to $\theta$ on $[0,2 \pi]$, due to the fact that in the case of Lyapunov curves we have $0<m \leq\left|z^{\prime}(w)\right| \leq M$ (see, e.g., [3], pp. 405, 407, 411), one can, using the above-proven theorem, establish that the statement of the above theorem is valid for any functions of the class e $\left(L_{1 p}\left(\rho_{1}\right), L_{2 q}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right)$ if $L$ is Lyapunov curve.
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