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For the isotonic compact integral operator

$$
(A x)(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{a}^{b} K(t, s) x(s) d s \quad(K(t, s) \geq 0, \quad(t, s) \in[a, b] \times[a, b])
$$

in the space $\mathbb{C}[a, b]$ of continuous on $[a, b]$ functions the following assertion holds: the spectral radius $\rho(A)$ of $A: \mathbb{C}[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[a, b]$ is less than 1 if and only if there exists a $v \in \mathbb{C}[a, b]$ such that

$$
v(t) \geq 0, \quad r(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} v(t)-(A v)(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in[a, b]
$$

Besides, the set of zeros of $r$ is at most countable. This assertion plays an important role in the theory of differential equations. In the theory of functional differential equations, there arises the necessity in the estimate $\rho(A)<1$ for the isotonic operator $A: \mathbb{C}[a, b] \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{C}[a, b]$ which is not integral [1]. The above assertion is a corollary of G.G. Islamov's theorem [2, 3]. In accordance with this theorem, the inequality $\rho(A)<1$ for a general isotonic compact linear operator $A: \mathbb{C}[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[a, b]$ holds if and only if there exists a $v \in \mathbb{C}[a, b]$ such that

$$
v(t) \geq 0, \quad r(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} v(t)-(A v)(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in[a, b]
$$

the set of zeros of $r$ being at most countable, and besides $r(t)>0$ at some special points of $[a, b]$, the so-called "singular points".

The refusal from the compactness of $A$ and the weakening of the demand concerning $r$ became possible at the expense of some properties of $A$. We offer some development of the ideas proposed in [4].

Let $T \subset \mathbb{R}^{1}$ be a Lebesgue-measurable set, mes $T \leq+\infty, \mathbb{C}$ be the Banach space of continuous bounded functions $x: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1},\|x\|_{\mathbb{C}}=\sup _{t \in T}|x(t)|$. Let further $\gamma: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ be continuous, $\gamma(t)>0, t \in T, \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ be a Banach space of the functions $x: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ such that $\frac{x}{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C},\|x\|_{\mathbb{C}^{\gamma}}=\sup _{t \in T} \frac{|x(t)|}{\gamma(t)}$. The linear operator $A: \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ is said to be isotonic, if $(A x)(t) \geq 0, t \in T$, for any $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that $x(t) \geq 0, t \in T$.

Lemma. Let $A: \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ be linear, bounded and isotonic. $\rho(A)<1$ if and only if there exists $v \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that

$$
\inf _{t \in T} \frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)}>0, \quad \inf _{t \in T} \frac{v(t)-(A v)(t)}{\gamma(t)}>0
$$
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Note that for the case $T=[a, b], \gamma(t) \equiv 1$ this assertion is well known.
Proof. The necessity is obtained by taking the solution of the equation $x-A x=\gamma$ in the capacity of $v$.

To prove the sufficiency, let us introduce in the space $\mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ a new norm $\|x\|_{v}=\sup _{t \in T} \frac{|x(t)|}{v(t)}$. Then for the norm $\|A\|_{v}$ of $A$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{v}$ we have $\|A\|_{v}=\|A v\|_{v}$. Since $\|A v\|_{v}<1$, by the assertion we obtain $\rho(A) \leq\|A\|_{v}<1$.

The demands concerning $v$ and $r=v-A v$ might be weakened at the expense of additional assumptions on the properties of $A$. One of such properties is

Property M. We will say that a linear operator $A: \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ has Property $M$, if $\inf _{t \in T} \frac{(A x)(t)}{\gamma(t)}>0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that $x(t) \geq 0, x(t) \not \equiv 0, t \in T$.

Theorem 1. Let a linear bounded $A: \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ have Property $M$. Let further there exist $v \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that

$$
\inf _{t \in T} \frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)}>0, \quad r(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} v(t)-(A v)(t) \geq 0, \quad r(t) \not \equiv 0, \quad t \in T
$$

Then $\rho(A)<1$.
Proof. The proof is needed only in the case $\inf _{t \in T} \frac{r(t)}{\gamma(t)}=0$. Applying $A$ to the both parts of the equality $v-A v=r$, we get $A v-A^{2} v=A r$. From this and the inequality $v(t)-(A v)(t) \geq 0$ we have

$$
r_{1}(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} v(t)-\left(A^{2} v\right)(t) \geq(A r)(t) .
$$

Consequently, $\inf _{t \in T} \frac{r_{1}(t)}{\gamma(t)}>0$. Because of Lemma, $\rho\left(A^{2}\right)<1$. Thus

$$
\rho(A)=\sqrt{\rho\left(A^{2}\right)}<1
$$

Remark 1. It is impossible to weaken the condition of Lemma about $v$ in the presence of Property $M$. Indeed, from $r(t) \geq 0$, there follow

$$
\frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)} \geq \frac{(A v)(t)}{\gamma(t)} \quad \text { and } \quad \inf _{t \in T} \frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)} \geq \inf _{t \in T} \frac{(A v)(t)}{\gamma(t)}>0
$$

if $v(t) \geq 0, v(t) \not \equiv 0$.
Property N. We will say that a linear operator $A: \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ has Property $N$, if there exist a measurable set $\Delta \subset T$ and an element $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that

$$
\varphi(t) \geq 0, \quad \varphi(t) \not \equiv 0, \quad t \in T, \quad \inf _{t \in \Delta} \frac{\varphi(t)-2(A \varphi)(t)}{\gamma(t)}>0
$$

This property is common for some operators arising in studying multipoint boundary value problems and makes it possible to weaken the conditions of Lemma with respect to $v$ as one can see by the following assertion.

Theorem 2. Let a linear bounded isotonic $A: \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ have Property $N$. Let further there exist $v \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
v(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in T, \quad \inf _{t \in T \backslash \Delta} \frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)}>0 \\
r(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} v(t)-(A v)(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in T, \quad \inf _{t \in T \backslash \Delta} \frac{r(t)}{\gamma(t)}>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $\rho(A)<1$.

The proof consists in constructing the bases of $v$ and $\varphi$ of a function satisfying the conditions of Lemma. Such will be the function $v_{\varepsilon}=v+\varepsilon(\varphi-a \varphi)$ with an $\varepsilon>0$.

Property MN. We will say that a linear $A: \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ has Property $M N$, if it has Property $N$ and $\inf _{t \in T \backslash \Delta} \frac{(A x)(t)}{\gamma(t)}>0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that $x(t) \geq 0, x(t) \not \equiv 0, t \in T$.

Theorem 3. Let a linear bounded isotonic $A: \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ have Property MN. Let further there exist $v \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
v(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in T, \quad \inf _{t \in T \backslash \Delta} \frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)}>0 ; \\
r(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} v(t)-(A v)(t) \geq 0, \quad r(t) \not \equiv 0, \quad t \in T .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $\rho(A)<1$.
The proof can be obtained by using the scheme of the proof of Theorem 1 and by replacing $T$ by $T \backslash \Delta$ and substituting the reference to Theorem 2 .

Remark 2. Due to Lemma, the conditions of Theorems 1, 2 and 3 with respect to $v$ and $r$ are necessary for the estimate $\rho(A)<1$.

Corollary follows from Theorem 2 of [4].
Let $T=[a, b]$ and $A: \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ be linear, bounded and isotonic. Let further the following conditions be satisfied: there exist the points $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k} \in[a, b]$ such that $(A x)\left(t_{i}\right)=0, i=1, \ldots, k$, for any $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$. Then $\rho(A)<1$ if and only if there exists $v \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that $v(t)>0$ and $r(t)>0$ for $t \in[a, b] \backslash\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}$.

In this case, the operator $A$ has Property $N$. Really, if we take as $\Delta$ the union of neighborhoods of the points $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ such that in these neighborhoods the inequality $\frac{(A \gamma)(t)}{\gamma(t)} \leq q<\frac{1}{2}$ holds, then

$$
\inf _{t \in \Delta} \frac{\gamma(t)-2(A \gamma)(t)}{\gamma(t)}>0
$$

Example. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{(n)}(t)+\int_{a}^{b} x(s) d_{s} r(t, s)=f(t), \quad n \geq 2, \quad t \in[a, b]  \tag{1}\\
x^{(i)}(a)=0, \quad i=0, \ldots, n-2, \quad x(b)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

under the assumption that $r(t, \cdot)$ does not decrease on $[a, b]$ for almost all $t \in[a, b], r(\cdot, s)$ is summable on $[a, b]$ for any $s \in[a, b]$ and $f(\cdot)$ is summable on $[a, b]$. A solution of (1) is understood to be a function $x$ with absolutely continuous derivative of the ( $n-1$ )-th order which satisfy both the boundary value conditions and the equation almost everywhere on $[a, b]$.

We write

$$
\begin{gather*}
(A x)(t)=-\int_{a}^{b} G_{0}(t, s) \int_{a}^{b} x(\tau) d_{\tau} r(s, \tau) d s  \tag{2}\\
g(t)=\int_{a}^{b} G_{0}(t, s) f(s) d s
\end{gather*}
$$

where $G_{0}(t, s)$ is the Green function of the problem

$$
x^{(n)}(t)=z(t), \quad x^{(i)}(a)=0, \quad i=0, \ldots, n-2, \quad x(b)=0 .
$$

The operator $A: \mathbb{C}[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[a, b]$ defined by (2) is isotonic since $G_{0}(t, s)<0$ in the square $(a, b) \times(a, b)$. Besides, $(A x)(a)=(A x)(b)=0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{C}[a, b]$. The function $g$ and the values of $A$ on continuous functions are functions with absolutely continuous derivative of the $(n-1)$-th order. Thus the equation

$$
x=A x+g
$$

in the space $\mathbb{C}[a, b]$ is equivalent to the problem (1). Therefore the inequality $\rho(A)<1$ guarantees unique solvability of the problem (1) for any summable $f$.

Let

$$
v(t)=(t-a)^{n-1}(b-t)=-n!\int_{a}^{b} G_{0}(t, s) d s
$$

Then

$$
r(t)=v(t)-(A v)(t)=-\int_{a}^{b} G_{0}(t, s)\left[n!-\int_{a}^{b}(\tau-a)^{n-1}(b-\tau) d_{\tau} r(s, \tau)\right] d s
$$

Thus $r(t)>0, t \in(a, b)$, if almost everywhere on $[a, b]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}(\tau-a)^{n-1}(b-\tau) d_{\tau} r(t, \tau) \leq n! \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and besides, the inequality is strict on a set of positive measure. Consequently, because of Corollary of Theorem 2 we have the estimate $\rho(A)<1$.

The solution $x$ of the problem (1) has the representation

$$
x(t)=\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) f(s) d s
$$

where $G(t, s)$ is the Green function of this problem [1]. From the equality

$$
\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) f(s) d s=g(t)+(A g)(t)+\left(A^{2} g\right)(t)+\cdots
$$

it follows that $x(t)$ does not admit positive values if $f(t) \geq 0$. Therefore the inequality
(3) guarantees the inequality $G(t, s) \leq 0$ in the square $(a, b) \times(a, b)$.

In the case of the equation with concentrated deviation of the argument

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{(n)}(t)+p(t) x[h(t)]=f(t), \\
x(\xi)=0, \quad \text { if } \quad \xi \notin[a, b],
\end{gathered}
$$

under the assumption that $p(t)$ is bounded, $p(t) \geq 0$, and $h(t)$ is measurable, the inequality (3) takes the form

$$
p(t) \sigma_{h}(t)[h(t)-a]^{n-1}[b-h(t)] \leq n!
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{h}(t)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } h(t) \in[a, b] \\ 0, & \text { if } h(t) \notin[a, b]\end{cases}
$$
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