Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys. 11(1997), 163-167

L F BAKHMATULLINA

THE UPPER ESTIMATE OF THE SPECTRAL RADIUS OF THE ISOTONIC OPERATOR IN THE SPACE OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

(Reported on February 24, 1997)

For the isotonic compact integral operator

$$(Ax)(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{a}^{b} K(t,s)x(s)ds \quad \left(K(t,s) \ge 0, \quad (t,s) \in [a,b] \times [a,b]\right)$$

in the space $\mathbb{C}[a, b]$ of continuous on [a, b] functions the following assertion holds: the spectral radius $\rho(A)$ of $A: \mathbb{C}[a, b] \to \mathbb{C}[a, b]$ is less than 1 if and only if there exists a $v \in \mathbb{C}[a, b]$ such that

$$v(t) > 0, \quad r(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v(t) - (Av)(t) > 0, \quad t \in [a, b].$$

. .

Besides, the set of zeros of r is at most countable. This assertion plays an important role in the theory of differential equations. In the theory of functional differential equations, there arises the necessity in the estimate $\rho(A) < 1$ for the isotonic operator $A : \mathbb{C}[a, b] \to \mathbb{C}[a, b]$ $\mathbb{C}[a, b]$ which is not integral [1]. The above assertion is a corollary of G.G. Islamov's theorem [2, 3]. In accordance with this theorem, the inequality $\rho(A) < 1$ for a general isotonic compact linear operator $A:\mathbb{C}[a,b]
ightarrow\mathbb{C}[a,b]$ holds if and only if there exists a $v \in \mathbb{C}[a, b]$ such that

$$v(t) \ge 0, \quad r(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v(t) - (Av)(t) \ge 0, \quad t \in [a, b],$$

the set of zeros of r being at most countable, and besides r(t) > 0 at some special points of [a, b], the so-called "singular points".

The refusal from the compactness of A and the weakening of the demand concerning r became possible at the expense of some properties of A. We offer some development of the ideas proposed in [4].

Let $T \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ be a Lebesgue-measurable set, $\mathrm{mes} T \leq +\infty, \mathbb{C}$ be the Banach space of continuous bounded functions $x: T \to \mathbb{R}^1$, $||x||_{\mathbb{C}} = \sup_{t \in T} |x(t)|$. Let further $\gamma: T \to \mathbb{R}^1$ be

continuous, $\gamma(t) > 0$, $t \in T$, \mathbb{C}^{γ} be a Banach space of the functions $x: T \to \mathbb{R}^1$ such that $\frac{x}{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}$, $||x||_{\mathbb{C}^{\gamma}} = \sup_{t \in T} \frac{|x(t)|}{\gamma(t)}$. The linear operator $A: \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \to \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ is said to be isotonic, if $(Ax)(t) \ge 0, t \in T$, for any $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that $x(t) \ge 0, t \in T$.

Lemma. Let $A: \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \to \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ be linear, bounded and isotonic. $\rho(A) < 1$ if and only if there exists $v \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that

$$\inf_{t\in T}\frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)}>0,\quad \inf_{t\in T}\frac{v(t)-(Av)(t)}{\gamma(t)}>0.$$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A10.

Key words and phrases. Isotonic operator, Spectral radius, boundary value problem.

Note that for the case $T = [a, b], \gamma(t) \equiv 1$ this assertion is well known.

Proof. The necessity is obtained by taking the solution of the equation $x - Ax = \gamma$ in the capacity of v.

To prove the sufficiency, let us introduce in the space \mathbb{C}^{γ} a new norm $||x||_v = \sup_{t \in T} \frac{|x(t)|}{v(t)}$. Then for the norm $||A||_v$ of A with respect to $||\cdot||_v$ we have $||A||_v = ||Av||_v$. Since $||Av||_v < 1$, by the assertion we obtain $\rho(A) \leq ||A||_v < 1$.

The demands concerning v and r = v - Av might be weakened at the expense of additional assumptions on the properties of A. One of such properties is

Property M. We will say that a linear operator $A : \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \to \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ has Property M, if $\inf_{t \in T} \frac{(Ax)(t)}{\gamma(t)} > 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that $x(t) \ge 0, x(t) \not\equiv 0, t \in T$.

Theorem 1. Let a linear bounded $A : \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \to \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ have Property M. Let further there exist $v \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that

$$\inf_{t\in T} \frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)} > 0, \quad r(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v(t) - (Av)(t) \ge 0, \quad r(t) \not\equiv 0, \quad t \in T.$$

Then $\rho(A) < 1$.

Proof. The proof is needed only in the case $\inf_{t \in T} \frac{r(t)}{\gamma(t)} = 0$. Applying A to the both parts of the equality v - Av = r, we get $Av - A^2v = Ar$. From this and the inequality $v(t) - (Av)(t) \ge 0$ we have

$$r_1(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v(t) - (A^2 v)(t) \ge (Ar)(t).$$

Consequently, $\inf_{t \in T} \frac{r_1(t)}{\gamma(t)} > 0$. Because of Lemma, $\rho(A^2) < 1$. Thus

$$\rho(A) = \sqrt{\rho(A^2)} < 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark 1. It is impossible to weaken the condition of Lemma about v in the presence of Property M. Indeed, from r(t) > 0, there follow

$$\frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)} \geq \frac{(Av)(t)}{\gamma(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{t \in T} \frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)} \geq \inf_{t \in T} \frac{(Av)(t)}{\gamma(t)} > 0,$$

if $v(t) \ge 0$, $v(t) \not\equiv 0$.

Property N. We will say that a linear operator $A : \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \to \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ has Property N, if there exist a measurable set $\Delta \subset T$ and an element $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that

$$\varphi(t) \ge 0, \quad \varphi(t) \not\equiv 0, \quad t \in T, \quad \inf_{t \in \Delta} \frac{\varphi(t) - 2(A\varphi)(t)}{\gamma(t)} > 0.$$

This property is common for some operators arising in studying multipoint boundary value problems and makes it possible to weaken the conditions of Lemma with respect to v as one can see by the following assertion.

Theorem 2. Let a linear bounded isotonic $A : \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \to \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ have Property N. Let further there exist $v \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that

$$\begin{split} v(t) &\geq 0, \quad t \in T, \quad \inf_{t \in T \setminus \Delta} \frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)} > 0; \\ r(t) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v(t) - (Av)(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in T, \quad \inf_{t \in T \setminus \Delta} \frac{r(t)}{\gamma(t)} > 0. \end{split}$$

Then $\rho(A) < 1$.

164

The proof consists in constructing the bases of v and φ of a function satisfying the conditions of Lemma. Such will be the function $v_{\varepsilon} = v + \varepsilon(\varphi - a\varphi)$ with an $\varepsilon > 0$.

Property MN. We will say that a linear $A : \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \to \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ has Property MN, if it has Property N and $\inf_{t \in T \setminus \Delta} \frac{(Ax)(t)}{\gamma(t)} > 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that $x(t) \ge 0, x(t) \not\equiv 0, t \in T$.

Theorem 3. Let a linear bounded isotonic $A : \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \to \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ have Property MN. Let further there exist $v \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &\geq 0, \quad t \in T, \quad \inf_{t \in T \setminus \Delta} \frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)} > 0; \\ r(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v(t) - (Av)(t) > 0, \quad r(t) \not\equiv 0, \quad t \in T \end{aligned}$$

Then $\rho(A) < 1$.

The proof can be obtained by using the scheme of the proof of Theorem 1 and by replacing T by $T \setminus \Delta$ and substituting the reference to Theorem 2.

Remark 2. Due to Lemma, the conditions of Theorems 1, 2 and 3 with respect to v and r are necessary for the estimate $\rho(A) < 1$.

Corollary follows from Theorem 2 of [4].

Let T = [a,b] and $A : \mathbb{C}^{\gamma} \to \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ be linear, bounded and isotonic. Let further the following conditions be satisfied: there exist the points $t_1, \ldots, t_k \in [a, b]$ such that $(Ax)(t_i) = 0, i = 1, \ldots, k$, for any $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$. Then $\rho(A) < 1$ if and only if there exists $v \in \mathbb{C}^{\gamma}$ such that v(t) > 0 and r(t) > 0 for $t \in [a, b] \setminus \{t_1, \ldots, t_k\}$.

In this case, the operator A has Property N. Really, if we take as Δ the union of neighborhoods of the points t_1, \ldots, t_k such that in these neighborhoods the inequality $\frac{(A\gamma)(t)}{\gamma(t)} \leq q < \frac{1}{2}$ holds, then

$$\inf_{t \in \Delta} \frac{\gamma(t) - 2(A\gamma)(t)}{\gamma(t)} > 0$$

Example. Consider the boundary value problem

$$x^{(n)}(t) + \int_{a}^{b} x(s)d_{s}r(t,s) = f(t), \quad n \ge 2, \quad t \in [a,b],$$

$$x^{(i)}(a) = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, n-2, \quad x(b) = 0$$
(1)

under the assumption that $r(t, \cdot)$ does not decrease on [a, b] for almost all $t \in [a, b]$, $r(\cdot, s)$ is summable on [a, b] for any $s \in [a, b]$ and $f(\cdot)$ is summable on [a, b]. A solution of (1) is understood to be a function x with absolutely continuous derivative of the (n-1)-th order which satisfy both the boundary value conditions and the equation almost everywhere on [a, b].

We write

$$(Ax)(t) = -\int_{a}^{b} G_{0}(t,s) \int_{a}^{b} x(\tau) d\tau r(s,\tau) ds,$$

$$g(t) = \int_{a}^{b} G_{0}(t,s) f(s) ds,$$
(2)

where $G_0(t, s)$ is the Green function of the problem

$$x^{(n)}(t) = z(t), \quad x^{(i)}(a) = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, n-2, \quad x(b) = 0.$$

The operator $A : \mathbb{C}[a, b] \to \mathbb{C}[a, b]$ defined by (2) is isotonic since $G_0(t, s) < 0$ in the square $(a, b) \times (a, b)$. Besides, (Ax)(a) = (Ax)(b) = 0 for any $x \in \mathbb{C}[a, b]$. The function g and the values of A on continuous functions are functions with absolutely continuous derivative of the (n-1)-th order. Thus the equation

$$x = Ax + g$$

in the space $\mathbb{C}[a, b]$ is equivalent to the problem (1). Therefore the inequality $\rho(A) < 1$ guarantees unique solvability of the problem (1) for any summable f.

Let

$$v(t) = (t-a)^{n-1}(b-t) = -n! \int_{a}^{b} G_{0}(t,s) ds.$$

Then

$$r(t) = v(t) - (Av)(t) = -\int_{a}^{b} G_{0}(t,s) \left[n! - \int_{a}^{b} (\tau - a)^{n-1} (b - \tau) d_{\tau} r(s,\tau) \right] ds.$$

Thus $r(t) > 0, t \in (a, b)$, if almost everywhere on [a, b]

,

$$\int_{a}^{b} (\tau - a)^{n-1} (b - \tau) d_{\tau} r(t, \tau) \le n!$$
(3)

and besides, the inequality is strict on a set of positive measure. Consequently, because of Corollary of Theorem 2 we have the estimate $\rho(A) < 1$.

The solution x of the problem (1) has the representation

$$x(t) = \int_{a}^{b} G(t,s)f(s)ds,$$

where G(t,s) is the Green function of this problem [1]. From the equality

$$\int_{a}^{b} G(t,s)f(s)ds = g(t) + (Ag)(t) + (A^{2}g)(t) + \cdots$$

it follows that x(t) does not admit positive values if $f(t) \ge 0$. Therefore the inequality (3) guarantees the inequality $G(t,s) \le 0$ in the square $(a,b) \times (a,b)$.

In the case of the equation with concentrated deviation of the argument

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(n)}(t) + p(t)x[h(t)] &= f(t), \\ x(\xi) &= 0, \quad \text{if} \quad \xi \not\in [a, b], \end{aligned}$$

under the assumption that p(t) is bounded, $p(t) \ge 0$, and h(t) is measurable, the inequality (3) takes the form

$$p(t)\sigma_h(t)[h(t) - a]^{n-1}[b - h(t)] \le n!,$$

where

$$\sigma_h(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } h(t) \in [a, b], \\ 0, & \text{if } h(t) \notin [a, b]. \end{cases}$$

Acknowledgement

The research described in this publication was possible in part by Grant No 96-01-01613 of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

$\operatorname{References}$

1. N. V. AZBELEV AND L. F. RAKHMATULLINA, Theory of linear abstract functional differential equations and applications. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* $\mathbf{8}(1996)$, 1–102.

2. G. ISLAMOV, On an estimate of the spectral radius of the linear positive compact operator. (Russian) In: Funktsional'no-differentsial'nye Uravneniya i Kraevye Zadachi. Perm, 1977, 119-122.

3. G. ISLAMOV, On an upper estimate of the spectral radius. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 322(1992), No. 5, 836-838.

4. N. AZBELEV AND L. RAKHMATULLINA, On an upper estimate of the spectral radius of the linear operator in the space of continuous functions. (Russian) *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* 1996, No. 11.

Author's address: Porm Politechnical Institute 29^a, Komsomolsky ave., GSP-45, Perm 614600 Russia