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Abstract. The minimality theorem states, in particular, that on cohomology $H(A)$ of a dg algebra there exists sequence of operations $m_{i}: H(A)^{\otimes i} \rightarrow H(A), i=2,3, \ldots$, which form a minimal $A_{\infty^{-}}$ algebra $\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)$. This structure defines on the bar construction $B H(A)$ a correct differential $d_{m}$ so that the bar constructions $\left(B H(A), d_{m}\right)$ and $B A$ have isomorphic homology modules. It is known that if $A$ is equipped additionally with a structure of homotopy Gerstenhaber algebra, then on $B A$ there is a multiplication which turns it into a dg bialgebra. In this paper, we construct algebraic operations $E_{p, q}: H(A)^{\otimes p} \otimes H(A)^{\otimes q} \rightarrow H(A), p, q=0,1,2, \ldots$, which turn $\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\},\left\{E_{p, q}\right\}\right)$ into a $B_{\infty}$-algebra. These operations determine on $B H(A)$ correct multiplication, so that $\left(B H(A), d_{m}\right)$ and $B A$ have isomorphic homology algebras.
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## 1. Introduction

For a differential graded algebra $(A, d, \mu)$, the differential $d: A_{*} \rightarrow A_{*-1}$ and the multiplication $\mu: A_{p} \otimes A_{q} \rightarrow A_{p+q}$ define on the bar construction $B A$ a differential $d_{B}: B A \rightarrow B A$ which turns $\left(B A, d_{B}, \nabla\right)$ into a dg coalgebra. For example, for $A=C_{*}(G)$ the bar construction $B C_{*}(G)$ gives cohomology modules of the classifying space $H_{*}\left(B_{G}\right)$, but not the homology algebra. For $A=C^{*}(X)$ the bar construction $B C^{*}(X)$ gives cohomology modules of the loop space $H^{*}(\Omega X)$, but not the cohomology algebra.

There exists the notion of homotopy Gerstenhaber algebra (see [19, 20]), briefly hGa, which allows one to construct a correct multiplication on the bar construction. This is an additional structure on a dg algebra $(A, d, \mu)$, which consists of a sequence of operations

$$
E_{1, k}: A \otimes A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

which determine on $B A$ a multiplication turning it into a dg bialgebra.
Our aim is to transfer these structures to homology level, i.e., from $A$ to $H(A)$.
Note that the homology $H(A)$ is also a dga with trivial differential and induced multiplication $\mu^{*}: H(A) \otimes H(A) \rightarrow H(A)$, but generally the bar constructions $B A$ and $B H(A)$ have different homologies.

[^0]In [8], the so-called minimality theorem is proved, which states that for a dg algebra $(A, d, \mu)$, its homology $H(A)$ (all $H_{i}(X)$ are assumed free) can be equipped with a sequence of multi-operations

$$
m_{i}: H(A)^{\otimes i} \rightarrow H(A), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, \quad m_{1}=0, m_{2}=\mu^{*},
$$

turning $\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)$ into a minimal $A_{\infty}$-algebra in sense of Stasheff [18], which is weakly equivalent to dga $(A, d, \mu)$. These $A_{\infty}$ operations $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ determine on $B H(A)$ new, perturbed differential $d_{m}$ : $B H(A) \rightarrow B H(A)$ so that $B A$ and $B H(A)$ have isomorphic homologies.

The aim of this paper is to construct for a $\mathrm{hGa}\left(A, d, \mu,\left\{E_{1 k}\right\}\right)$ on its homology $A_{\infty}$-algebra $\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)$ certain additional structure, the so-called $B_{\infty}$ algebra (see [7]), consisting of multioperations

$$
E_{p, q}: H(A)^{\otimes p} \otimes H(A)^{\otimes q} \rightarrow H(A), \quad p, q=0,1,2, \ldots,
$$

which determines on $B H(A)$ the correct multiplication so that the bar constructions $B H(A)$ and $B A$ will have isomorphic homology algebras.

Remark. This can be summarized as follows: If $A$ is a dg algebra, hGa, or a commutative dg algebra, then $H(A)$ becomes respectively an $A_{\infty}$ (see [8]), $B_{\infty}$ (present paper), or $C_{\infty}$ (see [11]) algebra (a commutative version of $A_{\infty}$ ).

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some notions and construction needed in the sequel.
2.1. $A_{\infty}$-algebras. The notion of an $A_{\infty}$-algebra was introduced by Stasheff in [18]. This notion generalizes the notion of a dg algebra.

An $A_{\infty}$-algebra is a graded module $M$ with a given sequence of operations

$$
\left\{m_{i}: M^{\otimes i} \rightarrow M, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, \quad \operatorname{deg} m_{i}=i-2\right\}
$$

which satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-j} \pm m_{i}\left(a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{k} \otimes m_{j}\left(a_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{k+j}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(we ignore the signs).
In particular, for the operation $m_{1}: M \rightarrow M$ we have $\operatorname{deg} m_{1}=-1$ and $m_{1} m_{1}=0$; this $m_{1}$ can be regarded as a differential on $M$. The operation $m_{2}: M \otimes M \rightarrow M$ is of degree 0 and satisfies

$$
m_{1} m_{2}\left(a_{1} \otimes a_{2}\right)+m_{2}\left(m_{1} a_{1} \otimes a_{2}\right)+m_{2}\left(a_{1} \otimes m_{1} a_{2}\right)=0
$$

i.e., $m_{2}$ can be regarded as a multiplication on $M$ and $m_{1}$ is a derivation with respect to it. Thus, $\left(M, m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ is a sort of (maybe nonassociative) dg algebra. For the operation $m_{3}: \operatorname{deg} m_{3}=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{1} m_{3}\left(a_{1} \otimes a_{2} \otimes a_{3}\right) & +m_{3}\left(m_{1} a_{1} \otimes a_{2} \otimes a_{3}\right)+m_{3}\left(a_{1} \otimes m_{1} a_{2} \otimes a_{3}\right) \\
& +m_{3}\left(a_{1} \otimes a_{2} \otimes m_{1} a_{3}\right)+m_{2}\left(m_{2}\left(a_{1} \otimes a_{2}\right) \otimes a_{3}\right)+m_{2}\left(a_{1} \otimes m_{2}\left(a_{2} \otimes a_{3}\right)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

thus, the product $m_{2}$ is homotopy associative and the appropriate chain homotopy is $m_{3}$ (some authors call $A_{\infty}$-algebras strong homotopy associative $D G$-algebras).

The main meaning of defining condition (1) of an $A_{\infty}$-algebra ( $M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ ) is the following. The sequence of operations $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ determines on the bar construction

$$
B M=T^{c}(s M)=\Lambda+s M+s M \otimes s M+s M \otimes s M \otimes s M+\cdots
$$

(here $\Lambda$ is the ground ring and $(s M)_{k}=M_{k+1}$ is the standard suspension) a coderivation

$$
d_{m}\left(a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}\right)=\sum_{k, j} a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{k} \otimes m_{j}\left(a_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{k+j}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}
$$

and the Stasheffs condition (1) is equivalent to $d_{m} d_{m}=0$; thus $\left(B M, d_{m}\right)$ is a dg coalgebra, which is called the bar construction of $A_{\infty}$-algebra ( $M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ ).

A morphism of $A_{\infty}$-algebras $f:\left(M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow\left(M^{\prime},\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ is defined as a dg coalgebra map of the bar constructions

$$
f: B\left(M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow B\left(M^{\prime},\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right),
$$

which, due to cofreeness of the tensor coalgebra $T^{c}(s M)$, is uniquely determined by the projection

$$
f: B\left(M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow B\left(M^{\prime},\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right) \rightarrow M^{\prime}
$$

which, in fact, is a collection of homomorphisms

$$
\left\{f_{i}: M^{\otimes i} \rightarrow M^{\prime}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, \quad \operatorname{deg} f_{i}=i-1\right\}
$$

subject of some conditions (see, e.g., $[8,11]$ ). In particular, $f_{1} m_{1}=m_{1} f_{1}$, i.e.

$$
f_{1}:\left(M, m_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M^{\prime}, m_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

is a chain map. We define a weak equivalence of $A_{\infty}$-algebras as a morphism $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$, where $f_{1}$ is a homology isomorphism.

An $A_{\infty}$-algebra $\left(M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)$ is called minimal if $m_{1}=0$; in this case $\left(M, m_{2}\right)$ is a strictly associative graded algebra (see (1) for $n=3$ ). Assume that

$$
f:\left(M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow\left(M^{\prime},\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right)
$$

is a weak equivalence of minimal $A_{\infty}$-algebras; then

$$
f_{1}:\left(M, m_{1}=0\right) \rightarrow\left(M^{\prime}, m_{1}^{\prime}=0\right),
$$

which by definition should induce isomorphism of homology, is automatically an isomorphism. It is easy to verify that in this case $f$ is an isomorphism in the category of $A_{\infty}$-algebras, thus a weak equivalence of minimal $A_{\infty}$-algebras is an isomorphism. This fact motivates the word minimal in this notion: the Sullivan minimal model has a similar property.

An $A_{\infty}$-algebra $\left(M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)$ with $m_{>2}=0$ is just a dg algebra, and an $A_{\infty}$-algebra morphism

$$
\left\{f_{i}\right\}:\left(M,\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, 0,0, \ldots\right\}\right) \rightarrow\left(M^{\prime},\left\{m_{1}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}, 0,0, \ldots\right\}\right)
$$

with $f_{>1}=0$ is just a multiplicative chain map; thus the category of dg algebras is a subcategory of $A_{\infty}$-algebras.
2.2. Twisting cochains. Let $\left(K, d_{K}, \nabla_{K}: K \rightarrow K \otimes K\right)$ be a dg coalgebra, and $\left(A, d_{A}, \mu\right)$ be a dg algebra. $\operatorname{Hom}(K, A)$ is a dg algebra with differential $d \phi=d_{A} \phi+\phi d_{K}$ and multiplication $\phi \smile \psi=\mu(\phi \otimes \psi) \nabla_{K}$.

A twisting cochain is defined as a homomorphism $\phi: K \rightarrow A$ of degree -1 (that is $\phi: K_{*} \rightarrow A_{*-1}$ ) satisfying the Brown condition ${ }^{1} d \phi=\phi \smile \phi$ (see [4]). Let $T(K, A)$ be the set of all twisting cochains.

Two twisting cochains are equivalent if there exists $c: K \rightarrow A$ such that

$$
\psi=\phi+d c+\psi \smile c+c \smile \phi,
$$

notation $\phi \sim_{c} \psi$.

[^1]The Berikasvili functor $D(K, A)$ is defined as follows:

$$
D(K, A)=\frac{M(K, A)}{\sim}
$$

A dg algebra map $f: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ induces the map $T(K, A) \rightarrow T\left(K, A^{\prime}\right)$ : if $\phi$ is a twisting cochain so is $f \circ \phi$. Moreover, if $\phi \sim_{c} \psi$, then $f \circ \phi \sim_{f \circ c} f \circ \psi$. Thus, we have a map $D(f): D(K, A) \rightarrow D\left(K, A^{\prime}\right)$.
Theorem 1 (Berikashvili [3]). If $f: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is a weak equivalence of dg algebras (homology isomorphism), then

$$
D(f): D(K, A) \rightarrow D\left(K, A^{\prime}\right)
$$

is a bijection.
2.2.1. Twisting cochains and the bar construction. Any twisting cochain $\phi: K \rightarrow A$ induces a dg coalgebra map $B(\phi): K \rightarrow B A$ by

$$
B(\phi)=\sum_{i}(\phi \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi) \nabla_{K}^{i},
$$

where $\nabla_{K}^{i}: K \rightarrow K^{\otimes i}$ is the iteration of comultiplication $\nabla_{K}$ :

$$
\nabla_{K}^{0}=i d, \quad \nabla_{K}^{2}=\nabla_{K}, \quad \nabla_{K}^{n}=\left(i d \otimes \nabla_{K}\right) \nabla_{K}^{n-1} .
$$

Conversely, any dg coalgebra map $f: K \rightarrow B A$ is $B(\phi)$ for $\phi=p \circ f: K \rightarrow B A \rightarrow A$. In fact, we have a bijection $\operatorname{Mor}_{\text {dgcoalg }}(K, B A) \leftrightarrow T(K, A)$.

Moreover, if $\phi \sim_{c} \psi$, then $B(\phi)$ and $B(\psi)$ are homotopic in the category of dg coalgebras: the chain homotopy $D(c): K \rightarrow B A$ is given by

$$
D(c)=\sum_{k, j}(\underbrace{\psi \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi}_{j \text { times }} \otimes c \otimes \phi \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi),
$$

and, in addition, $D(c)$ is a $(B(\phi)-B(\psi))$-coderivation, i.e.,

$$
\nabla_{B} D(c)=(B(\psi) \otimes D(c)+D(c) \otimes B(\phi)) \nabla_{K}
$$

Thus, we have a bijection $[K, B A] \leftrightarrow D(K, A)$, where $[K, B A]$ denotes the set of chain homotopy classes in the category of dg coalgebras.
2.2.2. $A_{\infty}$-twisting cochains. Now we want to replace a dg algebra $\left(A, d_{A}, \mu\right)$ with an $A_{\infty}$-algebra (M, $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ ).

An $A_{\infty}$-twisting cochain we define as a homomorphism $\phi: K \rightarrow M$ of degree -1 satisfying the condition

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{k}(\phi \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi) \nabla^{k}=0
$$

Let $T_{\infty}(K, M)$ be the set of all $A_{\infty}$-twisting cochains.
Two twisting $A_{\infty}$-cochains are said to be equivalent if there exists $c: K \rightarrow M$ such that

$$
\psi=\phi+\sum_{k, j}(\underbrace{\psi \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi}_{j \text { times }} \otimes c \otimes \phi \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi) \nabla^{k}
$$

notation $\phi \sim_{c} \psi$.
By $D_{\infty}(K, M)$ we denote the factor set

$$
D_{\infty}(K, M)=\frac{T_{\infty}(K, M)}{\sim}
$$

Assume that

$$
f=\left\{f_{i}\right\}:\left(M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow\left(M^{\prime},\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right)
$$

is a morphism of $A_{\infty}$-algebras and $\phi: K \rightarrow M$ is an $A_{\infty}$-twisting cochain. Then it is possible to show that $f(\phi): K \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ given by

$$
f(\phi)=\sum_{i} f_{i}(\phi \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi) \nabla_{K}^{i}
$$

is also an $A_{\infty}$-twisting cochain. Moreover, if $\phi \sim_{c} \psi$, then $f(\phi) \sim_{c^{\prime}} f(\psi)$ with $c^{\prime}: K \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ given by

$$
c^{\prime}=\sum_{i, j} f_{i}(\underbrace{\psi \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi}_{j \text { times }} \otimes c \otimes \phi \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi) \nabla^{i} .
$$

Thus, we have a map

$$
D_{\infty}(f): D_{\infty}(K, M) \rightarrow D_{\infty}\left(K, M^{\prime}\right)
$$

The following theorem was proved in [9].
Theorem 2. If

$$
f=\left\{f_{i}\right\}:\left(M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow\left(M^{\prime},\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right)
$$

is a weak equivalence of $A_{\infty}$-algebras, then

$$
D_{\infty}(f): D_{\infty}(K, M) \rightarrow D_{\infty}\left(K, M^{\prime}\right)
$$

is a bijection.
2.2.3. $\quad A_{\infty}$-twisting cochains and the $B$ construction. Any $A_{\infty}$-twisting cochain $\phi: K \rightarrow\left(M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)$ induces a dg coalgebra map $B(\phi): K \rightarrow B\left(M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)$ by

$$
B(\phi)=\sum_{i}(\phi \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi) \nabla^{i}
$$

Conversely, any dg coalgebra map $K \rightarrow B\left(M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)$ is $B(\phi)$ for $\phi=p \circ f: K \rightarrow B\left(M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow M$. In fact, we have a bijection

$$
\operatorname{Mor}_{d g c o a l g}(K, B M) \leftrightarrow T_{\infty}(K, M)
$$

Moreover, if $\phi \sim_{c} \psi$, then $B(\phi)$ and $B(\psi)$ are homotopic in the category of dg coalgebras: a chain homotopy $D(c): K \rightarrow B\left(M,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)$ given by

$$
D(c)=\sum_{k, j}(\underbrace{\psi \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi}_{j \text { times }} \otimes c \otimes \phi \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi) \nabla^{k}
$$

which is a $B(\phi)-B(\psi)$-coderivation.
Thus, we have a bijection $[K, B M] \leftrightarrow D_{\infty}(K, M)$.
2.3. $\quad B_{\infty}$-algebras. The notion of $B_{\infty}$-algebra was introduced in $[2,7]$ as an additional structure on a dg module $(A, d)$ which turns the bar construction $B A$ into a dg bialgebra. So it requires a new differential

$$
\tilde{d}: B A \rightarrow B A
$$

which should be a coderivation with respect to standard coproduct of $B A$, and a new associative multiplication

$$
\widetilde{\mu}:(B A, \widetilde{d}) \otimes(B A, \widetilde{d}) \rightarrow(B A, \widetilde{d}),
$$

which should be a map of dg coalgebras, with $1_{\Lambda} \in \Lambda \subset B A$ as a unit element.
It is mentioned above (see, e.g., $[8,11,17]$ ) that such $\widetilde{d}$ specifies on $A$ a structure of $A_{\infty}$-algebra, namely a sequence of operations $\left\{m_{i}: \otimes^{i} A \rightarrow A, i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ subject to appropriate conditions.

As for the new multiplication

$$
\widetilde{\mu}: B\left(A,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \otimes B\left(A,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow B\left(A,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)
$$

by the definition of a dg bialgebra it must be a map of dg coalgebras. Consequently, it is uniquely determined by an $A_{\infty}$-twisting element, say

$$
E_{*, *}: B\left(A,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \otimes B\left(A,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow\left(A,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)
$$

In turn, such a twisting cochain is represented by a sequence of operations

$$
\left\{E_{p q}: A^{\otimes p} \otimes A^{\otimes q} \rightarrow A, \quad p, q=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}
$$

satisfying certain coherency condition together with $A_{\infty}$ operations $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$.
Therefore, a $B_{\infty}$-algebra is a graded module equipped with two sets of algebraic multi-operations $\left(A,\left\{m_{i}\right\},\left\{E_{p, q}\right\}\right)$.

A particular case of a $B_{\infty}$-algebra of type $m_{\geq 3}=0$ is called the Hirsch algebra, and a particular case of a Hirsch algebra with $E_{>1, q}=0$ satisfying certain additional conditions is called the homotopy Gerstenhaber algebra (see below). We refer the reader to [12, 14] for more explanations of these structures. In fact, the present description is enough for this paper.
2.3.1. Homotopy $G$-algebras. A homotopy $G$-algebra (briefly, hGa) is a dg algebra with "good" $\smile_{1}$ product. The general notion was introduced in [19, 20].

Definition 1. A homotopy $G$-algebra is defined as a dg algebra $(A, d, \cdot)$ with a given sequence of operations

$$
E_{1, k}: A \otimes\left(A^{\otimes k}\right) \rightarrow A, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

(the value of the operation $E_{1, k}$ on $a \otimes b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{k} \in A \otimes(A \otimes \cdots \otimes A)$ we write as $E_{1, k}\left(a ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ ), which satisfies the conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{1,0}=i d,  \tag{2}\\
d E_{1, k}\left(a ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)+E_{1, k}\left(d a ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)+\sum_{i} E_{1, k}\left(a ; b_{1}, \ldots, d b_{i}, \ldots, b_{k}\right) \\
=b_{1} \cdot E_{1, k-1}\left(a ; b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)+E_{1, k-1}\left(a ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k-1}\right) \cdot b_{k}+\sum_{i} E_{1, k-1}\left(a ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i} \cdot b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right),  \tag{3}\\
E_{1, k}\left(a_{1} \cdot a_{2} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)=a_{1} \cdot E_{1, k}\left(a_{2} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)+E_{1, k}\left(a_{1} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right) \cdot a_{2} \\
 \tag{4}\\
\quad+\sum_{p=1}^{k-1} E_{1, p}\left(a_{1} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{p}\right) \cdot E_{1, m-p}\left(a_{2} ; b_{p+1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right), \\
E_{1, n}\left(E_{1, m}\left(a ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right) ; c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \\
=\sum_{0 \leq i_{1} \leq j_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{m} \leq j_{m} \leq n} E_{1, n-\left(j_{1}+\cdots+j_{m}\right)+\left(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}\right)+m}\left(a ; c_{1}, \ldots, c_{i_{1}}, E_{1, j_{1}-i_{1}}\left(b_{1} ; c_{i_{1}+1}, \ldots, c_{j_{1}}\right),\right.  \tag{5}\\
c_{j_{1}+1}, \ldots, c_{i_{2}}, E_{1, j_{2}-i_{2}}\left(b_{2} ; c_{i_{2}+1}, \ldots, c_{j_{2}}\right), c_{j_{2}+1}, \ldots,  \tag{6}\\
\left.c_{i_{m}}, E_{1, j_{m}-i_{m}}\left(b_{m} ; c_{i_{m}+1}, \ldots, c_{j_{m}}\right), c_{j_{m}+1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us present these conditions in low dimensions.
The condition (3) for $k=1$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d E_{1,1}(a ; b)+E_{1,1}(d a ; b)+E_{1,1}(a ; d b)=a \cdot b+b \cdot a . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the operation $E_{1,1}$ is a sort of $\smile_{1}$ product: it is the chain homotopy that measures the noncommutativity of $A$. Below we denote $a \smile_{1} b=E_{1,1}(a ; b)$.

Condition (4) for $k=1$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a \cdot b) \smile_{1} c+a \cdot\left(b \smile_{1} c\right)+\left(a \smile_{1} c\right) \cdot b=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

this means that the operation $E_{1,1}=\smile_{1}$ satisfies the left Hirsch formula.
Condition (3) for $k=2$ has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
d E_{1,2}(a ; b, c)+E_{1,2}(d a ; b, c)+E_{1,2}(a ; d b, c) & +E_{1,2}(a ; b, d c) \\
& =a \smile_{1}(b \cdot c)+\left(a \smile_{1} b\right) \cdot c+b \cdot\left(a \smile_{1} c\right) . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

This means that this $\smile_{1}$ satisfies the right Hirsch formula just up to homotopy and the appropriate homotopy is the operation $E_{1,2}$.

Condition (6) for $n=m=2$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a \smile_{1} b\right) \smile_{1} c+a \smile_{1}\left(b \smile_{1} c\right)=E_{1,2}(a ; b, c)+E_{1,2}(a ; c, b) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the same operation $E_{1,2}$ measures also the deviation from the associativity of the operation $E_{1,1}=\smile_{1}$.
2.3.2. $h G a$ as a $B(\infty)$-algebra. Here we show that a hGa structure on $A$ is a particular $B(\infty)$-algebra structure: it induces on $B(A)=\left(T^{c}(s A), d_{B}\right)$ an associative multiplication but does not change the differential $d_{B}$ (see $[5,7,12,14]$ ).

Let us extend our sequence $\left.\left\{E_{1, k}, k=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}\right\}$ to the sequence

$$
\left\{E_{p, q}:\left(A^{\otimes p}\right) \otimes\left(A^{\otimes q}\right) \rightarrow A, \quad p, q=0,1, \ldots\right\}
$$

adding

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0,1}=i d, \quad E_{0, q>1}=0, \quad E_{1,0}=i d, \quad E_{p>1,0}=0, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $E_{p>1, q}=0$.
This sequence defines a map $E: B(A) \otimes B(A) \rightarrow A$ by

$$
E\left(\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right] \otimes\left[b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right]\right)=E_{p, q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)
$$

Conditions (3) and (4) mean exactly

$$
d E+E\left(d_{B} \otimes i d+i d \otimes d_{B}\right)=E \smile E
$$

i.e., $E$ is a twisting cochain. Thus its coextesion is a dg coalgebra map

$$
\mu_{E}: B(A) \otimes B(A) \rightarrow B(A) .
$$

Condition (6) can be rewritten as

$$
E\left(\mu_{E} \otimes i d-i d \otimes \mu_{E}\right)=0,
$$

so this condition means that the multiplication $\mu_{E}$ is associative. Condition (11) implies that [ ] $\in$ $\Lambda \subset B(A)$ is the unit for this multiplication.

Finally, we obtained that $\left(B(A), d_{B}, \Delta, \mu_{E}\right)$ is a dg bialgebra; thus a hGa is a $B(\infty)$-algebra.
2.3.3. Three examples of hGas. There are three remarkable examples of homotopy G-algebras.

The first one is the cochain complex of 1-reduced simplicial set $C^{*}(X)$. The operations $E_{1, k}$ here are dual to cooperations defined by Baues in [2], and the starting operation $E_{1,1}$ is the classical Steenrod $\smile_{1}$ product.

The second example is the Hochschild cochain complex $C^{*}(U, U)$ of an associative algebra $U$. The operations $E_{1, k}$ here were defined in [10] with the purpose of describing $A(\infty)$-algebras in terms of Hochschild cochains, although the properties of those operations which where used as defining ones for the notion of homotopy $G$-algebra in [20] did not appear there. These operations where defined also in [6]. Again the starting operation $E_{1,1}$ is the classical Gerstenhaber circle product, which is sort of $\smile_{1}$-product in the Hochschild complex. These operations were used in [16] in the proof of the Deligne hypothesis.

The third example is the the cobar construction $\Omega C$ of a dg-bialgebra $C$. The cobar construction $\Omega C$ of a DG-coalgebra $(C, d: C \rightarrow C, \Delta: C \rightarrow C \otimes C)$ is, by definition, a DG-algebra. Now assume that $C$ is additionally equipped with a multiplication $\mu: C \otimes C \rightarrow C$ turning $(C, d, \Delta, \mu)$ into a DG-bialgebra. How does this multiplication $\mu$ reflect on the cobar construction $\Omega C$ ? There arises a natural hGa structure, and the operations $E_{1, k}$ are constructed in [13]. Again, the starting operation $E_{1,1}$ is classical; it is the Adams $\smile_{1}$-product defined for $\Omega C$ in [1].

## 3. $B_{\infty}$-Algebra Structure in Homology of a hGa

Here we turn to the main goal of this paper.
Now assume that $\left(A, d, \mu,\left\{E_{1, k}\right\}\right)$ is a hGa. Note that the sequence of operations $\left\{E_{1, k}\right\}$ determines a twisting cochain $E: B A \otimes B A \rightarrow A$.

By the minimality theorem (see [8]), on $H(A)$ there exists a structure of minimal $A_{\infty}$-algebra $\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)$ and a weak equivalence of $A_{\infty}$-algebras

$$
f=\left\{f_{i}\right\}:\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow\left(A,\left\{m_{1}=d, m_{2}=\mu, m_{3}=0, m_{4}=0, \ldots\right\}\right)
$$

This weak equivalence induces a weak equivalence of $d g$ coalgebras

$$
\widetilde{B}(f): \widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow B A
$$

Composing the tensor product

$$
\widetilde{B}(f) \otimes \widetilde{B}(f): \widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \otimes \widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow B A \otimes B A
$$

with the twisting cochain $E: B A \otimes B A \rightarrow A$ determined by hGa structure operations $\left\{E_{1, k}\right\}$, we obtain a twisting cochain

$$
E \circ(\widetilde{B}(f) \otimes \widetilde{B}(f)): \widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \otimes \widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow B A \otimes B A \rightarrow A
$$

Our aim is to lift this twisting cochain to a $A_{\infty}$-twisting cochain

$$
E_{*, *}: \widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \otimes \widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right),
$$

which, in turn, will define a needed $B_{\infty}$ algebra structure on $\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)$.
The existence of $E_{*, *}$ follows from the bijection

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\infty}(f): D_{\infty}\left(\widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \otimes \widetilde{B}(H(A),\right. & \left.\left.\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right),\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)\right) \\
& \longrightarrow D\left(\widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \otimes \widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right), A\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is guaranteed by Theorem 2. In particular, we can take $E_{*, *}$ from the preimage of the class of twisting cochain $E \circ(\widetilde{B}(f) \otimes \widetilde{B}(f))$.

These twisting cochains can be observed from the diagram


This diagram does not commute, but the twisting cochains $f \circ E_{*, *}$ and $E \circ(\widetilde{B}(f) \otimes \widetilde{B}(f)$ are equivalent. Consequently the diagram of induced dg coalgebra maps commutes up to homotopy.

To summarize, the obtained $A_{\infty}$-twisting cochain $E_{*, *}$ determines on the $A_{\infty}$-algebra $\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)$ a structure $B_{\infty}$-algebra, which in its turn determines a (nonassociative generally) multiplication $\widetilde{B}\left(E_{*, *}\right)$ on the $\widetilde{B}$-construction $\widetilde{B}\left(H\left(A,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)\right.$ so that the diagram of dg coalgebra maps

\[

\]

commutes up to homotopy. Thus the dg coalgebra map

$$
\widetilde{B}(f): \widetilde{B}\left(A,\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow B A
$$

is multiplicative up to homotopy.
Finally we have the following assertion.
Theorem 3. Let $\left(A, d, \mu,\left\{E_{1, k}\right\}\right)$ be a hGa. Then on its homology $H(A)$ there exists a structure of $B_{\infty}$-algebra $\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\},\left\{E_{p, q}\right\}\right)$ such that homology algebras

$$
H\left(\widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\},\left\{E_{p, q}\right\}\right)\right), \quad \text { and } \quad H\left(B\left(A, d, \mu,\left\{E_{1, k}\right\}\right)\right)
$$

are isomorphic.
For a hGa $\left(A, d, \mu,\left\{E_{1, k}\right\}\right)$, the twisting cochain $E: B A \otimes B A \rightarrow A$ satisfies the additional conditions (6) which guarantee that the induced multiplication on $B A$ is associative. The twisting cochain $E_{*, *}$ we have obtained satisfies only Brown's condition, but not that condition for associativity, so the obtained multiplication

$$
\widetilde{B}(f): \widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \otimes \widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)
$$

is a chain map, but nonassociative generally. Thus the bar construction $\widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)$ is a nonassociative bialgebra. We expect that this nonassociative multiplication will be a part of a certain $A_{\infty}$ algebra structure on $\widetilde{B}\left(H(A),\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)$, which will allow us to iterate the process.
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[^0]:    Translated from Sovremennaya Matematika i Ee Prilozheniya (Contemporary Mathematics and Its Applications), Vol. 97, Proceedings of the International Conference "Lie Groups, Differential Equations, and Geometry," June 10-22, 2013, Batumi, Georgia, Part 2, 2015.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ In other words the Maurer-Cartan equation or the master equation.

