

Member of the Academy N. Brikashvili

From Simplex to Cube

Presented June 18, 2002

ABSTRACT. Interplay of simplex-cube contained in Serre map of cube to simplex is formalized. Tower of cubical CW-complexes between simplex and cube is constructed and a preparing result for stable obstruction theory is given.

Key words: simplex, cube, CW-complex, fibration, cross section, obstruction.

1. Introduction. The problem of existence of cross sections in stable ranges was solved by M.Mahowald in [1]. The aim of the present paper is to prepare tools for new approach to the problem. The main technical innovations is construction of auxiliary CW-complexes which are close to simplex and cube. The Serre map of cube to simplex [2] was used in [3-6]. The formalism developed there is given here in more convenient form.

2. Cubes  $\omega(0,1,\dots,(n+1))$  and  $\beta(0,1,\dots,(n+1))$ . For the standard  $(n+1)$ -simplex let  $\omega(0,1,\dots,(n+1))$  be a  $n$ -cube defined by the formulas

$$d_i^1 \omega(0,1,2,\dots,(n+1)) = \omega(0,1,2,\dots,\hat{i},\dots,(n+1)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$d_i^0 \omega(0,1,2,\dots,(n+1)) = \omega(0,1,2,\dots,i)\omega(i,\dots,(n+1)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

(Left side of the second formula is a cartesian product of two cubes.)

Let  $\beta(0,1,\dots,(n+1))$  be  $(n+1)$ -cube defined by the formulas

$$d_i^1 \beta(0,1,2,\dots,(n+1)) = \beta(0,1,2,\dots,(i-1),\dots,(n+1)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n+1.$$

$$d_i^0 \beta(0,1,2,\dots,(n+1)) = \omega(0,1,2,\dots,(i-1))\omega((i-1),\dots,(n+1)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n+1.$$

From formulas above it follows that an arbitrary face of the cube  $\omega(0,1,\dots,(n+1))$  is the word

$$\omega(a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i_1})\omega(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_2})\omega(a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_3}) \cdots \omega(a_{i_{k-1}}, \dots, a_{i_k}),$$

where

$$(a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_q}) \subset (0,1,\dots,(n+1)),$$

$$a_{i_0} = a_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{i_k} = a_q = n+1$$

and arbitrary face of cube  $\beta(0,1,\dots,(n+1))$  is the word

$$\beta(\dots, a_{i_1})\omega(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_2})\omega(a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_3}) \cdots \omega(a_{i_{k-1}}, \dots, a_{i_k}),$$

where

$$(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, a_{i_3}, \dots, a_{i_q}) \subset (0,1,\dots,(n+1)), \quad a_{i_k} = a_q = n+1$$

and in  $\beta$  some  $a$ 's precedent to  $a_{i_1}$  may be omitted. Note that in the case of  $\beta$  the first multiplier is always with  $\beta$  and all others with  $\omega$ . Multiplier with  $\omega$  has as argument not less than 2 vertexes and multiplier with  $\beta$  has as argument not less than 1 vertex.

There is a map

$$\phi: \beta(0,1,2,\dots,i) \rightarrow (0,1,2,\dots,i).$$

which is surjective and homeomorphic on the interiors. It is defined inductively. Being  $\beta(0)$  a point, the map  $\beta(0) \rightarrow (0)$  is defined. Assume the map is defined for  $i \leq k$ . Define it for  $k+1$  as follows.

We define the map of boundaries

$$\partial\beta(0,1,2,\dots,k+1) \rightarrow \partial(0,1,2,\dots,k+1)$$

as a map defined for  $d_i^1$ -faces by

$$\phi: \beta(0,1,2,\dots,\hat{i},\dots,(k+1)) \rightarrow (0,1,2,\dots,\hat{i},\dots,(k+1)),$$

for  $d_i^0$ -faces by composition of projection

$$\beta(0,1,2,\dots,\hat{i}-1,\dots,(k+1)) \rightarrow \beta(0,1,2,\dots,\hat{i}-1)$$

and map

$$\phi: \beta(0,1,2,\dots,(i-1)) \rightarrow (0,1,2,\dots,(i-1)).$$

The map is defined correctly. This defines factor space

$$\partial\beta(0,1,2,\dots,(k+1)) / \sim = \partial(0,1,2,\dots,(k+1))$$

and hence the factor space

$$\beta(0,1,2,\dots,(k+1)) / \sim = (0,1,2,\dots,(k+1)).$$

**3. Complex  $\beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$ .** Fix the integer  $n$  and consider in  $\beta(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$  an equivalence relation by assuming that in all faces of it the multipliers  $\omega(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$  with dimension less than  $n$  and bigger than 0 is contracted to point  $\omega(a_i, a_k)$  (i.e. if  $1 < k < n+1$ ).

We will have the space  $\beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$  as a cubical CW-complex. It is done as follows. Consider the following faces of  $\beta(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$  as pre-cells of  $\beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$ :

$$\beta(\dots, a_1) \omega(a_1, \dots, a_2) \dots \omega(a_{k-1}, \dots, a_k).$$

where  $(a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k)$ ,  $a_k = s$ , is a subset of  $(0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, s)$  and: i) dimension of  $\omega$ 's are 0 or  $> n-1$ ; ii) two or more 0-dimensional  $\omega$ 's are not standing together; iii)  $\omega$ 's may not be.

These cubes we are going to have as all cells of  $\beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$  and we must give a procedure how to build up from them cubical CW-complex  $\beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$ . We need a following remark.

If

$$\beta(\dots, a_1) \omega(a_1, \dots, a_2) \dots \omega(a_{k-1}, \dots, a_k)$$

is an arbitrary face of cube  $\beta(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$  then reducing all the  $\omega(a_i, \dots, a_j)$ 's to  $\omega(a_i, a_j)$  if  $\dim \omega(a_i, \dots, a_j) < n$ , we become the face to which we can project given face; the new face may have neighboring 0-dimensional  $\omega$ 's, say  $\omega(a_i, a_j)$  and  $\omega(a_p, a_k)$  which we replace by one  $\omega(a_i, a_k)$ . Such way we become one of the standard cells of the kind described above. So for each face of  $\beta(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$  we have named the standard cell (may be of dimension less) and the continuous map of given face to this cell.

Below without confusion if in pre-cell last  $\omega$  is of dimension 0 we can drop it. We see that if  $p < n$  then we have only a cell of kind  $\beta(a_0, \dots, a_p)$ . We can this cell identify with the simplex  $(a_0, \dots, a_p)$ . So  $n$ -skeleton of standard simplex  $(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$  is a part of  $n$ -skeleton of  $\beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$ . Other  $n$ -cells are of kind:

$$\beta(a_0) \omega(a_0, \dots, a_{n+1}) \text{ and } \beta(a_0) \omega(a_0, a_1) \omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}).$$

Both of them, say  $\tau$  is attached to vertex  $(a_0)$  with the attaching map  $\partial\tau \rightarrow a_0$ . The  $n$ -skeleton  $\beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)^{(n)}$  is constructed. Assume that  $(n+k)$ -skeleton is already constructed. Consider arbitrary  $(n+k+1)$ -pre-cell  $\sigma$ . The remark made above defines the map for each of the  $(n+k)$ -faces in already constructed  $(n+k)$ -skeleton; they define the attaching map of its boundary  $\partial\sigma$  correctly.

The CW-complex  $\beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$  is con

The remark shows too that there is a c

$$\sigma: \beta(0,1,2,\dots)$$

On the other hand, there is an obvious

$$f: \beta(0,1,2,\dots)$$

and the triangle

$$\beta(0,1,2,\dots) \rightarrow \beta$$

is commutative. Here  $p$  is identical on the  $n$ -

Now if  $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p) \subset (0, \dots, s)$  is subsimp

this is not true for  $\beta(0, \dots, i)$ .

**4. Complex  $\beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s)$ .** The complex

$\beta_n(0, \dots, s)$  by restoring some degenerated fa

First two preliminary remarks. Let name

$\beta_n(0, \dots, s)$  which as the last positive dimension

such a face is of kind  $p$ ,  $p > 0$ , if immediately b

$(p-1)$  multipliers  $\omega$  of dimension 0. Other fac

is this. Let  $\sigma^k$  be  $k$ -dimensional face of  $\beta_n(0,$

than  $t$ . Then its face which has as last multi

let it be  $\tau^{k-1}$  and in the pair  $(\sigma^k, \tau^{k-1})$  both con

Let  $t=n$ . We see that  $\beta_n(0, \dots, s)$  has cells

Construction of  $\beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s)$  proceeds a

part of  $\beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s)^{(n+1)}$  and proceed constru

cells of dimension  $(n+1)$ ,  $(n+2)$ ,  $(n+3)$  and

some degenerated faces of already construc

cell of kind 0 and let  $(\sigma^{n+2}, \tau^{n+1})$  be correspo

of  $\beta(0, \dots, s)$  which ends with multiplier  $\omega$  of d

$\beta_n(0, \dots, s)$  it is mapped in  $\beta_n(0, \dots, s)^{(n)}$ . Cons

becomes a new  $(n+1)$ -cell. After this attac

obviously defined attaching map. This we d

Considering  $(n+3)$ -dimensional cells  $\sigma^{n+3} \in \beta_n$

$(n+2)$ -dimensional cells which turns out to be

first component of kind 1 of complex  $\beta_n(0,$

second component and after this the first com

attached with new attaching map. And so on

The projection  $\beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s) \rightarrow \beta_n(0, 1, 2,$

procedure of construction of complex  $\beta_{n,n-1}$

$(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p) \subset (0, \dots, s)$  is a subsimplex then

**5. Complexes  $\beta_{n,n-1,\dots,n-k}(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p)$ .** F

complexes

$$(0, \dots, s) \leftarrow \beta_n(0, 1, 2,$$

is a beginning of tower consisting from  $n+1$  C

The CW-complex  $\beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$  is constructed.

The remark shows too that there is a continuous map

$$\varphi: \beta(0, 1, 2, \dots, s) \rightarrow \beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s).$$

On the other hand, there is an obvious map

$$p: \beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s) \rightarrow (0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$$

and the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \beta(0, 1, 2, \dots, s) & \rightarrow & \beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & (0, 1, 2, \dots, s) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Here  $p$  is identical on the  $n$ -skeleton of simplex  $(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$ .

Now if  $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \subset (0, \dots, s)$  is subsimplex then  $\beta_n(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \subset \beta_n(0, \dots, s)$ . Of course this is not true for  $\beta(0, \dots, s)$ .

**4. Complex  $\beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s)$ .** The complex  $\beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s)$  will be constructed from complex  $\beta_n(0, \dots, s)$  by restoring some degenerated faces of its cells.

First two preliminary remarks. Let natural  $r > 0$  be fixed and consider all faces of cube  $\beta_n(0, \dots, s)$  which as the last positive dimensional multiplier has  $\omega$  of dimension  $t$ . We say that such a face is of kind  $p$ ,  $p > 0$ , if immediately before last positive dimensional  $\omega$  stands exactly  $(p-1)$  multipliers  $\omega$  of dimension 0. Other faces we say that are of kind 0. The second remark is this. Let  $\sigma^k$  be  $k$ -dimensional face of  $\beta_n(0, \dots, s)$  which has last multiplier of dimension  $\geq$  than  $t$ . Then its face which has as last multiplier  $\omega$  of dimension  $t-1$  is determined uniquely, let it be  $\tau^{k-1}$  and in the pair  $(\sigma^k, \tau^{k-1})$  both components define uniquely each other.

Let  $t=n$ . We see that  $\beta_n(0, \dots, s)$  has cells only of kind 0, 1 and 2.

Construction of  $\beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s)$  proceeds as follows. Let  $(n+1)$ -skeleton  $\beta_n(0, \dots, s)^{(n+1)}$  be part of  $\beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s)^{(n+1)}$  and proceed constructing  $\beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s)^{(n+1)}$  by attaching to it new cells of dimension  $(n+1)$ ,  $(n+2)$ ,  $(n+3)$  and so on. This procedure will use restoration of some degenerated faces of already constructed cells. To begin with let  $\sigma^{n+2} \in \beta_n(0, \dots, s)$  be cell of kind 0 and let  $(\sigma^{n+2}, \tau^{n+1})$  be corresponding pair. Then  $\tau^{n+1}$  has as pre-cell the face of  $\beta(0, \dots, s)$  which ends with multiplier  $\omega$  of dimension  $(n-1)$  and being a degenerate face in  $\beta_n(0, \dots, s)$  it is mapped in  $\beta_n(0, \dots, s)^{(n)}$ . Considering this map only on the boundary,  $\tau^{n+1}$  becomes a new  $(n+1)$ -cell. After this attach the first component of the pair with new obviously defined attaching map. Thus we do for all  $(n+2)$ -dimensional cells of kind 0. Considering  $(n+3)$ -dimensional cells  $\sigma^{n+3} \in \beta_n(0, \dots, s)$  we are forced to restore some of its  $(n+2)$ -dimensional cells which turns out to be of kind 1. Considering pairs  $(\sigma^{n+3}, \tau^{n+2})$  with first component of kind 1 of complex  $\beta_n(0, \dots, s)$  or one of restored cells we attach the second component and after this the first component. The  $\sigma^{n+3} \in \beta_n(0, \dots, s)$  of kind 0 will be attached with new attaching map. And so on in this manner,  $\beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s)$  is constructed.

The projection  $\beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s) \rightarrow \beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$  is defined in obvious way indicated by the procedure of construction of complex  $\beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s)$ . It is identical on  $\beta_n(0, \dots, s)^{(n+1)}$ . If  $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \subset (0, \dots, s)$  is a subsimplex then  $\beta_{n,n-1}(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \subset \beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s)$ .

**5. Complexes  $\beta_{n,n-1,\dots,n-k}(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s)$ .** For given  $n$  constructed above tower of CW-complexes

$$(0, \dots, s) \leftarrow \beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s) \leftarrow \beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s)$$

is a beginning of tower consisting from  $n+1$  CW-complexes

$$(0, \dots, s) \leftarrow \beta_n(0, 1, 2, \dots, s) \leftarrow \beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s) \leftarrow \beta_{n,n-1,n-2}(0, \dots, s) \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \beta_{n,n-1,n-2,\dots,2,1}(0, \dots, s).$$

Denote

$$L_{n-k} = \beta_{n,n-1,\dots,n-k}(0, \dots, s)$$

then we will have above tower as

$$L_{n+1} = (0, \dots, s) \leftarrow L_n \leftarrow L_{n-1} \leftarrow L_{n-2} \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow L_1$$

with projection  $\psi_k: L_k \rightarrow L_{k+1}$ . Let  $K^{(i)}$  denote  $i$ -skeleton of complex  $K$ . The above tower has as well properties: i)  $(0, \dots, s)^{(n)} \subset L_n^{(n)}$ , and the projection  $\psi_n$  is identical on it,  $L_n^{(n+1)} \subset L_{n-1}^{(n-1)}$  and the projection  $\psi_{n-1}$  is identical on it;  $L_{n-1}^{(n+2)} \subset L_{n-2}^{(n-2)}$  and the projection  $\psi_{n-2}$  is identical on it and so on  $L_{k+1}^{(2n-k)} \subset L_k^{(2n-k)}$ ,  $k=n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 2, 1$ , and the projection  $\psi_k$  is identical on it. ii) as pre-cells are used the faces of cube  $\beta(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$  and in cells of  $L_{n-k}$  does not occur multiplier of positive dimension less than  $n-k$ . iii) In cells of  $L_{n-k}$  may occur only once  $(n-k)$ -dimensional  $\omega$  as multiplier. iv) the tower is functorial.

Each new complex of tower is constructed from precedent complex in the similar way as  $\beta_{n,n-1}(0, \dots, s)$  was constructed from  $\beta_n(0, \dots, s)$ :  $L_k$  is constructed by attaching to  $(2n-k)$ -skeleton of  $L_{k+1}$  cells of dimension  $2n-k+1, 2n-k+2$  and so on. The projections are defined in obvious way indicated by the procedure of construction of complexes.

Tower is functorial.

6. Cross sections. Let for the standard simplex  $(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$  be given Serre fibration

$$F \rightarrow E \rightarrow (0, \dots, s)$$

Then for  $k=n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 2, 1$ , tower of precedent section defines induced fibration

$$F \rightarrow E \rightarrow L_k$$

**Theorem.** If  $w$  is a cross section of the fibration over the  $n$ -skeleton of simplex  $(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$  then for induced fibration there is a cross section  $w_k$  over  $(2n-k+1)$ -skeleton of  $L_k$ ,  $k=n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 2, 1$ , such that  $w_n$  coincides with  $w$  on  $n$ -skeleton of simplex  $(0, 1, 2, \dots, s)$  and  $w_k$  coincides with  $w_{k+1}$  on the  $(2n-k)$ -skeleton of complex  $L_{k+1}$ .

The research described in this publication was made possible in part by Award No. GM1-2083 of the U.S. Civilian Research and Development Foundation for the Independent States of the Former Soviet Union (CRDF).

Georgian Academy of Sciences,  
A.Razmadze Mathematical Institute

REFERENCES

1. M. Mahowald. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **110**, 2, 1964, 315-349.
2. J.P. Serre. Ann. of Math, **54**, 1951, 429-505.
3. N. Berikashvili. Geom. Math. J., **3**, 1, 1996, 27-48.
4. N. Berikashvili, D. Makalata. Bull.Georg. Acad. Sci., **154**, 3, 1996, 327-329.
5. N. Berikashvili. Bull.Georg. Acad. Sci., **153**, 1, 1996, 11-15.
6. N. Berikashvili. Bull.Georg. Acad. Sci., **154**, 1, 1996, 37-39.

მათემატიკა

ნ. ბერიკაშვილი (აკადემიკოსი)  
სიმპლექსიდან კუბისკენ

**რეზიუმე.** ფორმალრეზიუმე სიმპლექსსა და კუბს შორის კავშირი ჩამაღწევი სერის ასახვაში. აგებულია CW-კომპლექსების კომპი, რომლის კომპლექსები მდებარეობენ სიმპლექსსა და კუბს შორის. სტაბილური ჰომოტოპიის თეორიისთვის და მტკიცებულია მოსაზრებები შედეგი.

V. Balashvili

On Retracts of Comp...

Presented by Corr. Member of the

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we develop a groups. Here are established properties of retracts.

Key words: equivariant shape category

**Introduction.** In [1] Yu.M. Smirnov defines [2] for compact Hausdorff spaces with actions of compact groups. The paper develops compact transformation groups and a point of view of the theory of retracts.

Without specific citations, in this seminar

A topological transformation group is a pair  $(X, G)$  where  $X$  is a topological space and  $\Theta: G \times X \rightarrow X$  is a continuous map such that  $\Theta(g', g \cdot x) = \Theta(g'g, x)$  and  $\Theta(e, x) = x$  for each  $g', g \in G, x \in X, g \rightarrow \Theta_g$ , where  $\Theta_g: X \rightarrow X$  is a homeomorphism. A continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is called  $G$ -equivariant if  $f \circ \Theta(g, x) = \Theta(g, f(x))$ , where  $\Theta: G \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  are  $G$ -maps.

The action  $\Theta: G \times X \rightarrow X$  is called trivial if  $\Theta(g, x) = x$  for all  $g \in G, x \in X$ . An action  $\Theta: G \times X \rightarrow X$  induces an action  $\Theta'$  on  $X \times I$  if  $\Theta'(g, (x, t)) = (\Theta(g, x), t)$ . A subset  $A \subset X \times I$  is called invariant if for each  $g \in G, (x, t) \in A$  implies  $(\Theta(g, x), t) \in A$ .

An equivariant homotopy, or  $G$ -homotopy, between two  $G$ -maps  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $G$ -equivariant map  $H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$  such that  $H_0 = f$  and  $H_1 = g$ . Topological  $G$ -spaces and  $G$ -maps form category  $H(\text{Top}_G)$ . For each full subcategory  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $H(\text{Top}_G)$  the  $G$ -homotopy category of  $\mathcal{K}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{K}_G$ . Throughout this paper  $G$  denotes a compact group.

**I. Equivariant retracts and extensors.**

A class  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $G$ -spaces is called equivariantly weakly hereditary (EWH) provided

- i) if  $A$  is a closed invariant subset of  $X \in \mathcal{K}$  then  $A \in \mathcal{K}$ ;
- ii) if  $X \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $G$ -equivariant map then  $Y \in \mathcal{K}$ .

For any weakly hereditary class [3]  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $G$ -spaces the class  $\mathcal{L}_G$  of  $G$ -spaces whose elements are  $G$ -spaces  $X$  with  $X \in \mathcal{L}$  is also weakly hereditary. Frequently, the class  $H_G(N_G, CN_G, FN_G, PN_G, CoN_G, CoFN_G, CoPN_G, CoN_G, CoFN_G, CoPN_G)$  is called normal, collectionwise normal, full normal, perfect normal, etc.