ON THE SUMS OF CONVERGENT TRIGONOMETRIC SERIES

SH. TETUNASHVILI

ABSTRACT. It is proved in the present paper that the sum of everywhere convergent trigonometric series fails to have removable points of discontinuity. This statement is valid both for the multiple and for single trigonometric series.

რეზიუმე. ნაშრომში დამტკიცებულია, რომ ყველგან კრებადი ტრიგონომეტრიული მწკრივის ჯამს არ შეიძლება პქონდეს წყვეტის აცილებადი წერტილი. აღნიშნული დებულება სამართლიანია როგორც ჯერადი, ასევე ერთმაგი ტრიგონომეტრიული მწკრივებისათვის.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1915, H. Steinhaus has proved ([1], see also [2], Ch. IX) the following Theorem A. Let a trigonometric series

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos 2\pi k\tau + b_k \sin 2\pi k\tau$$

converge everywhere to a finite function $f(\tau)$.

If $f(\tau_0) > \alpha$, then a set of points τ , where $f(\tau) > \alpha$, has positive measure in any neighborhood of the point τ_0 .

In the present work, using the results obtained in [3], we prove that Theorem A is valid for a multi-dimensional case, as well. Note that the fact dealing with the validity of Theorem A for multiple series has been announced by us in [4].

2. The Notation and Statements of the Results

Let $d \ge 2$ be some natural number, R^d the Euclidean space of dimension d, Z_0^d a set of all points from R^d with integer nonnegative coordinates.

By $n = (n_1, \ldots, n_d)$ we denote the points from the set Z_0^d , and by $n' = (n_1, \ldots, n_{d-1})$ those of the set Z_0^{d-1} . $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}, x_d)$ denote the

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B05, 42B08.

Key words and phrases. Multiple trigonometric series, convergence in Pringsheim sense, removable point of discontinuity.

points from the unit cube $I^d = [0, 1]^d$, and $x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})$ those from $I^{d-1} = [0, 1]^{d-1}$. Let μ_d be the Lebesgue measure corresponding to the space \mathbb{R}^d . If the set $E \subset I^d$, then $E_{(x_d)}$ and $E_{(x')}$ denote a cross-section of the set E at the points x_d and x', respectively, i.e., $E_{(x_d)} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}) : (x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}, x_d) \in E\}$ and $E_{(x')} = \{(x_d : (x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}, x_d) \in E\}$.

The trigonimetric system given on [0,1] we denote by $T^1 = \{t_i(\tau)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, where $\tau \in [0,1]$ and $t_0(\tau) \equiv 1$, $t_{2i-1}(\tau) = \sqrt{2} \sin 2\pi i \tau$, $t_{2i}(\tau) = \sqrt{2} \cos 2\pi i \tau$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ For every $n \in Z_0^d$, $T_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^d t_{n_j}(x_j)$, $x \in I^d$.

Consider the d-multiple trigonometric series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n T_n(x) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{n_d=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1,\dots,n_d} \prod_{j=1}^d t_{n_j}(x_j).$$
(1)

Under the convergence of multiple series we mean the Pringsheim convergence.

Let the point $x^0 = (x_1, \ldots, x_d^0) \in I^d$ and the number r > 0. By $K(x^0, r)$ we denote the cube in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$K(x^{0};r) = \{(x_{1},\ldots,x_{d}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} : |x_{j} - x_{j}^{0}| < \frac{r}{2}, \quad 1 \le j \le d\}.$$

The following statements are valid.

Theorem 1. Let the series (1) converge everywhere to a finite function f(x). If $f(x^0) > \alpha$, then the set of points x, where $f(x) > \alpha$, has the positive measure in any neighborhood of the point x^0 , i.e., for an arbitrary r > 0,

$$\mu_d \{ x \in [0,1]^d : f(x) > \alpha \} \cap K(x^0;r) > 0.$$

Theorem 2. If the series (1) converges everywhere to the finite function f(x), then f(x) fails to have removable points of discontinuity.

3. Proofs of Theorems

In the sequel, the use will made of the following notation:

$$f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, x_d) = f(x', x_d)$$
$$a_n = a_{n_1, \dots, n_{d-1, n_d}} = a_{n', n_d}.$$

Here we present two statements which we will need for the proof of the theorems. These statements are the particular cases of a more general result proven by us earlier (see [3], Corollary 7).

Statement 1. Let the series (1) converge on I^d to a finite function f(x). Then for every $n' = (n_1, \ldots, n_{d-1}) \in Z_0^{d-1}$ and $x_d \in I$ we have

$$\sum_{n_d=0}^{\infty} a_{n',n_d} t_{n_{\alpha}}(x_d) = b_{n'}(x_d), \quad |b_{n'}(x_d)| < \infty,$$

and for any $x' \in I^{d-1}$,

$$\sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} b_{n'}(x_d) \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} t_{n_j}(x_j) = f(x', x_d).$$

Statement 2. Let the series (1) converge on I^d to a finite function f(x). Then for every n_d and $x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}) \in I^{d-1}$ we have

$$\sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1,\dots,n_{d-1},n_d} \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} t_{n_j}(x_j) = a_{n_d}(x') < \infty,$$

and for any $x_d \in I$ the single series

r

$$\sum_{n_d=0}^{\infty} a_{n_d}(x') t_{n_d}(x_d) = f(x', x_d).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. This theorem we prove by using the method of induction with respect to d.

Since Theorem A is valid for single series, we assume that Theorem 1 is valid for d = N - 1 and prove it for d = N, where $N \ge 2$. In this case, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ and $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})$. Denote

$$E(\alpha) = \{ x \in [0,1]^N : f(x) > \alpha \}$$

and

$$E = E(\alpha) \cap K(x^0, r).$$

Obviously, Theorem 1 will be proved if we show that for an arbitrary r > 0

$$\mu_{N}E > 0.$$

According to Statement 2, for any $x_{\scriptscriptstyle N} \in I$ we have

$$\sum_{n_N=0}^{\infty} a_{n_N}(x_1^0, \dots, x_{N-1}^0) t_{n_N}(x_N) = f(x_1^0, \dots, x_{N-1}^0, x_N).$$

As far as $f(x_1^0, \ldots, x_{N-1}^0, x_N^0) > \alpha$, by virtue of Theorem A we have

$$\mu_1\{x_N: f(x_1^0, \dots, x_{N-1}^0, x_N) > \alpha\} \cap \left\{x_N: |x_N - x_N^0| < \frac{r}{2}\right\} > 0.$$
(2)

Since

$$E_{(x_1^0,\dots,x_{N-1}^0)} = \{x_N : f(x_1^0,\dots,x_{N-1}^0,x_N) > \alpha\} \cap \left\{x_N : |x_N - x_N^0| < \frac{r}{2}\right\} (3)$$

the relation (2) implies that

$$\mu_1 E_{(x_1^0, \dots, x_{N-1}^0)} > 0. \tag{4}$$

 $\mu_1 E_{(x_1^0,...,x_{N-1}^0)} > 0.$ Let \overline{x}_N be the point from $E_{(x_1^0,...,x_{N-1}^0)}$. Owing to (3), we have

$$\overline{x}_{N} \in \{x_{N} : f(x_{1}^{0}, \dots, x_{N-1}^{0}, x_{N}) > \alpha\} \cap \Big\{x_{N} : |x_{N} - x_{N}^{0}| < \frac{r}{2}\Big\}.$$

117

This relation, in particular, means that

$$f(x_1^0, \dots x_{N-1}^0, \overline{x}_N) > \alpha.$$
(5)

By Statement 1, for every $(x_1, \ldots, x_{N-1}) \in I^{d-1}$ we have

$$\sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} b_{n'}(\overline{x}_N) \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} t_{n_j}(x_j) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, \overline{x}_N).$$
(6)

From (5) and (6) by the induction we obtain

$$\mu_{N-1}\{x': f(x', \overline{x}_N) > \alpha\} \cap \{x': |x_j - x_j^0| < \frac{r}{2}; \ 1 \le j \le N-1\} > 0.$$
 (7)
Since

Since

$$E_{(\overline{x}_N)} = \{ x' : f(x', \overline{x}_N) > \alpha \} \cap \{ x' : |x_j - x_j^0| < \frac{r}{2}; \ 1 \le j \le N - 1 \},$$

for every $\overline{x}_{N} \in E_{(x_{1}^{0},...,x_{N-1}^{0})}$, by the relation (7), we find that

$$\mu_{N-1}E_{(\overline{x}_N)} > 0. \tag{8}$$

Obviously, if $H = E_{(x_1^0, \dots x_{N-1}^0)}$, then

$$\mu_{N}E = \int_{0}^{1} \mu_{N-1}E_{(xN)}dx_{N} \ge \int_{H} \mu_{N-1}E_{(xN)}dx_{N}.$$
(9)

It follows from the relations (4), (8) and (9) that

$$\mu_N E > 0.$$

Thus Theorem 1 is proved. \Box Proof of Theorem 2. For every $x \in I^d$, let

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n T_n(x) = f(x), \quad |f(x)| < \infty.$$

Suppose that at some point $x_0 \in I^d$ there exists

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} f(x) = A,\tag{10}$$

and

$$f(x_0) = B.$$

Assume $A \neq B$. Without loss of generality, we assume that A < B. Let a fixed number ε be such that

$$0<\varepsilon<\frac{B-A}{2}.$$

It follows from (10) that for an arbitrary r > 0,

$$\begin{split} |f(x) - A| &< \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \ x \in K(x^0, r) \ \text{and} \ x \neq x^0, \ \text{that is} \\ f(x) &< A + \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad x \in K(x^0, r) \ \text{and} \ x \neq x^0. \end{split}$$

118

Since $A + \varepsilon < B - \varepsilon$, therefore

 $f(x) < B - \varepsilon$ for $x \in K(x^0; r)$ and $x \neq x^0$,

whence

$$\{x: f(x) > B - \varepsilon\} \cap K(x^0, r) = x^0.$$
(11)

 $\{x: f(x) > B - \varepsilon\} \cap K(x^*, r) = x^*.$ As far as $f(x_0) = B > B - \varepsilon$, by Theorem 1 we have

 $\mu_d\{x: f(x) > B - \varepsilon\} \cap K(x^0, r) > 0,$

but this contradicts (11).

The obtained contradiction shows that A = B. Thus Theorem 2 is proved. \Box

Remark. The above proof shows that Theorem 2 is likewise valid for single series.

References

1. H. Steinhous, Some properties of trigonometric and power series. (Polish) Rozprawy Akademji Umiejenoschi, Cracow, 1915, 175–225.

2. A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series. I. (Translated into Russian) Mir, Moscow, 1965.

- Sh. Tetunashvili, Some multiple series of functions and the solution of a problem on the uniqueness of multiple trigonometric series for Pringsheim convergence. (Russian) *Mat. Sb.* 182(1991), No. 8, 1158–1176; English transl.: *Math. USSR-Sb.* 73(1992), No. 2, 517–534.
- Sh. Tetunashvili, On multiple trigonometric series. Bull. Georgian Acad. Sci. 161(2000), No. 2, 191.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Grant GNSF/ST06/3-010 and Grant INTAS Nr 06-1000017-8792.

(Received 11.03.2006)

Author's Addresses:

A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute, Georgian Academy of Sciences,1, M. Aleksidze St, 0193 Tbilisi Georgia

Georgian Technical University Department of Mathematics 77, M. Kostava St. Tbilisi 0175 Georgia 119