

## AZUMAYA ALGEBRAS AS GALOIS COMODULES

B. Mesablishvili and R. Wisbauer

UDC 512.552

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that Azumaya algebras (over commutative rings) are special examples of Galois comodules. This leads to a new characterization of Azumaya algebras.

### CONTENTS

1. Preliminaries . . . . .	518
2. Azumaya Algebras . . . . .	520
References . . . . .	522

### 1. Preliminaries

**Theorem 1.1** (distributive laws and adjoint functors). *Let  $\lambda : TS \rightarrow ST$  be a distributive law from a monad  $\mathbf{T}$  to a comonad  $\mathbf{S}$  on any category  $\mathbb{A}$ . It is proved in [3] (compare also [7]) that if the monad  $\mathbf{T}$  admits a right adjoint comonad  $\mathbf{T}^\diamond$ , then the composite*

$$\lambda^\diamond : ST^\diamond \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}ST^\diamond} T^\diamond TST^\diamond \xrightarrow{T^\diamond \lambda T^\diamond} T^\diamond STT^\diamond \xrightarrow{T^\diamond S\bar{\varepsilon}} T^\diamond S,$$

where  $\bar{\eta} : 1 \rightarrow T^\diamond T$  and  $\bar{\varepsilon} : TT^\diamond \rightarrow 1$  are the unit and counit of the adjunction  $T \dashv T^\diamond$ , is a mixed distributive law from the monad  $\mathbf{S}$  to the comonad  $\mathbf{T}^\diamond$ . Moreover, there is an isomorphism of categories

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{ST}} \simeq (\mathbb{A}_\mathbf{S})^{\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}^\diamond},$$

where  $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}^\diamond$  is the lifting of the comonad  $\mathbf{T}^\diamond$  to  $\mathbb{A}_\mathbf{S}$ .

Note that for a monad  $\mathbf{T}$  on  $\mathbb{A}$ , the category of  $\mathbf{T}$ -modules is denoted  $\mathbb{A}_\mathbf{T}$ , while for a comonad  $\mathbf{G}$  on  $\mathbb{A}$ , the category of  $\mathbf{G}$ -comodules is denoted by  $\mathbb{A}^G$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Galois functors). *Given a comonad  $\mathbf{G} = (G, \delta, \varepsilon)$  on a category  $\mathbb{A}$ , a functor  $F : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  is a left  $\mathbf{G}$ -comodule if there exists a natural transformation  $\alpha : F \rightarrow GF$  with the commutative diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & GF \\ \searrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon F \\ & F, & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & GF \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta F \\ GF & \xrightarrow{G\alpha} & GGF. \end{array}$$

According to the dual of [4, Proposition II.1.1], a  $\mathbf{G}$ -comodule structure on  $F : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  is equivalent to the existence of a functor  $\bar{F} : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^G$  leading to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{B} & \xrightarrow{\bar{F}} & \mathbb{A}^G \\ & \searrow F & \downarrow U^G \\ & \mathbb{A}. & \end{array}$$

---

Translated from Sovremennaya Matematika i Ee Prilozheniya (Contemporary Mathematics and Its Applications), Vol. 83, Modern Algebra and Its Applications, 2012.

If a  $\mathbf{G}$ -comodule  $(F, \beta)$  admits a right adjoint  $R : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ , with counit  $\sigma : FR \rightarrow 1$ , then (see [4, Propositions II.1.1 and II.1.4]) the composite

$$t_{\overline{F}} : FR \xrightarrow{\alpha R} GFR \xrightarrow{G\sigma} G$$

is a comonad morphism from the comonad generated by the adjunction  $F \dashv R$  to the comonad  $\mathbf{G}$ .

A left  $\mathbf{G}$ -comodule  $F : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  with a right adjoint  $R : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  is said to be  $\mathbf{G}$ -Galois comodule if the corresponding morphism  $t_{\overline{F}} : FR \rightarrow G$  of comonads on  $\mathbb{A}$  is an isomorphism.

If the category  $\mathbb{B}$  has equalizers of coreflexive pairs, the functor  $\overline{F}$  has a right adjoint.

**Proposition 1.3** (see [8, Theorem 1.6])). *The functor  $\overline{F}$  is an equivalence of categories if and only if the functor  $F$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ -Galois and comonadic.*

Throughout  $R$  will denote a commutative ring with unit. The tensor product  $\otimes$  will always mean  $\otimes_R$ . For any  $R$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $\mathbb{M}_A$ ,  $A\mathbb{M}$ , and  $_A\mathbb{M}_A$  denote the categories of left  $A$ -modules, right  $A$ -modules, and  $(A, A)$ -bimodules.

**Theorem 1.4** (Galois comodules). *Consider an  $A$ -coring  $\mathfrak{C}$ ,  $A$  an associative  $R$ -algebra, and write  $\mathbb{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$  for the category of right  $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodules. Take an arbitrary right  $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule  $(P, \rho)$  and set*

$$S = \text{End}^{\mathfrak{C}}(P, \rho) = \mathbb{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}((P, \rho), (P, \rho)).$$

*Then  $S$  is an  $R$ -algebra with respect to the composition of endomorphisms and  $P$  is a left  $S$ -module, and since  $\rho$  is  $S$ -linear, there is a functor  $K : \mathbb{M}_S \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$  that takes  $N \in \mathbb{M}_S$  to  $(N \otimes_S P, N \otimes_S \rho)$ . Observe that for the forgetful functor  $U^{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathbb{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_A$ , one has  $U^{\mathfrak{C}}K(N) = N \otimes_S P$ . Thus, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{M}_S & \xrightarrow{K} & \mathbb{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \\ & \searrow - \otimes_S P & \downarrow U^{\mathfrak{C}} \\ & & \mathbb{M}_A \end{array}$$

*commutes. Since  $\mathbb{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$  is isomorphic to the Eilenberg–Moore category of  $\mathbf{G}$ -comodules,  $\mathbf{G}$  being the comonad on  $\mathbb{M}_A$  induced by the  $A$ -coalgebra  $\mathfrak{C}$ , and since the functor  $\text{Hom}_A(P, -) : \mathbb{M}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_S$  is a right adjoint to the functor  $- \otimes_S P : \mathbb{M}_S \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_A$ , there is a comonad morphism*

$$t_K : \text{Hom}_A(P, -) \otimes_S P \rightarrow - \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}.$$

*Write  $\rho(p) = \sum p_{(0)} \otimes_A p_{(1)}$ ,  $p \in P$ ; a direct calculation shows that*

$$(t_K)_M(f \otimes_S p) = \sum f(p_{(0)}) \otimes_A p_{(1)}$$

*for all  $f : P \rightarrow M$ ,  $M \in \mathbb{M}_A$ .  $P$  is called a Galois comodule provided  $(t_K)_M$  is an isomorphism for any right  $A$ -module  $M$ , that is, the functor  $- \otimes_S P : \mathbb{M}_S \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$  is a  $- \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}$ -Galois comodule (see [9, Definition 4.1]).*

**Theorem 1.5** (monads related to an algebra). *For any  $R$ -algebra  $A$ , one has the monads*

$$\begin{aligned} T &:= A \otimes - : \mathbb{M}_R \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_R, \\ S &:= A^{op} \otimes - : \mathbb{M}_R \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_R, \end{aligned}$$

*and it is clear that the ordinary twist map*

$$\tau : A \otimes A^{op} \rightarrow A^{op} \otimes A, \quad a \otimes \bar{b} \mapsto \bar{b} \otimes a,$$

*induces a distributive law from the monad  $T = A \otimes -$  to the monad  $S = A^{op} \otimes -$ . Note that the category  $(\mathbb{M}_R)_{ST}$  is just the category of left  $(A^{op} \otimes A)$ -modules, which is in turn isomorphic to the category  $_A\mathbb{M}_A$  of  $(A, A)$ -bimodules.*

**Theorem 1.6** (adjunction  $(A \otimes -, \text{Hom}_R(A, -))$ ). *For  $X, Y \in \mathbb{M}_R$ , we write  $[X, Y]$  for  $\text{Hom}_R(X, Y)$ . Since  $A$  is an  $R$ -algebra, the functor  $T^\diamond = [A, -] : \mathbb{M}_R \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_R$  is a comonad that is right adjoint to the monad  $T = A \otimes -$ . Recall that the unit  $\eta$  and counit  $\varepsilon$  of this adjunction are defined as follows: For any  $X \in \mathbb{M}_R$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_X : X &\rightarrow T^\diamond T(X) = [A, A \otimes X], \quad x \mapsto (a \mapsto a \otimes x), \\ \varepsilon_X : TT^\diamond(X) &= A \otimes [A, X] \rightarrow X, \quad a \otimes f \mapsto f(a).\end{aligned}$$

*Then for any  $X \in \mathbb{M}_R$ , the  $X$ -component  $\tau_X^\diamond$  of the mixed distributive law  $\tau^\diamond : ST^\diamond \rightarrow T^\diamond S$  corresponding to the distributive law  $\tau$  is the composite*

$$\begin{aligned}A^{op} \otimes [A, X] &\xrightarrow{\eta_{A^{op} \otimes [A, X]}} [A, A \otimes A^{op} \otimes [A, X]] \\ &\xrightarrow{[A, \tau \otimes [A, X]]} [A, A^{op} \otimes A \otimes [A, X]] \xrightarrow{[A, A^{op} \otimes \varepsilon_X]} [A, A^{op} \otimes X].\end{aligned}$$

*It is easy to see that for all  $\bar{a} \in A^{op}, f \in [A, X]$ ,  $\tau_X^\diamond(\bar{a} \otimes f)$  is the homomorphism  $A \rightarrow A^{op} \otimes X$  that takes an arbitrary  $a' \in A$  to  $\bar{a} \otimes f(a')$ .*

**Theorem 1.7** (( $A, A$ )-bimodules). *For any  $X \in \mathbb{M}_R$ ,  $A^{op} \otimes X$  has the structure of an  $(A, A)$ -bimodule. The left action corresponds under the isomorphisms  $_A \mathbb{M}_A \simeq (\mathbb{M}_R)_S T \simeq ((\mathbb{M}_R)_S)^{\widetilde{T}^\diamond}$  to a left  $\widetilde{T}^\diamond$ -comodule structure*

$$\alpha_X : F_S(X) = A^{op} \otimes X \rightarrow \widetilde{T}^\diamond F_S(X) = [A, A^{op} \otimes X], \quad \bar{a} \otimes x \mapsto [a' \mapsto \overline{aa'} \otimes x].$$

*This leads to the functor*

$$K : \mathbb{M}_R \rightarrow ((\mathbb{M}_R)_S)^{\widetilde{T}^\diamond} \simeq_A \mathbb{M}_A, \quad X \mapsto A^{op} \otimes X$$

*that makes the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{M}_R & \xrightarrow{K} & ((\mathbb{M}_R)_S)^{\widetilde{T}^\diamond} \simeq_A \mathbb{M}_A \\ & \searrow F_S = A^{op} \otimes - & \swarrow U^{\widetilde{T}^\diamond} \\ & A^{op} \mathbb{M} = (\mathbb{M}_R)_S & \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

*commutative. Now it is easy to see that for any  $\mathbf{X} = (X, h) \in {}_{A^{op}} \mathbb{M}$ , the  $\mathbf{X}$ -component  $t_{\mathbf{X}}$  of the comonad morphism  $t : F_S U_S \rightarrow \widetilde{T}^\diamond$  is the composite*

$$t_{\mathbf{X}} : F_S U_S(\mathbf{X}) = A^{op} \otimes X \xrightarrow{\alpha_X} [A, A^{op} \otimes X] \xrightarrow{[A, h]} [A, X] = \widetilde{T}^\diamond(X).$$

*Thus, for all  $\bar{a} \in A^{op}, a' \in A$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $t_{\mathbf{X}}(\bar{a} \otimes x) = (a' \mapsto \overline{a'a} \cdot x)$ .*

## 2. Azumaya Algebras

Applying [5, Theorem 4.4] and using that  $A$  is an Azumaya  $R$ -algebra if and only if the functor  $K$  is an equivalence of categories, we obtain the following assertion.

**Theorem 2.1.** *An  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is an Azumaya  $R$ -algebra if and only if*

- (1) *the functor  $A^{op} \otimes - : \mathbb{M}_R \rightarrow {}_{A^{op}} \mathbb{M}$  is comonadic, and*
- (2) *for any  $M \in {}_{A^{op}} \mathbb{M}$ , the map*

$$A^{op} \otimes M \rightarrow [A, M], \quad \bar{a} \otimes m \mapsto [a' \mapsto aa'm],$$

*is an isomorphism.*

Since the canonical morphism  $i : R \rightarrow A$  factorizes through the centre of  $A$ , it follows from [6, Theorem 8.11] that the functor

$$A^{\text{op}} \otimes - : \mathbb{M}_R \rightarrow_{A^{\text{op}}} \mathbb{M}$$

is comonadic if and only if  $i$  is a pure morphism of  $R$ -modules. Thus we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** *An  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is an Azumaya  $R$ -algebra if and only if*

- (1) *the canonical morphism  $i : R \rightarrow A$  is pure in  $\mathbb{M}_R$ , and*
- (2) *for any  $M \in_{A^{\text{op}}} \mathbb{M}$ , the map*

$$A^{\text{op}} \otimes M \rightarrow [A, M], \quad \bar{a} \otimes m \mapsto [a' \mapsto aa'm],$$

*is an isomorphism.*

Since for any  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $A^{\text{op}} \otimes M$  with the left  $A^{\text{op}}$ -module structure  $\bar{a} \cdot (\bar{b} \otimes m) = \overline{ba} \cdot m$  is a free  $\mathbf{S}$ -module  $\phi_{\mathbf{T}}(M)$ ,  $A \otimes M$  can be viewed as the free  $\mathbf{S}$ -module  $\phi_{\mathbf{T}}(M)$ , where the left action on  $A \otimes M$  is given by  $\bar{a} \cdot b \otimes m = \overline{ba} \cdot m$ . Applying now [2, Lemma 2.19] we have the following assertion.

**Theorem 2.3.** *An  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is an Azumaya  $R$ -algebra if and only if*

- (1) *the canonical morphism  $i : R \rightarrow A$  is pure in  $\mathbb{M}_R$ , and*
- (2) *for any  $M \in \mathbb{M}_R$ , the map*

$$A^{\text{op}} \otimes A \otimes M \rightarrow [A, A \otimes M], \quad \bar{a} \otimes b \otimes m \mapsto [a' \mapsto ba'a \otimes m],$$

*is an isomorphism.*

Let  $M$  be an  $(A, A)$ -bimodule. Define

$$M^A = \{m \in M \mid am = ma \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *An  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is an Azumaya  $R$ -algebra if and only if*

- (1) *the canonical morphism  $i : R \rightarrow A$  is pure in  $\mathbb{M}_R$ , and*
- (2) *for any  $(A, A)$ -bimodule  $M$ , the evaluation map*

$$A^{\text{op}} \otimes M^A \rightarrow M, \quad a \otimes m \mapsto am,$$

*is an isomorphism.*

Noting that for a (von Neumann) regular ring (e.g., a field)  $R$ ,  $i : R \rightarrow A$  is always pure, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be a regular ring. For an  $R$ -algebra  $A$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  *$A$  is an Azumaya  $R$ -algebra;*
- (b) *for any  $M \in_{A^{\text{op}}} \mathbb{M}$ , the map*

$$A^{\text{op}} \otimes M \rightarrow [A, M], \quad \bar{a} \otimes m \mapsto [a' \mapsto \overline{a'a} \cdot m]$$

*is an isomorphism;*

- (c) *for any  $M \in \mathbb{M}_R$ , the map*

$$A^{\text{op}} \otimes A \otimes M \rightarrow [A, A \otimes M], \quad \bar{a} \otimes b \otimes m \mapsto [a' \mapsto ba'a \otimes m],$$

*is an isomorphism;*

- (d) *for any  $(A, A)$ -bimodule  $M$ , the evaluation map  $A^{\text{op}} \otimes M^A \rightarrow M$  is an isomorphism.*

## REFERENCES

1. J. Beck, “Distributive laws,” *Lect. Notes Math.*, **80**, 119–140 (1969).
2. A. Bruguières, S. Lack, and A. Virelizier, “Hopf monads on monoidal categories,” *Adv. Math.*, **227**, No. 2, 745–800 (2011).
3. G. Böhm, T. Brzeziński, and R. Wisbauer, “Monads and comonads in module categories,” *J. Algebra*, **322**, 1719–1747 (2009).
4. E. Dubuc, “Kan extensions in enriched category theory,” *Lect. Notes Math.*, **145**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York (1970).
5. B. Mesablishvili, “Entwining structures in monoidal categories,” *J. Algebra*, **319**, No. 6, 2496–2517 (2008).
6. B. Mesablishvili, “Monads of effective descent type and comonadicity,” *Theory Appl. Categ.*, **16**, 1–45 (2006).
7. B. Mesablishvili and R. Wisbauer, “Bimonads and Hopf monads on categories,” *J. K-Theory*, **7**, No. 2, 349–388 (2011).
8. B. Mesablishvili and R. Wisbauer, *Notes on bimonads and Hopf monads*, arXiv:1010.3628v1 [math.CT] (2010).
9. R. Wisbauer, “On Galois comodules,” *Commun. Algebra*, **34**, 2683–2711 (2006).

B. Mesablishvili

A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute, Tbilisi, Georgia

E-mail: [bachi@rmi.ge](mailto:bachi@rmi.ge)

R. Wisbauer

Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany

E-mail: [wisbauer@math.uni-duesseldorf.de](mailto:wisbauer@math.uni-duesseldorf.de)