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#### Abstract

Using properties of backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) we give an alternative proof of the isomorphism of the Girsanov transformation of BMO martingales and improve an estimate of BMO norms.
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Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space with filtration $F=\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ satisfying the usual conditions of right-continuity and completeness. Throughout the paper $M$ is assumed to be a continuous local $P$-martingale on the finite time interval $[0, T]$, equal to zero at time $t=0$.

Recall that (see Kazamaki [2]) a continuous uniformly integrable martingale $\left(M_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ with $M_{0}=0$ is from the class $B M O$ if

$$
\|M\|_{B M O}=\sup _{\tau}\left\|E\left[\langle M\rangle_{T}-\langle M\rangle_{\tau} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty
$$

where the supremum is taken over all stopping times $\tau \in[0, T]$ and $\langle M\rangle$ is the square characteristic of $M$.

Denote by $\mathcal{E}(M)$ the stochastic exponential of a continuous local martingale $M$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{t}(M)=\exp \left\{M_{t}-\frac{1}{2}\langle M\rangle_{t}\right\}
$$

and let $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, T}(M)=\mathcal{E}_{T}(M) / \mathcal{E}_{\tau}(M)$.
Let $M$ be such that $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is a uniformly integrable martingale and define a new probability measure $\tilde{P}$ by $d \tilde{P}=\mathcal{E}_{T}(M) d P$. To each continuous local $P$-martingale $X$ we associate the process $\tilde{X}=\langle X, M\rangle-X$, which is a local $\tilde{P}$-martingale according to Girsanov's theorem. We denote this map by $\varphi: \mathcal{L}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\tilde{P})$, where $\mathcal{L}(P)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{P})$ are classes of $P$ and $\tilde{P}$ local martingales.

It was proved by Kazamaki [1, 2] that if $M \in B M O(P)$, then $B M O(P)$ and $B M O(\tilde{P})$ are isomorphic under the mapping $\phi$ and for all $X \in B M O(P)$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tilde{X}\|_{B M O(\tilde{P})} \leq C_{K a z}(\tilde{M}) \cdot\|X\|_{B M O(P)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid (see Theorem 3.6 from [2]), where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{K a z}^{2}(\tilde{M})=2 p \cdot 2^{1 / p} \sup _{\tau}\left\|E^{\tilde{P}}\left[\left.\left\{\mathcal{E}_{\tau, T}(\tilde{M})\right\}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right]\right\|_{\infty}^{(p-1) / p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\tilde{M}=\langle M\rangle-M$ and $p$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tilde{M}\|_{B M O(\tilde{P})}<\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{p}-1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We give an alternative proof of this assertion, which improves also the constant in inequality (1).

Theorem 1. If $M \in B M O(P)$, then $\phi: X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is an isomorphism of $B M O(P)$ onto $B M O(\tilde{P})$. In particular, the inequality

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\|M\|_{B M O(P)}\right)}\|X\|_{B M O(P)} \leq\|\tilde{X}\|_{B M O(\tilde{P})} \\
\leq\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\|\tilde{M}\|_{B M O(\tilde{P})}\right)\|X\|_{B M O(P)} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

is valid for any $X \in B M O(P)$.
Proof. Let us consider the process

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=E^{\tilde{P}}\left[\langle X\rangle_{T}-\langle X\rangle_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]=E\left[\mathcal{E}_{t, T}(M)\left(\langle X\rangle_{T}-\langle X\rangle_{t}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\langle\tilde{X}\rangle=\langle X\rangle$ under either probability measure, it is evident that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|Y\|_{\infty}=\|\tilde{X}\|_{B M O(\tilde{P})}^{2}, \quad \text { where } \\
\|Y\|_{\infty}=\left\|Y_{T}^{*}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{T}^{*}=\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|Y_{t}\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$

For any $X \in B M O(P)$ the process $Y$ is a positive bounded semimartingale with the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=Y_{0}+A_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{s} d M_{s}+L_{t} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a predictable process of bounded variation and $L$ is a local martingale orthogonal to $M$. It is easy to see that the triple $(Y, \varphi, L)$ is a solution of the $B S D E$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Y_{t}=Y_{0}-\langle X\rangle_{t}-\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{s} d\langle M\rangle_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{s} d M_{s}+L_{t}  \tag{7}\\
Y_{T}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $0<p<1$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Applying the Ito formula for $\left(Y_{\tau}+\varepsilon\right)^{p}-\left(Y_{T}+\varepsilon\right)^{p}$ where $0<p<1, \varepsilon>0$ and taking conditional expectations we obtain (without loss of generality we assume that all stochastic integrals are martingales, otherwise one can use the localization arguments)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(Y_{\tau}+\varepsilon\right)^{p}-\varepsilon^{p}=E\left[\int_{\tau}^{T} p\left(Y_{s}+\varepsilon\right)^{p-1} d\langle X\rangle_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{p(1-p)}{2} E\left[\int_{\tau}^{T}\left(Y_{s}+\varepsilon\right)^{p-2} d\left\langle L^{c}\right\rangle_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +E\left[\left.\int_{\tau}^{T}\left(\frac{p(1-p)}{2}\left(Y_{s}+\varepsilon\right)^{p-2} \varphi_{s}^{2}+p\left(Y_{s}+\varepsilon\right)^{p-1} \varphi_{s}\right) d\langle M\rangle_{s} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right] \\
& -E\left[\Sigma_{\tau<s \leq T}\left(\left(Y_{s}+\varepsilon\right)^{p}-\left(Y_{s-}+\varepsilon\right)^{p}-p\left(Y_{s-}+\varepsilon\right)^{p-1} \Delta Y_{s}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right] \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $L^{c}$ is the continuous martingale part of $L$.
Because $f(x)=x^{p}$ is concave for $p \in(0,1)$, the last term in (8) is positive. Therefore, using the inequality

$$
\frac{p(1-p)}{2}\left(Y_{s}+\varepsilon\right)^{p-2} \varphi_{s}^{2}+p\left(Y_{s}+\varepsilon\right)^{p-1} \varphi_{s}+\frac{p}{2(1-p)}\left(Y_{s}+\varepsilon\right)^{p} \geq 0
$$

from (8) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(Y_{\tau}+\varepsilon\right)^{p}-\varepsilon^{p} \geq E\left[\int_{\tau}^{T} p\left(Y_{s}+\varepsilon\right)^{p-1} d\langle X\rangle_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right] \\
\quad-\frac{p}{2(1-p)} E\left[\int_{\tau}^{T}\left(Y_{s}+\varepsilon\right)^{p} d\langle M\rangle_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right] \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $0<p<1$

$$
p\left(\|Y\|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right)^{p-1} E\left[\langle X\rangle_{T}-\langle X\rangle_{\tau} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right] \leq E\left[\int_{\tau}^{T} p\left(Y_{s}+\varepsilon\right)^{p-1} d\langle X\rangle_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right]
$$

from (9) we have
$p\left(\|Y\|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right)^{p-1} E\left[\langle X\rangle_{T}-\langle X\rangle_{\tau} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right] \leq\left(Y_{\tau}+\varepsilon\right)^{p}-\varepsilon^{p}+\frac{p}{2(1-p)} E\left[\int_{\tau}^{T}\left(Y_{s}+\varepsilon\right)^{p} d\langle M\rangle_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right]$
and taking norms in both sides of the latter inequality we obtain
$p\left(\|Y\|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right)^{p-1} \cdot\|X\|_{B M O(P)}^{2} \leq\left(\|Y\|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right)^{p}-\varepsilon^{p}+\frac{p}{2(1-p)}\left(\|Y\|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right)^{p}\|M\|_{B M O(P)}^{2}$.
Taking the limit when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we will have that for all $p \in(0,1)$

$$
\|X\|_{B M O(P)}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{2(1-p)}\|M\|_{B M O(P)}^{2}\right)\|Y\|_{\infty}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\|X\|_{B M O(P)}^{2} & \leq \min _{p \in(0,1)}\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{2(1-p)}\|M\|_{B M O(P)}^{2}\right)\|Y\|_{\infty} \\
& =\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\|M\|_{B M O(P)}\right)^{2}\|Y\|_{\infty} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

since the minimum of the function $f(p)=\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{2(1-p)}\|M\|_{B M O(P)}^{2}$ is attained for $p^{*}=$ $\sqrt{2} /\left(\sqrt{2}+\|M\|_{B M O(P)}\right)$ and $f\left(p^{*}\right)=\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\|M\|_{B M O(P)}\right)^{2}$.

Thus, from (10)

$$
\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\|M\|_{B M O(P)}\right)}\|X\|_{B M O(P)} \leq\|\tilde{X}\|_{B M O(\tilde{P})}
$$

Now we can use inequality (10) for the Girsanov transform of $\tilde{X}$.
Since $d P / d \tilde{P}=\mathcal{E}_{T}^{-1}(M)=\mathcal{E}_{T}(\tilde{M}) d P, \tilde{M}, \tilde{X} \in B M O(\tilde{P})$ and

$$
\varphi(\tilde{X})=\langle\tilde{X}, \tilde{M}\rangle-\tilde{X}=X
$$

from (10) we get the inverse inequality:

$$
\|\tilde{X}\|_{B M O(\tilde{P})} \leq\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\|\tilde{M}\|_{B M O(\tilde{P})}\right)\|X\|_{B M O(P)}
$$

Let us compare the constant

$$
C(\tilde{M})=1+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\|\tilde{M}\|_{B M O(\tilde{P})}
$$

from (4) with the corresponding constant $C_{K a z}(\tilde{M})$ from (1) (Kazamaki [2]).
Since $2^{1 / p}>1$ and by Jensen's inequality

$$
E^{\tilde{P}}\left[\left.\left\{\mathcal{E}_{\tau, T}(\tilde{M})\right\}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right] \geq 1
$$

the constant $C_{K a z}(\tilde{M})$ is more than $\sqrt{2 p}$, where from (3) $p>\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\|\tilde{M}\|_{B M O(\tilde{P})}\right)^{2}$. Therefore, we obtain that at least

$$
C^{2}(\tilde{M})<\frac{1}{2} C_{K a z}^{2}(\tilde{M}) .
$$
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