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## 1. Introduction

It is well known that (see [9]) Cesaro means of $2 \pi$-periodic functions $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T})(1 \leq$ $p \leq \infty)$ converges by norms. Hereby $\mathbb{T}$ is denoted the interval $(-\pi, \pi)$. The problem of the mean summability in weighted Lebesgue spaces has been investigated in [6].

A $2 \pi$-periodic nonnegative integrable function $w: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ is called a weight function. In the sequel by $L_{w}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$, we denote the Banach function space of all measurable $2 \pi$-periodic functions $f$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p, w}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}}|f(x)|^{p} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p}<\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the paper [6] it has been done the complete characterization of that weights $w$, for which Cesaro means converges to the initial function by the norm of $L_{w}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$. Later on Muckenhoupt (see [3]) showed that the condition referred in [6] is equivalent to the condition $A_{p}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w(x) d x\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x) d x\right)^{p-1}<\infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)$ and the supremum is taken over all one-dimensional intervals whose lengths are not greater than $2 \pi$.

2 Mean summability of Fourier trigonometric series
The problem of mean summability by linear methods of multiple Fourier trigonometric series in $L_{w}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ in the frame of $A_{p}$ classes has been studied in [5].

In the present paper we investigate the situation when the weight $w$ can be outside of $A_{p}$ class. Precisely, we prove the necessary and sufficient condition for the pair of weights $(v, w)$ which governs the $(C, \alpha)$ summability in $L_{v}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ for arbitrary function $f$ from $L_{w}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$. This result is applied to the prove of two-weighted Bernstein's inequality for trigonometric polynomials. It should be noted that for monotonic pairs of weights for $(C, 1)$ summability was studied in [7].

## Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \sim \frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \cos n x+b_{n} \sin n x\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Fourier series of function $f \in L^{1}(\mathbb{T})$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}^{\alpha}(x, f)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+t) K_{n}^{\alpha}(t) d t, \quad \alpha>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

when

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}^{\alpha}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} D_{k}(t)}{A_{n}^{\alpha}}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{k}(t) & =\sum_{\nu=0}^{k} \frac{\sin (\nu+1 / 2) t}{2 \sin (1 / 2) t}  \tag{1.6}\\
A_{n}^{\alpha} & =\binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} \approx \frac{n^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the sequel we will need the following well-known estimates for Cesaro kernel (see [9, pages 94-95]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}^{\alpha}(t) \leq 2 n, \quad K_{n}^{\alpha}(t) \leq c_{\alpha} n^{-\alpha}|t|^{-(\alpha+1)} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $0<|t|<\pi$.

## 2. Two-weight boundedness and mean summability (one-dimensional case)

Let us introduce the certain class of pairs of weight functions.
Definition 2.1. A pair of weights $(v, w)$ is said to be of class $\mathscr{A}_{p}(\mathbb{T})$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} v(x) d x\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x) d x\right)^{p-1}<\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the least upper bound is taken over all one-dimensional intervals by lengths not more than $2 \pi$.

The following statement is true.
Theorem 2.2. Let $1<p<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\sigma_{n}^{\alpha}(\cdot, f)-f\right\|_{p, v}=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $f$ from $L_{w}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ if and only if $(v, w) \in \mathscr{A}_{p}(\mathbb{T})$.
The proof is based on the following statement.
Theorem 2.3. Let $1<p<\infty$. For the validity of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{n}^{\alpha}(\cdot, f)\right\|_{p, v} \leq c\|f\|_{p, w} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $f \in L_{w}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$, where the constant $c$ does not depend on $n$ and $f$, it is necessary and sufficient that $(v, w) \in \mathscr{A}_{p}(\mathbb{T})$.

Note that the condition $(v, w) \in \mathscr{A}_{p}(\mathbb{T})$ is also necessary and sufficient for boundedness of the Abel-Poisson means from $L_{w}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ to $L_{v}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ [4].

First of all let us prove two-weighted inequality for the average

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{h}^{\beta}(x)=\frac{1}{h^{1-\beta}} \int_{x-h}^{x+h}|f(t)| d t, \quad h>0,0 \leq \beta<1 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last functions are an extension of Steklov means.
Theorem 2.4. Let $1<p<q<\infty$ and let $1 / q=1 / p-\beta$. If the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{I}\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} v(x) d x\right)^{1 / q}\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x) d x\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}<\infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied for all intervals $I,|I| \leq 2 \pi$, then there exists a positive constant $c$ such that for arbitrary $f \in L_{w}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ and $h>0$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|f_{h}^{\beta}(x)\right|^{q} v(x) d x\right)^{1 / q} \leq c\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|f(x)|^{p} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $h \leq \pi$ and $N$ be the least natural number for which $N h \geq \pi$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left[f_{h}^{\beta}(x)\right]^{q} v(x) d x \\
& \leq \sum_{k=-N}^{N-1} \int_{k h}^{(k+1) h} h^{-q(1-\beta)}\left[\int_{x-h}^{x+h}|f(t)| d t\right]^{q} v(x) d x \\
& \leq \sum_{k=-N}^{N-1} \int_{k h}^{(k+1) h} h^{-q(1-\beta)}\left[\int_{(k-1) h}^{(k+2) h}|f(t)| d t\right]^{q} v(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq \sum_{k=-N}^{N-1} \int_{k h}^{(k+1) h} h^{-q(1-\beta)}\left[\int_{(k-1) h}^{(k+2) h}|f(t)|^{p} w(t) d t\right]^{q / p}\left[\int_{(k-1) h}^{(k+2) h} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(t) d t\right]^{q / p^{\prime}} v(x) d x \\
& =\sum_{k=-N}^{N-1}\left(\int_{k h}^{(k+1) h} v(x) d x\right)\left(\int_{(k-1) h}^{(k+2) h} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(t) d t\right)^{q / p^{\prime}} h^{-q(1-\beta)} \\
& \quad \times\left(\int_{(k-1) h}^{(k+2) h}|f(t)|^{p} w(t) d t\right)^{q / p} \\
& =\sum_{k=-N}^{N-1}\left(\frac{1}{h} \int_{k h}^{(k+1) h} v(x) d x\right)\left(\frac{1}{h} \int_{(k-1) h}^{(k+2) h} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(t) d t\right)^{q / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{(k-1) h}^{(k+2) h}|f(t)|^{p} w(t) d t\right)^{q / p} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Arguing to the condition (2.5) we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left[f_{h}^{\beta}(x)\right]^{q} v(x) d x \leq c \sum_{k=-N}^{N-1}\left(\int_{(k-1) h}^{(k+2) h}|f(t)|^{p} w(t) d t\right)^{q / p} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using [2, Proposition 5.1.3] we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|f_{h}^{\beta}(x)\right|^{q} v(x) d x \leq c_{1}\|f\|_{p, w}^{q} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem is proved.
Note that Theorem 2.4 is proved in [4] in the case $\beta=0$.
Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let us show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma_{n}^{\alpha}(x, f)\right| \leq c_{0} \int_{1 / n}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} h^{-1-\alpha} f_{h}(x) d h \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $c_{0}$ does not depend on $f$ and $h$. By reversing the order of integration in the right side integral of (2.10), we get that it is more than or equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =\int_{x-\pi}^{x+\pi}|f(t)|\left[\int_{\max (|x-t|, 1 / n)}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} h^{-2-\alpha} d h\right] d t  \tag{2.11}\\
& \geq c \int_{x-\pi}^{x+\pi}|f(t)| \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}\left[\max \left(|x-t|, \frac{1}{n}\right)\right]^{-1-\alpha} d t
\end{align*}
$$

since $|x-t| \leq \pi$.
Indeed, let us show that for $|x-t| \leq \pi$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\max \{|x-t|, 1 / n\}}^{2 \pi} h^{-2-\alpha} d h>c(\max \{|x-t|, 1 / n\})^{-\alpha-1} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ does not depend on $x, t$, and $n$.

It is obvious that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\int_{\max \{|x-t|, 1 / n\}}^{2 \pi} h^{-2-\alpha} d h=\frac{1}{1+\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{(\max \{|x-t|, 1 / n\})^{1+\alpha}}-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{1+\alpha}}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the latter inequality we consider two cases.
(a) Let $|x-t|<1 / n$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\frac{1}{1+\alpha}\left(n^{1+\alpha}-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{1+\alpha}}\right)>\frac{1}{1+\alpha}\left(1-(2 \pi)^{-1-\alpha}\right) n^{1+\alpha} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let now $|x-t| \geq 1 / n$. Then for the sake of the fact $|x-t| \leq \pi$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1} & =\frac{1}{1+\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{|x-t|^{1+\alpha}}-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{1+\alpha}}\right)=\frac{1}{2(1+\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{|x-t|^{1+\alpha}}+\frac{1}{|x-t|^{1+\alpha}}-\frac{2}{(2 \pi)^{1+\alpha}}\right) \\
& >\frac{1}{2(1+\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{|x-t|^{1+\alpha}}+\frac{1}{\pi^{1+\alpha}}-\frac{2}{(2 \pi)^{1+\alpha}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2(1+\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{|x-t|^{1+\alpha}}+\frac{1}{\pi^{1+\alpha}}-\frac{1}{2^{\alpha} \pi^{1+\alpha}}\right) \\
& >\frac{1}{2(1+\alpha)} \frac{1}{|x-t|^{1+\alpha}} \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies the desired result.
Using the estimates (1.7) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \geq c \int_{x-\pi}^{x+\pi}|f(t)| K_{n}^{\alpha}(x-t) d t \geq c\left|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) K_{n}^{\alpha}(x-t) d t\right|=c\left|\sigma_{n}^{\alpha}(x, f)\right| \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we obtain (2.10). Passing to the norms in (2.10), then applying Theorem 2.4 by Minkowski's integral inequality we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|\sigma_{n}^{\alpha}(x, f)\right|^{p} v(x) d x & \leq c \int_{\mathbb{T}}|f(x)|^{p} w(x)\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} \int_{1 / n} h^{-1-\alpha} d h\right)^{p} d x  \tag{2.17}\\
& \leq c_{1} \int_{\mathbb{T}}|f(x)|^{p} w(x) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we will prove that from (2.3) it follows that $(v, w) \in \mathscr{A}_{p}(\mathbb{T})$. If the length of the interval $I$ is more than $\pi / 4$, the validness of the condition (2.1) is clear.

Let now $|I| \leq \pi / 4$. Let $m$ be the greatest integer for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq \frac{\pi}{2|I|}-1 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)(x-t)\right| \leq(m+1)|x-t| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then applying Abel's transform we get that for $x$ and $t$ from $I$, the following estimates are true:

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{m}^{\alpha}(x-t) & \geq \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{A_{m-k}^{\alpha}}{A_{m}^{\alpha}}(2 k+1) \geq c(m+2) \frac{1}{(m+1) A_{m}^{\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^{m} A_{m-k}^{\alpha-1}(k+1)  \tag{2.20}\\
& \geq \frac{c}{|I|} \frac{1}{(m+1) A_{m}^{\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^{m} A_{m-k}^{\alpha}=\frac{c}{|I|} \frac{A_{m}^{\alpha+1}}{(m+1) A_{m}^{\alpha}} \geq \frac{c}{|I|} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us put in (2.3) the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(x)=w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x) \chi_{I}(x) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m$ which was indicated above. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I}\left(\int_{I} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(t) K_{m}^{\alpha}(x-t) d t\right)^{p} v(x) d x \leq c \int_{I} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x) d x \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the last inequality by (2.20) we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I}\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(t) d t\right)^{p} v(x) d x \leq c \int_{I} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x) d x . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (2.3) it follows that $(v, w) \in \mathscr{A}_{p}(\mathbb{T})$.
Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let us show that if $(v, w) \in \mathscr{A}_{p}(\mathbb{T})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\sigma_{n}^{\alpha}(\cdot, f)-f\right\|_{p, v}=0 \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $f \in L_{w}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$.
Consider the sequence of linear operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}: f \longrightarrow \sigma_{n}^{\alpha}(\cdot, f) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $U_{n}$ is bounded from $L_{w}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ to $L_{v}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$. Indeed applying Hölder's inequality we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|\sigma_{n}^{\alpha}(x, f)\right|^{p} v(x) d x & \leq 2 n \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}}|f(t)| d t\right)^{p} v(x) d x \\
& \leq 2 n \int_{\mathbb{T}}|f(t)|^{p} w(t) d t \int_{\mathbb{T}} v(x) d x\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x) d x\right)^{p-1} . \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

By our assumptions all these integrals are finite, the constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=2 n \int_{\mathbb{U}} v(x) d x\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x) d x\right)^{p-1} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not depend on $f$.

Then since $(v, w) \in \mathscr{A}_{p}(\mathbb{T})$ by Theorem 2.3, we have that the sequence of operators norms is bounded. On the other hand, the set of all $2 \pi$-periodic continuous on the line functions is dense in $L_{w}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$. It is known (see [9]) that the Cesaro means of continuous function uniformly converges to the initial function and since $v \in L^{1}(\mathbb{T})$ they converge in $L_{v}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ as well. Applying the Banach-Steinhaus theorem (see, [1]) we conclude that the convergence holds for arbitrary $f \in L_{w}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$.

Now we prove the necessity part. From the convergence in $L_{v}^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ of the Cesaro means by Banach-Steinhaus theorem we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left\|U_{n}\right\|_{L_{w}^{p}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L_{v}^{p}(\mathbb{T})}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded. It means that (2.3) holds. Then by Theorem 2.3 we conclude that $(v, w) \in$ $\mathscr{A}_{p}(\mathbb{T})$.

Theorem is proved.

## 3. On the mean ( $C, \alpha, \beta$ ) summability of the double trigonometric Fourier series

Let $\mathbb{T}^{2}=\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$ and $f(x, y)$ be an integrable function on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ which is $2 \pi$-periodic with respect to each variable.

Let

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f(x, y) \sim \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{m n}\left(a_{m n} \cos m x \cos n y+b_{m n} \sin m x \sin m y\right.  \tag{3.1}\\
\left.\quad+c_{m n} \cos m x \sin n y+d_{m n} \sin m x \sin n y\right)
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{m n}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4}, & \text { when } m=n=0  \tag{3.2}\\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text { for } m=0, n>0 \text { or } m>0, n=0 \\ 1, & \text { when } m>0, n>0\end{cases}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{m n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y, f)=\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_{m-i}^{\alpha-1} A_{n-j}^{\beta-1} S_{i j}(x, y, f)}{A_{m}^{\alpha} A_{n}^{\beta}}, \quad(\alpha, \beta>0) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Cesaro means for the function $f$, where $S_{i j}(x, y, f)$ are partial sums of (3.1).
We consider the mean summability in weighted space defined by the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p, w}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}|f(x, y)|^{p} w(x, y) d x d y\right)^{1 / p} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ is a weight function of two variables.
In this section our goal is to prove the following result and some its converse.

Theorem 3.1. Let $1<p<\infty$. Assume that the pair of weights $(v, w)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{J} \frac{1}{|J|} \int_{J} v(x, y) d x d y\left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_{J} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x, y) d x d y\right)^{p-1}<\infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the least upper bound is taken over all rectangles, with the sides parallel to the coordinate axes. Then for arbitrary $f \in L_{w}^{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ n \rightarrow \infty}}\left\|\sigma_{m n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cdot, \cdot, f)-f\right\|_{p, v} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel the set of all pairs with the condition (3.5) will be denoted by $\mathscr{A}_{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \rrbracket\right)$. Here § denotes the set of all rectangles with parallel to the coordinate axes.

The proof of this theorem is based on the following statement.
Theorem 3.2. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $(v, w) \in \mathscr{A}_{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \mathbb{J}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{m n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cdot, \cdot \cdot, f)\right\|_{p, v} \leq c\|f\|_{p, w} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the constant $c$ independent of $m, n$, and $f$.
To prove Theorem 3.2 we need the two-dimensional version of Theorem 2.4. Let us consider generalized multiple Steklov means

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{h k}^{y}(x)=\sup _{\substack{h>0 \\ k>0}} \frac{1}{(h k)^{\gamma}} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} \int_{y-k}^{y+k}|f(t, \tau)| d t d \tau, \quad 0<\gamma \leq 1 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $1 / q=1 / p-\gamma$. Let $(v, w) \in \mathscr{A}_{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \rrbracket\right)$. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that for arbitrary $f \in L_{w}^{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ and positive $h$ and $k$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{h k}^{\gamma}\right\|_{q, v} \leq c\|f\|_{p, w} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $h \leq \pi$ and $k \leq \pi$. Let $M$ and $N$ be the least natural numbers for which $M h \geq \pi$ and $N k \geq \pi$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}\left[f_{h k}^{y}(x, y)\right]^{q} v(x, y) d x d y \leq & \sum_{i=-M}^{M} \sum_{j=-N}^{N} \int_{i h}^{(i+1) h} \int_{j k}^{(j+1) k}(h k)^{-q(1-y)} \\
& \times\left[\int_{x-h}^{x+h} \int_{y-k}^{y+k}|f(t, \tau)| d t d \tau\right]^{q} v(x, y) d x d y \\
\leq & \sum_{i=-M}^{M-1} \sum_{j=-N}^{N-1} \int_{i h}^{(i+1) h} \int_{j k}^{(j+1) k}(h k)^{-q(1-\gamma)}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \times\left[\int_{(i-1) h}^{(i+2) h} \int_{(j-1) k}^{(j+1) k}|f(t, \tau)| d t d \tau\right]^{q} v(x, y) d x d y .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Hölder's inequality we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}\left[f_{h k}^{y}(x, y)\right]^{q} v(x, y) d x d y \\
& \leq \leq \sum_{i=-M}^{M-1} \sum_{j=-N}^{N-1} \int_{i h}^{(i+1) h} \int_{j k}^{(j+1) k}(h k)^{-q(1-\gamma)}\left[\int_{(i-1) h}^{(i+2) h} \int_{(j-1) k}^{(j+1) k}|f(t, \tau)|^{p} w(t, \tau) d t d \tau\right]^{q / p} \\
& \quad \times\left[\int_{(i-1) h}^{(i+2) h} \int_{(j-1) k}^{(j+2) k} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x, y) d x d y\right]^{q / p^{\prime}} v(x, y) d x d y . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

By the condition $\mathscr{A}_{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \mathbb{J}\right)$ we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}\left[f_{h k}^{y}(x, y)\right]^{q} v(x, y) d x d y \leq c \sum_{i=-M}^{M-1} \sum_{j=-N}^{N-1}\left(\int_{(i-1) h}^{(i+2) h} \int_{(j-1) k}^{(j+1) k}|f(t, \tau)|^{p} w(t, \tau) d t d \tau\right)^{q / p} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}\left|f_{h k}^{y}(x, y)\right|^{q} v(x, y) d x d y \leq c\|f\|_{p, w}^{q} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem is proved.
Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let us prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma_{m n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y, f)\right| \leq c \int_{1 / m}^{\pi} \int_{1 / n}^{\pi} \frac{1}{m^{\alpha} n^{\beta}} h^{-1-\alpha} k^{-1-\beta} f_{h k}(x, y, f) d h d k, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant does not depend on $f, x, y, m$, and $n$.
If we reverse the order of integration in right side of (3.14), then by the arguments similar to that of the one-dimensional case we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =\int_{x-\pi}^{x+\pi} \int_{y-\pi}^{y+\pi}|f(t, s)|\left[\int_{\max (|x-t|, 1 / m)}^{2 \pi} \int_{\max (|y-s|, 1 / n)}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{m^{\alpha} n^{\beta}} h^{-2-\alpha} k^{-2-\beta} d h d k\right] d t d s \\
& \geq c \int_{x+\pi}^{x-\pi} \int_{y-\pi}^{y+\pi}|f(t, s)| \frac{1}{m^{\alpha} n^{\beta}}\left[\max \left(|x-t|, \frac{1}{m}\right)\right]^{-1-\alpha}\left[\max \left(|y-s|, \frac{1}{n}\right)\right]^{-1-\beta} d t d s . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the known estimates for Cesaro kernel from the last estimate we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \geq c \int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}|f(t, s)| K_{m}^{\alpha}(x-t) K_{n}^{\beta}(y-s) d t d s \geq c\left|\sigma_{m n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y, f)\right| . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proved (3.14).

Taking the norms in (3.14), by Theorem 3.3 and Minkowski's inequality we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}} \mid & \left.\sigma_{m n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y, f)\right|^{p} v(x, y) d d x d y \\
& \leq c \int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}|f(x, y)|^{p} w(x, y)\left(\frac{1}{m^{\alpha} n^{\beta}} \int_{1 / m}^{2 \pi} \int_{1 / n}^{2 \pi} h^{-1-\alpha} k^{-1-\beta} d h d k\right)^{p} d x d y  \tag{3.17}\\
& \leq c_{1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}|f(x, y)|^{p} w(x, y) d x d y .
\end{align*}
$$

By this we obtain (3.7).
Proof of Theorem 3.1. Consider the sequence of operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{m n}: f \longrightarrow \sigma_{m n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cdot, \cdot, f) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is evident that $U_{m n}$ is linear bounded for each $(m, n)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}} v(x, y) d x d y<\infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x, y) d x d y<\infty \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then since $(v, w) \in \mathscr{A}_{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \mathbb{J}\right)$ by Theorem 3.2, the sequence of operators norms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left\|U_{m n}\right\|_{L_{w}^{p} \rightarrow L_{v}^{p}}\right\}_{m, n=1}^{\infty} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded. On the other hand, the set of $2 \pi$-periodic functions which are continuous on the plane is dense in $L_{w}^{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$. Then it is known that Cesaro means of Lipschitz functions of two variables converges uniformly (see [8, page 181]). Since $v \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ the last convergence we have by means of $L_{v}^{p}$ norms as well. Applying the Banach-Steinhaus theorem (see [1]) we conclude that the norm convergence (3.6) holds for arbitrary $f \in L_{w}^{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $1<p<\infty$. If the inequality (3.7) is satisfied, then the condition (3.5) holds when the least upper bound is taken over all rectangles $J_{0}=I_{1} \times I_{2}$ and $\left|I_{1}\right|<\pi / 4$ and $\left|I_{2}\right|<\pi / 4$.

Proof. Let $m$ and $n$ be that greatest natural numbers with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2(m+2)} \leq\left|I_{1}\right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2(m+1)}, \quad \frac{\pi}{2(n+2)} \leq\left|I_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $(x, y) \in J_{0}$ and $(t, \tau) \in J_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{m}^{\alpha}(x-t) \geq \frac{c}{\left|I_{1}\right|}, \quad K_{n}^{\beta}(y-s) \geq \frac{c}{\left|I_{2}\right|} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some constant $c$ nondepending on $m, n,(x, y)$ and $(t, s)$.

Indeed Abel's transform for $K_{m}^{\alpha}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{m}^{\alpha}(x-t) & \geq \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{A_{m-k}^{\alpha}}{A_{m}^{\alpha}}(2 k+1) \geq c(m+2) \frac{1}{(m+1) A_{m}^{\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^{m} A_{m-k}^{\alpha-1}(k+1)  \tag{3.23}\\
& \geq \frac{c}{\left|I_{1}\right|} \frac{1}{(m+1) A_{m}^{\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k}^{\alpha}=\frac{c}{\left|I_{1}\right|} \frac{A_{m}^{\alpha+1}}{(m+1) A_{m}^{\alpha}} \geq \frac{c}{\left|I_{1}\right|},
\end{align*}
$$

for $(x, y) \in J_{0}$ and $(t, s) \in J_{0}$.
Analogously we can estimate $K_{n}^{\beta}(y-s)$.
Now for indicated $m$ and $n$, put (3.7) in the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(x, y)=w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x, y) \chi_{J_{0}}(x, y) . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J_{0}}\left(\int_{J_{0}} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(t, s) K_{m}^{\alpha}(x-t) K_{n}^{\beta}(y-s) d t d s\right)^{p} v(x, y) d x d y \leq c \int_{J_{0}} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x, y) d x d y \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.23) from the last inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{\left|J_{0}\right|} \int_{J_{0}} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(t, s) d t d s\right)^{p} v(x, y) d x d y \leq c \int_{J_{0}} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x, y) d x d y \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is (3.5) with the least upper bound taken over all rectangles $J_{0}$, such that $J_{0}=I_{1} \times I_{2}$ and $\left|I_{i}\right|<\pi / 4, i=1,2$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $1<p<\infty$. If (3.7) holds, then there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a positive $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{|J|} \int_{J} v(x, y) d x d y\left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_{J} w^{1-p^{\prime}}(x, y) d x d y\right)^{p-1}<c \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $J=I_{1} \times I_{2}$ with $\left|I_{i}\right|<\pi /(2 k+1)(i=1,2)$.
Proof. Let us consider the double sequence of operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{m n}: f \longrightarrow \sigma_{m n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cdot, \cdot, f) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the sequence is double, following to the proof of Banach-Steinhaus theorem, we can conclude only that there exists some natural number $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{m n}\right\| \leq M \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $m \geq k, n \geq k$.

Note that, in general the convergence of a double sequence does not imply the boundedness of this sequence. Thus we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{m n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cdot, \cdot \cdot, f)\right\|_{p, v} \leq c\|f\|_{p, w} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $m \geq k$ and $n \geq k$.
Let us consider such rectangles that $J_{0}=I_{1} \times I_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{1}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2(k+1)}, \quad\left|I_{2}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2(k+1)} . \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then choose the greatest $m$ and $n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2(m+2)}<\left|I_{1}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2(m+1)}, \quad \frac{\pi}{2(n+2)}<\left|I_{2}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2(n+1)} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it is sufficient to repeat the last part of the proof of previous theorem.

## 4. Two-weighted Bernstein's inequalities

Applying the two-norm inequalities for the Cesaro means derived in the previous sections, we are able to prove the two-weighted version of the well-known Bernstein's inequality. For any trigonometric polynomial $T_{n}(x)$ of order $\leq n$, for every $p(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq c n\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T_{n}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last inequality is known as integral Bernstein's inequality.
The following extension of (4.1) is true.
Theorem 4.1. Let $1<p<\infty$ and assume that $(v, w) \in \mathscr{A}_{p}(\mathbb{T})$. Then the two-weighted inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} v(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq c n\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T_{n}(x)\right|^{p} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Also for the conjugate trigonometric polynomial $\widetilde{T}_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\widetilde{T}_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} v(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq c n\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T_{n}(x)\right|^{p} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is well known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} T_{n}(u) D_{n}(u-x) d u \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}(u)=\frac{1}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \cos k u \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Dirichlet's kernel of order $n$. By the derivation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n}^{\prime}(x) & =-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} T_{n}(u) D_{n}^{\prime}(u-x) d u=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} T_{n}(u+x) D_{n}^{\prime}(u) d u \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} T_{n}(u+x)\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} k \sin k u\right\} d u \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} T_{n}(u+x)\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} k \sin k u+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \sin (2 n-k) u\right\} d u  \tag{4.6}\\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} T_{n}(u+x) 2 n \sin n u\left\{\frac{1}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n-k}{n} \cos k u\right\} d u \\
& =2 n \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} T_{n}(u+x) \sin n u K_{n-1}(u) d u,
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{n-1}$ is the Fejer's kernel of order $n-1$. By taking the absolute values, we get (see [9, Volume I, page 85])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 2 n \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T_{n}(u+x)\right| K_{n-1}(u) d u=2 n \sigma_{n-1}\left(x,\left|T_{n}\right|\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we use Theorem 2.3, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} v(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} & \leq\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[2 n \sigma_{n-1}\left(x,\left|T_{n}\right|\right)\right]^{p} v(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
& =2 n\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\sigma_{n-1}\left(x,\left|T_{n}\right|\right)\right]^{p} v(x) d x\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{4.8}\\
& \leq c n\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} .
\end{align*}
$$

For the conjugate of $T_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{T}_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} T_{n}(u) \widetilde{D}_{n}(u-x) d u \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{D}_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sin k u \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the conjugate Dirichlet's kernel. By differentiation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{T}_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{2 n}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} T_{n}(x+u) \cos n u K_{n-1}(u) d u \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widetilde{T}_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 2 n \sigma_{n-1}\left(x,\left|T_{n}\right|\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\widetilde{T}_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} v(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq c n\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T_{n}(x)\right|^{p} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the theorem is proved.
The inequality derived in Theorem 4.1 also extended to the case of trigonometric polynomials of several variables. Thus, if $T_{m n}(x, y)$ is a trigonometric polynomial of order $\leq m$ with respect to $x$ and of order $\leq n$ with respect to $y$, we have the following.

Theorem 4.2. Let $1<p<\infty$. Assume that $(v, w) \in \mathscr{A}_{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, \mathbb{J}\right)$. Then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} T_{m n}(x, y)}{\partial x \partial y}\right\|_{p, v} \leq c m n\left\|T_{m n}(x, y)\right\|_{p, w} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds with a positive constant $c$ independent of $T_{m n}$.
Proof. It is known that (see [9, Volume II, pages 302-303])

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{m n}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \iint_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x+s, y+t) K_{m}(s) K_{n}(t) d s d t \\
& T_{m n}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \iint_{0}^{2 \pi} T_{m n}(s, t) D_{m}(s-x) D_{n}(t-y) d s d t . \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

If we take the partial derivatives of $T_{m n}$ with respect to $x$ and $y$ from the last relation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} T_{m n}(x, y)}{\partial x \partial y}=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \iint_{0}^{2 \pi} T_{m n}(s, t) D_{m}^{\prime}(s-x) D_{n}^{\prime}(t-y) d s d t \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the process used in the previous theorem, this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} T_{m n}(x, y)}{\partial x \partial y}=\frac{2 m 2 n}{\pi^{2}} \iint_{0}^{2 \pi} T_{m n}(x+s, y+t) \sin m s \sin n t K_{m-1}(s) K_{n-1}(t) d s d t \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{2} T_{m n}(x, y)}{\partial x \partial y}\right| \leq \frac{4 m n}{\pi^{2}} \sigma_{(m-1)(n-1)}\left(x, y,\left|T_{m n}\right|\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take the norms and consider Theorem 3.2, we obtain the desired inequality.
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