

# SOME APPROXIMATION PROBLEMS FOR $(\alpha, \psi)$ -DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS IN WEIGHTED VARIABLE EXPONENT LEBESGUE SPACES

**R. Akgün**

Balikesir University  
10145, Balikesir, Turkey  
rakgun@balikesir.edu.tr

**V. Kokilashvili** \*

A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute  
I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University  
2, University Str., Tbilisi 0186, Georgia  
kokil@rmi.ge

UDC 517.9

*We prove direct and inverse theorems for  $(\alpha, \psi)$ -differentiable functions in weighted variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. We also define a Besov type space and obtain some properties of this space. Bibliography: 29 titles.*

## 1 Statement of the Problem

Variable exponent Lebesgue spaces  $L^{p(x)}$  were mentioned in the literature for the first time by Orlicz [1]. These spaces were systematically studied by Nakano [2, 3]. In the appendix of [2, p. 284], Nakano explicitly indicated variable exponent Lebesgue spaces as an example of modular spaces. Also, under the condition

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbf{T}} p(x) < \infty,$$

the space  $L^{p(x)}$  is a particular case of Musielak–Orlicz spaces [4]. Topological properties of  $L^{p(x)}$  were studied by Sharapudinov [5] (cf. also [6]–[8] and the monograph [9]). The spaces  $L^{p(x)}$  have many applications in elasticity theory, fluid mechanics, differential operators [10, 11], nonlinear Dirichlet boundary value problems [6], nonstandard growth, and variational calculus [12]. For  $p(x) := p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , the space  $L^{p(x)}$  coincides with the classical Lebesgue space  $L^p$ . Unlike  $L^p$ , the space  $L^{p(x)}$  is not  $p(\cdot)$ -continuous and is not invariant under translations [6]. This fact causes some difficulties for defining the smoothness moduli. Using the Steklov means, Gadjieva [13] introduced the smoothness moduli in the case of weighted Lebesgue spaces. These moduli

---

\* To whom the correspondence should be addressed.

turned out to be also suitable for the weighted spaces  $L^p(x)$ . For example, some inequalities on trigonometric approximation in the weighted spaces  $L^p(x)$  were proved in [14]–[19]. We note that the inverse inequalities were obtained by S. Stechkin for the space  $C$  and by A. Timan and M. Timan for the spaces  $L^p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). We emphasize the results of Stepanets [20]–[23], in particular, a Bernstein type inequality in unweighted classical Lebesgue spaces was proved in [23] for the derivatives in general sense. Stepanets developed the approximation theory for functions in the spaces  $C$  and  $L^p$  that are differentiable in the general sense.

In [19], the authors proved the following assertion.

**Theorem 1.1** (cf. [19]). *If  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\omega^{-p_0} \in A_{(p(\cdot)/p_0)'} for some  $p_0 \in (1, p_*)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}_0$ ,  $r \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$  and$*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu \psi(\nu)} < \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

then there exists a constant  $c > 0$ , depending only on  $\psi$ ,  $r$ , and  $p$ , such that

$$\Omega_r(f_\alpha^\psi, \frac{1}{n})_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c \left\{ \frac{1}{n^r} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\nu^r E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu \psi(\nu)} + \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu \psi(\nu)} \right\}. \quad (1.2)$$

In this paper, we improve Theorem 1.1. We show that  $r$  can be replaced with  $2r$  on the right-hand side of (1.2). For this purpose, we refine the converse inequality.

**Theorem 1.2** (cf. [15]). *If  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\omega^{-p_0} \in A_{(p(\cdot)/p_0)'} for some  $p_0 \in (1, p_*)$ ,  $f \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ , and  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , then$*

$$\Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n+1}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^r} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{(\nu+1)^r E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

where the constant  $c > 0$  depends only on  $r$  and  $p$ .

We also give a characterization of weighted variable exponent Besov spaces [24].

Let a function  $\omega : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a weight on  $\mathbf{T}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the class of Lebesgue measurable functions  $p(x) : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow (1, \infty)$  such that

$$1 < p_* := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbf{T}} p(x) \leq p^* := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbf{T}} p(x) < \infty.$$

Then we introduce the class  $L^{p(x)}$  of  $2\pi$ -periodic measurable functions  $f : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty$$

for  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ . It is known that  $L^{p(x)}$  is a Banach space [6] equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} := \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0 : \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left| \frac{f(x)}{\alpha} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We denote by  $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$  the class of Lebesgue measurable functions  $f : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\omega f \in L^p(x)$ . The weighted variable exponent Lebesgue space  $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$  is a Banach space equipped with the norm  $\|f\|_{p(\cdot),\omega} := \|\omega f\|_{p(\cdot)}$ .

For a given  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  we denote by  $A_{p(\cdot)}$  the class of weights  $\omega$  satisfying the condition [25]

$$\|\omega \chi_Q\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\omega^{-1} \chi_Q\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq C|Q|$$

for all balls  $Q$  in  $\mathbf{T}$ . Here,  $p'(x) := p(x)/(p(x) - 1)$  is the conjugate exponent of  $p(x)$ . The variable exponent  $p(x)$  is said to be *log-Hölder continuous* on  $\mathbf{T}$  if there exists a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that

$$|p(x_1) - p(x_2)| \leq \frac{c}{\log(e + 1/|x_1 - x_2|)} \quad \text{for all } x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{T}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$  the class of exponents  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $1/p : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is log-Hölder continuous on  $\mathbf{T}$ .

If  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$  and  $f \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ , then it was proved in [25] that the  $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ -norm of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function  $\mathcal{M}$  is bounded if and only if  $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$ .

We set  $f \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$  and

$$\mathcal{A}_h f(x) := \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h/2}^{x+h/2} f(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbf{T}.$$

If  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$  and  $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_h$  is bounded in  $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ . Consequently if  $x, h \in \mathbf{T}$  and  $0 \leq r$ , we define, via the binomial expansion,

$$\sigma_h^r f(x) := (I - \mathcal{A}_h)^r f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(r+1)}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(r-k+1)} (\mathcal{A}_h)^k f,$$

where  $f \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ ,  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function, and  $I$  is the identity operator.

For  $0 \leq r$  we define the *fractional moduli of smoothness* for  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$  and  $f \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$  by the formula

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot), \omega} := \sup_{0 < h_i, t \leq \delta} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} (I - \mathcal{A}_{h_i}) \sigma_t^{\{r\}} f \right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}, \quad \delta \geq 0,$$

where

$$\Omega_0(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot), \omega} := \|f\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}, \quad \prod_{i=1}^0 (I - \mathcal{A}_{h_i}) \sigma_t^r f := \sigma_t^r f, \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

and  $[r]$  denotes the integer part of a real number  $r$  and  $\{r\} := r - [r]$ .

If  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$  and  $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$ , then  $\omega^{p(x)} \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$ . This implies that the set of trigonometric polynomials is dense [26] in the space  $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ . On the other hand, if  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$  and  $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$ , then  $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)} \subset L^1(\mathbf{T})$ .

For a given  $f \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$  we consider the *Fourier series*

$$f(x) \sim \frac{a_0(f)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k(f) \cos kx + b_k(f) \sin kx)$$

and the conjugate Fourier series

$$\tilde{f}(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k(f) \sin kx - b_k(f) \cos kx).$$

We say that a function  $f \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$ , has a  $(\alpha, \psi)$ -derivative  $f_{\alpha}^{\psi}$  if for a given sequence  $\psi(k)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and a number  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\psi(k)} \left( a_k(f) \cos k \left( x + \frac{\alpha\pi}{2k} \right) + b_k(f) \sin k \left( x + \frac{\alpha\pi}{2k} \right) \right)$$

is the Fourier series of the function  $f_{\alpha}^{\psi}$ . For  $\psi(k) = k^{-\alpha}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , we have the fractional derivative  $f^{(\alpha)}$  of  $f$  in the sense of Weyl [27]. For  $\psi(k) = k^{-\alpha} \ln^{-\beta} k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  we have the power logarithmic–fractional derivative  $f^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  of  $f$  (cf. [28]).

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be the set of functions  $\psi(v)$  that are convex downwards for any  $v \geq 1$  and satisfy the condition  $\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \psi(v) = 0$ . We associate every function  $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}$  with a pair of functions  $\eta(t) = \psi^{-1}(\psi(t)/2)$ ,  $\mu(t) = t/(\eta(t) - t)$  and  $\bar{\eta}(t) = \psi^{-1}(2\psi(t))$ . We set  $\mathfrak{M}_0 := \{\psi \in \mathfrak{M} : 0 < \mu(t) \leq K\}$ . These classes were intensively studied in [20]–[22].

**Definition 1.3.** A function  $\psi(t)$  is said to be *quasiincreasing* (respectively, *quasidecreasing*) on  $(0, \infty)$  if there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $\psi(t_1) \leq c\psi(t_2)$  (respectively,  $\psi(t_1) \geq c\psi(t_2)$ ) for any  $t_1, t_2 \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $t_1 \leq t_2$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\varphi$  be a nondecreasing function on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and

- (i) there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\varphi(t)t^{-\beta}$  is quasiincreasing,
- ii) there exists  $\beta_1 > 0$  such that  $k > \beta_1$  and  $\varphi(t)t^{\beta_1 - k}$  is quasidecreasing.

The class of such functions is denoted by  $U(k)$ .

The properties of this class were studied, for example, in [29].

**Definition 1.5.** Suppose that  $\varphi \in U(k)$  and  $1 \leq \gamma < \infty$ . The collection  $B_{p(\cdot), \gamma}^{k, \varphi}$  of functions  $f \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$  satisfying the condition

$$\int_0^1 \Omega_k^{\gamma}(f, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^{\gamma}(1/t) t^{-1} dt < +\infty$$

is referred to as the *weighted variable exponent Besov spaces*.

The norm in  $B_{p(\cdot), \gamma}^{k, \varphi}$  can be defined by the formula

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot), \gamma}^{k, \varphi} = \|f\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} + \left\{ \int_0^1 \Omega_k^{\gamma}(f, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^{\gamma}(1/t) t^{-1} dt \right\}^{1/\gamma}. \quad (1.3)$$

We refer to [24] for more information about Besov spaces.

In this paper, we prove the following inequalities of trigonometric approximation.

**Theorem 1.6.** Suppose that  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\omega^{-p_0} \in A_{(p(\cdot)/p_0)'}$  for some  $p_0 \in (1, p_*)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $f \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ . Then for every natural number  $n$  the following estimate holds:

$$\Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \frac{c}{n^{2r}} \left\{ E_0(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k^{2r} E_k(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{k} \right\},$$

where the constant  $c > 0$  is independent of  $n$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** If  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\omega^{-p_0} \in A_{(p(\cdot)/p_0)'}$  for some  $p_0 \in (1, p_*)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}_0$ ,  $r \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ , and (1.1) is satisfied, then there exist constants  $c, C > 0$ , depending only on  $\psi$ ,  $r$ , and  $p$ , such that

$$\Omega_r\left(f_\alpha^\psi, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \frac{c}{n^{2r}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\nu^{2r} E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu \psi(\nu)} + C \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu \psi(\nu)}.$$

**Theorem 1.8.** Suppose that  $1 \leq \gamma < +\infty$ ,  $\varphi \in U(k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and  $f \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ . Then there exist constants  $c, C > 0$  such that

$$c \int_0^1 \Omega_k^\gamma(f, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E_{2^i}^\gamma(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(2^i) \leq C \int_0^1 \Omega_k^\gamma(f, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt.$$

**Theorem 1.9.** Suppose that  $1 \leq \gamma < +\infty$  and  $\varphi \in U(k)$ . The space  $B_{p(\cdot), \gamma}^{k, \varphi}$  is a Banach space with respect to the norm (1.3).

**Theorem 1.10.** Suppose that  $1 \leq \gamma < +\infty$ ,  $\varphi \in U(k)$ , and  $f \in B_{p(\cdot), \gamma}^{k, \varphi}$ . Then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|f - \mathcal{A}_h f\|_{p(\cdot), \gamma}^{k, \varphi} = 0.$$

In particular, Theorem 1.8 implies the following assertion.

**Corollary 1.11.** Suppose that  $1 \leq \gamma < +\infty$ ,  $f \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ ,  $\varphi(x) := x^\alpha$ , and  $k := 1 + [\alpha]$ . Then there exist constants  $c, C > 0$  such that

$$c \int_0^1 \Omega_{1+[\alpha]}^\gamma(f, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} t^{-\alpha\gamma-1} dt \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E_{2^i}^\gamma(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} 2^{i\alpha\gamma} \leq C \int_0^1 \Omega_{1+[\alpha]}^\gamma(f, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} t^{-\alpha\gamma-1} dt.$$

**Theorem 1.12.** Suppose that  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\omega^{-p_0} \in A_{(p(\cdot)/p_0)'}$  for some  $p_0 \in (1, p_*)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f, f_\alpha^\psi \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ , and  $\beta := \max\{2, p^*\}$ . If  $\psi(k)$ , ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is an arbitrary nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative numbers such that  $\psi(k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then for every  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$  there exists a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $n$  such that

$$\Omega_r\left(f_\alpha^\psi, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \geq \frac{c}{n^{2r}} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\nu^{2\beta r} E_\nu^\beta(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu \psi^\beta(\nu)} \right)^{1/\beta}. \quad (1.4)$$

Theorem 1.12 is a refinement of the following assertion.

**Theorem 1.13** (cf. [19]). *Let  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\omega^{-p_0} \in A_{(p(\cdot)/p_0)'}$  for some  $p_0 \in (1, p_*)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $f, f_\alpha^\psi \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ . If  $\psi(k)$ , ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is an arbitrary nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative numbers such that  $\psi(k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then for every  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  there exists a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $n$  such that*

$$E_n(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c \psi(n) \Omega_r \left( f_\alpha^\psi, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}.$$

Indeed,

$$\frac{c}{n^{2r}} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\nu^{2\beta r} E_\nu^\beta(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu \psi^\beta(\nu)} \right)^{1/\beta} \geq \frac{E_n(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\psi(n)}.$$

On the other hand, the term on the left-hand side of (1.4) is often important: it defines the order of estimation from below. For the sake of simplicity, we set  $r = 1$  and  $\psi(n) := n^{-\alpha}$ . Then for

$$E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \sim \nu^{-2-\alpha}$$

the left-hand side of (1.4) is  $\sim n^{-2} (\ln n)^{1/\beta}$  and (1.4) implies

$$\Omega_1 \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \geq \frac{c}{n^2} (\ln n)^{1/\beta}. \quad (1.5)$$

On the other hand,

$$\left( \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\alpha\beta-1} E_\nu^\beta(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \right)^{1/\beta} \sim n^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_1 \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \geq \frac{c}{n^2}.$$

Thus, the estimate (1.5) is better.

**Remark 1.14.** It was M. Timan who first noted the influence of the metric on the direct and inverse inequalities in the classical Lebesgue spaces  $L^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ).

In the particular case  $\psi(k) = k^{-\alpha} \ln^{-\beta} k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , from Theorem 1.7 we obtain the following new result for power logarithmic-fractional derivatives.

**Theorem 1.15.** *If  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\omega^{-p_0} \in A_{(p(\cdot)/p_0)'(\mathbf{T})}$  for some  $p_0 \in (1, p_*)$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu^\alpha \ln^\beta \nu E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu} < \infty,$$

then there exist constants  $c, C > 0$ , depending only on  $\alpha, \beta, r$ , and  $p$ , such that

$$\Omega_r \left( f^{(\alpha, \beta)}, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \frac{c}{n^{2r}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\nu^{2r+\alpha} \ln^\beta \nu E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu} + C \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu^\alpha \ln^\beta \nu E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu}.$$

In the particular case  $\alpha, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $\beta = 0$ , Theorem 1.15 was announced in [18].

**Theorem 1.16.** *Suppose that  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\omega^{-p_0} \in A_{(p(\cdot)/p_0)'}$  for some  $p_0 \in (1, p_*)$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $f, f^{(\alpha, \beta)} \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ , and  $\beta := \max\{2, p^*\}$ . Then for every  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  there exists a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $n$  such that*

$$\Omega_r \left( f^{(\alpha, \beta)}, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \geq \frac{c}{n^{2r}} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\nu^{2\beta r} E_\nu^\beta(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu \psi^\beta(\nu)} \right)^{1/\beta}.$$

## 2 Proof of the Main Results

We begin with the following assertion.

**Theorem 2.1** (cf. [19]). *Suppose that  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\omega^{-p_0} \in A_{(p(\cdot)/p_0)'} for some  $p_0 \in (1, p_*)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $f, f_\alpha^\psi \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ . If  $\psi(k)$ , ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is an arbitrary nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative numbers such that  $\psi(k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then for every  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$  there exists a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $n$  such that$*

$$E_n(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c \psi(n) E_n(f_\alpha^\psi)_{p(\cdot), \omega}.$$

The following Lemma was proved in the previous paper by the authors [19, Corollary 2.1], where we essentially used the idea due to Stepanets and Kushpel' [23].

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\omega^{-p_0} \in A_{(p(\cdot)/p_0)'} for some  $p_0 \in (1, p_*)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\psi(k)$ , ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is an arbitrary nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative numbers, and  $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$ , then$*

$$\|(T_n)_\alpha^\psi\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c(\psi(n))^{-1} \|T_n\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}.$$

**Theorem 2.3** (cf. [19]). *If  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\omega^{-p_0} \in A_{(p(\cdot)/p_0)'} for some  $p_0 \in (1, p_*)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}_0$ ,  $f \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ , and (1.1) is satisfied, then  $f_\alpha^\psi \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$  and$*

$$E_n(f_\alpha^\psi)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c \left( \frac{E_n(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\psi(n)} + \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu \psi(\nu)} \right),$$

where the constant  $c > 0$  depends only on  $\alpha$  and  $p$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.6.** We choose  $m$  satisfying  $2^m \leq n \leq 2^{m+1}$ . By the subadditivity of  $\Omega_r$ , we have

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \Omega_r(f - T_{2^{m+1}}, \delta)_{p(\cdot), \omega} + \Omega_r(T_{2^{m+1}}, \delta)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\Omega_r(f - T_{2^{m+1}}, \delta)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c \|f - T_{2^{m+1}}\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c E_{2^{m+1}}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}. \quad (2.2)$$

By [15, Corollary 2.5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(T_{2^{m+1}}, \delta)_{p(\cdot), \omega} &\leq c \delta^{2r} \|T_{2^{m+1}}^{(2r)}\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \\ &\leq c \delta^{2r} \left\{ \|T_1^{(2r)} - T_0^{(2r)}\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} + \sum_{i=1}^m \|T_{2^{i+1}}^{(2r)} - T_{2^i}^{(2r)}\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \right\} \\ &\leq c \delta^{2r} \left\{ E_0(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} + \sum_{i=1}^m 2^{(i+1)2r} E_{2^i}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \right\} \\ &\leq c \delta^{2r} \left\{ E_0(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} + 2^{2r} E_1(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} + \sum_{i=1}^m 2^{(i+1)2r} E_{2^i}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality

$$2^{(i+1)2r} E_{2^i}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq 2^{4r} \sum_{k=2^{i-1}+1}^{2^i} k^{2r-1} E_k(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}, \quad i \geq 1, \quad (2.3)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(T_{2^{m+1}}, \delta)_{p(\cdot), \omega} &\leq c\delta^{2r} \left\{ E_0(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} + 2^{2r} E_1(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} + 2^{4r} \sum_{k=2}^{2^m} k^{2r-1} E_k(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \right\} \\ &\leq c\delta^{2r} \left\{ E_0(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} + \sum_{k=1}^{2^m} k^{2r-1} E_k(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Since

$$E_{2^{m+1}}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \frac{2^{4r}}{n^{2r}} \sum_{k=2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} \frac{k^{2r} E_k(f)_{M, \omega}}{k},$$

we obtain the required relation from (2.1)–(2.4).  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.7.** Using Theorems 1.6 and 2.3, we find

$$\Omega_r\left(f_\alpha^\psi, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \frac{c}{n^{2r}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\nu^{2r} E_\nu(f_\alpha^\psi)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu},$$

which implies the required inequality

$$\Omega_r\left(f_\alpha^\psi, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \frac{c}{n^{2r}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\nu^{2r} E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu \psi(\nu)} + C \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu \psi(\nu)}. \quad \square$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.8.** Let

$$\int_0^1 \Omega_k^\gamma(f, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt < +\infty.$$

Using Jackson inequality [15, Theorem 1.4]

$$E_n(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c\Omega_k\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega},$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^n E_{2^i}^\gamma(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(2^i) &\leq c \sum_{i=0}^n \Omega_k^\gamma\left(f, \frac{1}{2^i}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(2^i) \leq c \int_0^n \Omega_k^\gamma\left(f, \frac{1}{2^u}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(2^u) du \\ &= \frac{c}{\ln 2} \ln 2 \int_0^n \Omega_k^\gamma\left(f, \frac{1}{2^u}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(2^u) du \leq \frac{c}{\ln 2} \int_0^1 \Omega_k^\gamma(f, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E_{2^i}^\gamma(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(2^i) \leq c \int_0^1 \Omega_k^\gamma(f, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt.$$

For the other direction, we set  $T_1 \in \mathcal{T}_1$ ,  $E_1(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} = \|f - T_1\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}$ ,  $f(x) - T_1(x) = F(x)$ , and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E_{2^i}^\gamma(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(2^i) < +\infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \Omega_k^\gamma(F, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt &= \ln 2 \int_0^\infty \Omega_k^\gamma\left(F, \frac{1}{2^u}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(2^u) du \\ &\leq c \sum_{i=0}^\infty \varphi^\gamma(2^i) \Omega_k^\gamma\left(F, \frac{1}{2^i}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$f(x) = T_1(x) + \sum_{i=1}^\infty \{T_{2^i}(x) - T_{2^{i-1}}(x)\}$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_{2^{-m}}^k F\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} &= \left\| \sigma_{2^{-m}}^k \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty \{T_{2^i}(x) - T_{2^{i-1}}(x)\} \right) \right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sigma_{2^{-m}}^k (T_{2^i}(x) - T_{2^{i-1}}(x)) \right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \sum_{s=1}^\infty \|\sigma_{2^{-m}}^k Q_s\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_s(x) := T_{2^s}(x) - T_{2^{s-1}}(x)$ . Hence, by [15, Lemma 2.6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_{2^{-m}}^k F\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} &\leq \sum_{s=1}^\infty \|\sigma_{2^{-m}}^k Q_s\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq 2^{-mk} \sum_{s=1}^\infty \|Q_s^{(k)}(x)\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \\ &= 2^{-mk} \sum_{s=1}^{m+1} \|Q_s^{(k)}(x)\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} + 2^{-mk} \sum_{s=m+2}^\infty 2^{sk} \|Q_s(x)\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \\ &\leq 2^{-mk} \sum_{s=1}^{m+1} \|Q_s^{(k)}(x)\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} + 2^{-mk} 2^{(m+2)k} \sum_{s=m+2}^\infty \|Q_s(x)\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \\ &\leq c \left\{ 2^{-mk} \sum_{s=0}^m 2^{sk} E_{2^s}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} + 2^k \sum_{s=m+1}^\infty E_{2^s}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \Omega_k^\gamma(F, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt &\leq c \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^\infty \varphi^\gamma(2^m) 2^{-m\gamma k} \left[ \sum_{s=0}^m 2^{sk} E_{2^s}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \right]^\gamma \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{m=0}^\infty \varphi^\gamma(2^m) 2^{k\gamma} \left[ \sum_{s=m+1}^\infty E_{2^s}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \right]^\gamma \right\} =: c(I_1 + I_2). \end{aligned}$$

We estimate  $I_1$ . By Definition 1.4 (ii), we have

$$I_1 = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \varphi^\gamma(2^m) 2^{-m\gamma k} \left[ \sum_{s=0}^m 2^{sk} E_{2^s}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \right]^\gamma$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \varphi^\gamma (2^m) 2^{-m\gamma k} \left[ \sum_{s=0}^m E_{2^s} (f)_{p(\cdot),\omega} 2^{sk} \frac{2^{-s(k-\alpha)}}{\varphi (2^s)} \varphi (2^s) 2^{s(k-\alpha)} \right]^\gamma \\
&\leq C \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \varphi^\gamma (2^m) 2^{-m\gamma k} \left[ \sum_{s=0}^m E_{2^s} (f)_{p(\cdot),\omega} 2^{sk} \frac{2^{-s(k-\alpha)}}{\varphi (2^m)} \varphi (2^s) 2^{m(k-\alpha)} \right]^\gamma \\
&= C \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{-m\gamma \alpha} \left[ \sum_{s=0}^m E_{2^s} (f)_{p(\cdot),\omega} 2^{\alpha s} \varphi (2^s) \right]^\gamma \\
&\leq C \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{s=0}^m E_{2^s} (f)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi (2^s) \right]^\gamma \leq \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} E_{2^s}^\gamma (f)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi^\gamma (2^s).
\end{aligned}$$

For estimating  $I_2$  we use Definition 1.4 (i):

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \varphi^\gamma (2^m) \left[ \sum_{s=m+1}^{\infty} E_{2^s} (f)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \right]^\gamma = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \varphi^\gamma (2^m) \left[ \sum_{s=m+1}^{\infty} E_{2^s} (f)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \frac{\varphi (2^s) 2^{s\beta}}{\varphi (2^s) 2^{s\beta}} \right]^\gamma \\
&\leq C \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \varphi^\gamma (2^m) \frac{2^{m\beta\gamma}}{\varphi^\gamma (2^m) 2^{(m+1)\beta\gamma}} \left[ \sum_{s=m+1}^{\infty} E_{2^s} (f)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi (2^s) \right]^\gamma \\
&\leq C \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{s=m+1}^{\infty} E_{2^s} (f)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi (2^s) \right]^\gamma \leq C \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} E_{2^s}^\gamma (f)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi^\gamma (2^s).
\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing the above estimates, we obtain the inequality

$$\int_0^1 \Omega_k^\gamma (f - T_1, t)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi^\gamma (1/t) t^{-1} dt \leq C \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} E_{2^s}^\gamma (f)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi^\gamma (2^s).$$

Hence

$$\int_0^1 \Omega_k^\gamma (f, t)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi^\gamma (1/t) t^{-1} dt \leq C \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} E_{2^s}^\gamma (f)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi^\gamma (2^s). \quad \square$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.9.** We follow the arguments of [24]. For a given  $F \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$  we denote by  $t_k(F) \in \mathcal{T}_k$  the best approximating polynomial for  $F$ . Then for arbitrary functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  in  $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$  we have

$$|E_k(\varphi) - E_k(\psi)| \leq \|\varphi - \psi\|_{p(\cdot),\omega}. \quad (2.5)$$

Indeed,

$$E_k(\psi)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \leq \|\psi - t_k(\varphi)\|_{p(\cdot),\omega} = \|\psi - \varphi + \varphi - t_k(\varphi)\|_{p(\cdot),\omega} \leq \|\psi - \varphi\|_{p(\cdot),\omega} + E_k(\varphi)_{p(\cdot),\omega}.$$

On the other hand

$$E_k(\varphi)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \leq \|\psi - \varphi\|_{p(\cdot),\omega} + E_k(\psi)_{p(\cdot),\omega}.$$

Thus we have (2.5).

Let  $\|f_m - f_n\|_{p(\cdot),\omega}^{k,\varphi} \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $N$  we have

$$\|f_m - f_n\|_{p(\cdot),\omega} + \left( \sum_{i=0}^N E_{2^i}^\gamma (f_m - f_n)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi^\gamma(2^i) \right)^{1/\gamma} < \varepsilon$$

if  $m, n > M(\varepsilon)$ , where  $M(\varepsilon)$  is an increasing integer-valued function such that  $M(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\{f_j\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space  $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ , there exists  $f \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$  such that  $\|f_m - f\|_{p(\cdot),\omega} \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . We fix  $N$  and pass to the limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Then

$$\|f - f_n\|_{p(\cdot),\omega} + \left( \sum_{i=0}^N E_{2^i}^\gamma (f - f_n)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi^\gamma(2^i) \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq \varepsilon, \quad n > M(\varepsilon).$$

Again passing to the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\|f - f_n\|_{p(\cdot),\omega} + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E_{2^i}^\gamma (f - f_n)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi^\gamma(2^i) \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq \varepsilon, \quad n > M(\varepsilon).$$

Thus, we can conclude that  $f \in B_{p(\cdot),\omega}^{k,\varphi}$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_{p(\cdot),\omega}^{k,\varphi} = 0. \quad \square$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.10.** Let  $f \in B_{p(\cdot),\omega}^{k,\varphi}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_h$  is bounded in  $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ , we have  $\mathcal{A}_h f \in L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$  and

$$\|f - \mathcal{A}_h f\|_{p(\cdot),\omega} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.6)$$

For any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \Omega_k^\gamma(\mathcal{A}_h f, t)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt \\ & \leq \int_0^\delta \Omega_k^\gamma(\mathcal{A}_h f, t)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt + \int_\delta^1 \Omega_k^\gamma(\mathcal{A}_h f, t)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt \\ & \leq \int_0^\delta \Omega_k^\gamma(\mathcal{A}_h f, t)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt + (1 - \delta) \varphi^\gamma(1/\delta) \delta^{-1} \sup_{u < h} \Omega_k^\gamma(\mathcal{A}_u f, 1)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \\ & \leq \int_0^\delta \Omega_k^\gamma(f, t)_{p(\cdot),\omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt + (1 - \delta) \varphi^\gamma(1/\delta) \delta^{-1} \sup_{u < h} \|\mathcal{A}_u f\|_{p(\cdot),\omega}^\gamma =: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f \in B_{p(\cdot),\omega}^{k,\varphi}$  we have  $I_1 < \infty$ . On the other hand, for fixed  $\delta$

$$I_2 \leq (1 - \delta) \varphi^\gamma(1/\delta) \delta^{-1} \sup_{u < h} \|f\|_{p(\cdot),\omega}^\gamma = C(\delta) \|f\|_{p(\cdot),\omega}^\gamma < \infty.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{A}_h f \in B_{p(\cdot), \gamma}^{k, \varphi}$ . Again, for any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \Omega_k^\gamma(\mathcal{A}_h f - f, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt \\ & \leq 2^\gamma \int_0^\delta \Omega_k^\gamma(f, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt + \int_\delta^1 \Omega_k^\gamma(\mathcal{A}_h f - f, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt \\ & \leq 2^\gamma \int_0^\delta \Omega_k^\gamma(f, t)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \varphi^\gamma(1/t) t^{-1} dt + (1 - \delta) \varphi^\gamma(1/\delta) \delta^{-1} \sup_{u < h} \Omega_k^\gamma(\mathcal{A}_u f - f, 1)_{p(\cdot), \omega} =: I'_1 + I'_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f \in B_{p(\cdot), \gamma}^{k, \varphi}$ , the quantity  $I'_1$  can be arbitrarily small with the choice of  $\delta$ . Then for fixed  $\delta$

$$I'_2 \leq (1 - \delta) \varphi^\gamma(1/\delta) \delta^{-1} \sup_{u < h} \|\mathcal{A}_u f - f\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}^\gamma \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, by (2.6),

$$\|f - \mathcal{A}_h f\|_{p(\cdot), \gamma}^{k, \varphi} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.12.** By [16, Theorem 1.1], we have

$$\Omega_r\left(f_\alpha^\psi, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \geq \frac{c}{n^{2r}} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\nu^{2\beta r} E_\nu^\beta(f_\alpha^\psi)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu} \right)^{1/\beta} =: L.$$

By [19, Theorem 1.1], we have

$$L \geq \frac{c}{n^{2r}} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\nu^{2\beta r} E_\nu^\beta(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}}{\nu \psi^\beta(\nu)} \right)^{1/\beta}.$$

Theorem 1.12 is proved. □

## References

1. W. Orlicz, "Über konjugierte Exponentenfolgen," *Studia Math.* **3**, 200–211 (1931).
2. H. Nakano, *Modulated Semi-Ordered Linear Spaces*, Maruzen Co., Ltd., Tokyo (1950).
3. H. Nakano, *Topology and Topological Linear Spaces*, Maruzen Co., Ltd., Tokyo (1951).
4. J. Musielak, *Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces*, Springer, Berlin (1983).
5. I. I. Sharapudinov, "Topology of the space  $L^{p(t)}([0, 1])$ ," *Math. Notes* **26**, No. 3–4, 796–806 (1979).

6. O. Kováčik and J. Ràkosník, “On spaces  $L^{p(x)}$  and  $W^{k,p(x)}$ ,” *Czech. Math. J.* **41**, No. 4, 592–618 (1991).
7. S. G. Samko, “Differentiation and integration of variable order and the spaces  $L^{p(x)}$ ,” *Contemp. Math.* **212**, 203–219 (1998).
8. X. Fan and D. Zhao, “On the spaces  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ ,” *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **263**, No. 2, 424–446 (2001).
9. L. Diening, P. Hästö, P. Harjulehto, and M. Ružička, *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*, Springer, Berlin (2011).
10. M. Ružička, *Elektroreological Fluids: Modelling and Mathematical Theory*, Springer, Berlin (2000).
11. L. Diening and M. Ružička, “Calderón–Zygmund operators on generalized Lebesgue spaces  $L^{p(\cdot)}$  and problems related to fluid dynamics,” *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **563**, 197–220 (2003).
12. S. G. Samko, “On a progress in the theory of Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent: Maximal and Singular operators,” *Integr. Transform. Spec. Funct.* **16**, No. 5–6, 461–482 (2005).
13. E. A. Gadjieva, *Investigation of the Properties of Functions with Quasimonotone Fourier Coefficients in Generalized Nikolskii–Besov Spaces* [in Russian], Ph. D. Thesis, Tbilisi (1986).
14. R. Akgün, “Trigonometric approximation of functions in generalized Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent,” *Ukrainian Math. J.* **63**, No. 1, 1–26 (2011).
15. R. Akgün, “Polynomial approximation of functions in weighted Lebesgue and Smirnov spaces with nonstandard growth,” *Georgian Math. J.* **18**, No. 2, 203–235 (2011).
16. R. Akgün and V. Kokilashvili, “The refined direct and converse inequalities of trigonometric approximation in weighted variable exponent Lebesgue spaces,” *Georgian Math. J.* **18**, No. 3, 399–423 (2011).
17. V. Kokilashvili and S. Samko, “Harmonic analysis in weighted spaces with nonstandard growth,” *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **352**, No. 1, 15–34 (2009).
18. D. M. Israfilov, V. M. Kokilashvili, and S. Samko, “Approximation in weighted Lebesgue and Smirnov spaces with variable exponent,” *Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.* **143**, 45–55 (2007).
19. R. Akgün and V. Kokilashvili, “Approximation by trigonometric polynomials of functions having  $(\alpha, \psi)$ -derivatives in weighted variable exponent Lebesgue spaces” [in Russian] *Probl. Mat. Anal.* **65**, 3–12 (2012); English transl.: *J. Math. Sci., New York* **184**, No 4, 371–382 (2012).
20. A. I. Stepanets, *Methods of Approximation Theory*, VSP, Leiden (2005)
21. A. I. Stepanets, “Inverse theorems for the approximation of periodic functions” [in Russian], *Ukrain. Mat. Zh.* **47**, No. 9, 1266–1273 (1995); English transl.: *Ukr. Math. J.* **47**, No. 9, 1441–1448 (1996).
22. A. I. Stepanets and E. I. Zhukina, “Inverse theorems for the approximation of  $(\psi, \beta)$ -differentiable functions” [in Russian], *Ukrain. Mat. Zh.* **41**, No. 8, 1106–1112, 1151 (1989); English transl.: *Ukr. Math. J.* **41**, No. 8, 953–958 (1990).
23. A. I. Stepanets and A. K. Kushpel’, *Best Approximations and Diameters of Classes of Periodic Functions* [in Russian]. Preprint No. 15, Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Inst. Mat. (1984).

24. O. V. Besov, "Investigation of a class of function spaces in connection with imbedding and extension theorems" [in Russian], *Trudy. Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **60**, 42–81 (1961).
25. D. Cruz-Uribe, L. Diening, and P. Hästö, "The maximal operator on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces," *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **14**, No. 3, 361–374 (2011).
26. V. Kokilashvili and S. Samko, "Singular integrals in weighted Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent," *Georgian Math. J.* **10**, No. 1, 145–156 (2003).
27. H. Weyl, "Bemerkungen zum Begriff der Differentialquotienten gebrochener Ordnung," *Viertel. Natur. Gessell. Zurich* **62**, 296–302 (1917).
28. D. L. Kudryavtsev, "Fourier series of functions that have a logarithmic fractional derivative" [in Russian], *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **266**, 274–276 (1982); English transl.: *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **26**, 311–313 (1982).
29. N. K. Bari and S. B. Stečkin, "Best approximations and differential properties of two conjugate functions" [in Russian], *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Ob-va* **5**, 483–522 (1956).

Submitted on July 4, 2012