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We study the periodic boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime} & =p_{1}(t) u+p_{2}(t) u^{\prime}+p_{3}(t) u^{\prime \prime}+q(t),  \tag{1}\\
u^{(i-1)}(b) & =u^{(i-1)}(a)+c_{i} \quad(i=1,2,3), \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $-\infty<a<b<+\infty$, the $c_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are real constants, and the $p_{i}:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(i=1,2,3)$ and $q:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lebesgue integrable functions.

By $\tilde{C}$ we denote the space of absolutely continuous functions $x:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and by $\tilde{C}^{1}$ we denote the space of functions $x:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ absolutely continuous together with their first derivatives. We write $x(t) \not \equiv y(t)$ if functions $x$ and $y$ differ on a set of a positive measure.

In what follows, we consider the cases in which there exists a number $\sigma \in\{-1,1\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma p_{1}(t) \geq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad a \leq t \leq b, \quad p_{1}(t) \not \equiv 0, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and one of the following four conditions is satisfied:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
p_{2} \in \tilde{C}, & p_{3} \in \tilde{C}^{1}, & \sigma\left(p_{2}(b)-p_{2}(a)\right) \geq 0, \quad p_{3}(b)=p_{3}(a), \quad \sigma\left(p_{3}^{\prime}(b)-p_{3}^{\prime}(a)\right) \leq 0, \\
p_{1} \in \tilde{C}, & p_{3} \in \tilde{C}^{1}, & p_{1}(b) \geq p_{1}(a), \quad p_{3}(b)=p_{3}(a), \quad \sigma\left(p_{3}^{\prime}(b)-p_{3}^{\prime}(a)\right) \leq 0, \\
p_{1} \in \tilde{C}^{1}, & p_{2} \in \tilde{C}, & p_{1}(b)=p_{1}(a), \quad \sigma\left(p_{1}^{\prime}(b)-p_{1}^{\prime}(a)\right) \leq 0, \quad \sigma\left(p_{2}(b)-p_{2}(a)\right) \geq 0, \\
p_{1} \in \tilde{C}^{1}, & p_{2} \in \tilde{C}, & p_{1}(b)=p_{1}(a), \quad \sigma\left(p_{1}^{\prime}(b)-p_{1}^{\prime}(a)\right) \geq 0, \quad \sigma\left(p_{2}(b)-p_{2}(a)\right) \leq 0 . \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

In these cases, we find earlier unknown (see [1-14] and the bibliography therein) and, in a sense, sharp criteria for the unique solvability of problem (1), (2).

Along with problem (1), (2), consider the corresponding homogeneous problem

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime} & =p_{1}(t) u+p_{2}(t) u^{\prime}+p_{3}(t) u^{\prime \prime},  \tag{0}\\
u^{(i-1)}(b) & =u^{(i-1)}(a) \quad(i=1,2,3) . \tag{0}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that this problem has a nontrivial solution $u$. If we consecutively multiply both sides of Eq. $\left(1_{0}\right)$ by $\sigma u(t), \sigma u^{\prime \prime}(t)$, and $-u^{\prime}(t)$ and integrate from $a$ to $b$, then, in view of (3), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| u^{2}(t) d t+\sigma \int_{a}^{b} p_{2}(t) u^{\prime}(t) u(t) d t+\sigma \int_{a}^{b} p_{3}(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t) u(t) d t=0,  \tag{5}\\
\sigma \int_{a}^{b} p_{3}(t) u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t+\sigma \int_{a}^{b} p_{2}(t) u^{\prime}(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t) d t+\int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t) d t=0, \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t+\int_{a}^{b} p_{1}(t) u(t) u^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{a}^{b} p_{2}(t) u^{\prime 2}(t) d t+\int_{a}^{b} p_{3}(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t) u^{\prime}(t) d t=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $i \in\{1,2,3\}, j \in\{1,2\}$, and $p_{i} \in \tilde{C}$, then

$$
\int_{a}^{b} p_{i}(t) u^{(j-1)}(t) u^{(j)}(t) d t=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{i}(b)-p_{i}(a)\right)\left[u^{(j-1)}(a)\right]^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} p_{i}^{\prime}(t)\left[u^{(j-1)}(t)\right]^{2} d t
$$

If $i \in\{1,3\}, p_{i} \in \tilde{C}^{1}$, and $p_{i}(b)=p_{i}(a)$, then

$$
\int_{a}^{b} p_{i}(t) u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t) d t=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{i}^{\prime}(a)-p_{i}^{\prime}(b)\right) u^{\prime 2}(a)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} p_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t) u^{2}(t) d t-\int_{a}^{b} p_{i}(t) u^{\prime 2}(t) d t
$$

Therefore, if condition $\left(4_{1}\right)$ is satisfied, then, from (5) and (6), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left(\left|p_{1}(t)\right|-\frac{\sigma}{2} p_{2}^{\prime}(t)+\frac{\sigma}{2} p_{3}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) u^{2}(t) d t & \leq \sigma \int_{a}^{b} p_{3}(t) u^{\prime 2}(t) d t  \tag{1}\\
\sigma \int_{a}^{b} p_{3}(t) u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t & \leq \frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{a}^{b} p_{2}^{\prime}(t){u^{\prime 2}}^{2}(t) d t-\int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t) d t \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

and if condition $\left(4_{2}\right)$ is satisfied, then relations (5) and (7) imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left(\left|p_{1}(t)\right|+\frac{\sigma}{2} p_{3}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) u^{2}(t) d t & \leq-\sigma \int_{a}^{b} p_{2}(t) u^{\prime}(t) u(t) d t+\sigma \int_{a}^{b} p_{3}(t) u^{\prime 2}(t) d t  \tag{2}\\
\int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} p_{1}^{\prime}(t) u^{2}(t) d t-\int_{a}^{b}\left[p_{2}(t)-\frac{1}{2} p_{3}^{\prime}(t)\right] u^{\prime 2}(t) d t \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Likewise, if condition $\left(4_{3}\right)$ is satisfied, then it follows from (5) and (6) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left(\left|p_{1}(t)\right|-\frac{\sigma}{2} p_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right) u^{2}(t) d t & \leq-\sigma \int_{a}^{b} p_{3}(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t) u(t) d t  \tag{3}\\
\sigma \int_{a}^{b} p_{3}(t) u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t & \leq \int_{a}^{b}\left(\left|p_{1}(t)\right|+\frac{\sigma}{2} p_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right) u^{\prime 2}(t) d t-\frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{a}^{b} p_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) u^{2}(t) d t \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

If condition $\left(4_{4}\right)$ holds, then from $(6)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left(\left|p_{1}(t)\right|+\frac{\sigma}{2} p_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right) u^{\prime 2}(t) d t-\frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{a}^{b} p_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) u^{2}(t) d t-\sigma \int_{a}^{b} p_{3}(t) u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t \leq 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t>0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, otherwise we would have $u(t) \equiv c_{0}=$ const $\neq 0$ by condition $\left(2_{0}\right)$ and hence $p_{1}(t) c_{0} \equiv 0$. But this contradicts condition (3).

We have thereby proved the following assertion.

Lemma 1. Let $p_{1}$ satisfy condition (3), and let problem $\left(1_{0}\right),\left(2_{0}\right)$ have a nontrivial solution $u$. If, in addition, condition $\left(4_{k}\right)$ is satisfied for some $k \in\{1,2,3\}$, then $u$ satisfies inequalities $\left(8_{k}\right)$, $\left(9_{k}\right)$, and (10). If condition $\left(4_{4}\right)$ holds, then $u$ satisfies inequalities $\left(9_{4}\right)$ and (10).

We introduce the notation

$$
d=\frac{b-a}{2 \pi}
$$

to be used throughout the following.
Theorem 1. Let conditions (3) and (41) be satisfied. In addition, suppose that either

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma\left(p_{2}^{\prime}(t)-p_{3}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \leq 2\left|p_{1}(t)\right|, \\
& \sigma p_{3}(t) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b,  \tag{11}\\
& p_{2}^{\prime}(t)-p_{3}^{\prime \prime}(t) \not \equiv 2 p_{1}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

or there exist constants $\delta \in] 0,1], \ell_{1}>0, \ell_{2} \geq 0, \ell_{3}>0$, and $\left.\left.\ell \in\right] 0, \ell_{3}\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma\left(p_{2}^{\prime}(t)-p_{3}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) & \leq 2(1-\delta)\left|p_{1}(t)\right|, \quad\left|p_{1}(t)\right|<\ell_{1} \quad \text { for } a<t<b,  \tag{12}\\
\sigma p_{2}^{\prime}(t) & \leq 2 \ell_{2}, \quad \ell \leq \sigma p_{3}(t) \leq \ell_{3} \quad \text { for } a<t<b,  \tag{13}\\
d\left(\ell_{1} \ell_{3} / \delta\right)^{1 / 2}+d^{2} \ell_{2} & \leq \ell . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Then problem (1), (2) has exactly one solution.
Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then the homogeneous problem $\left(1_{0}\right),\left(2_{0}\right)$ has a nontrivial solution $u$, which satisfies inequalities $\left(8_{1}\right),\left(9_{1}\right)$, and (10) by Lemma 1.

If, along with (3) and $\left(4_{1}\right)$, condition (11) is satisfied, then inequality $\left(8_{1}\right)$ leads to a contradiction:

$$
0<\int_{a}^{b}\left(\left|p_{1}(t)\right|-\frac{\sigma}{2} p_{2}^{\prime}(t)+\frac{\sigma}{2} p_{3}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) u^{2}(t) d t \leq 0 .
$$

Let us proceed to the case in which, along with (3) and (41), conditions (12)-(14) are satisfied. Then from $\left(8_{1}\right)$, we obtain the inequality

$$
\delta \int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| u^{2}(t) d t \leq \ell_{3} \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime 2}(t) d t
$$

This, together with the Wirtinger theorem [15, Th. 258], implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| u^{2}(t) d t \leq \frac{\ell_{3}}{\delta} d^{2} \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, along with (10) and (12)-(15), we use the Schwartz and Wirtinger inequalities, then from ( $9_{1}$ ), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t & \leq \ell_{2} \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime 2}(t) d t+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p_{1}^{2}(t) u^{2}(t) d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& <\ell_{2} \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime 2}(t) d t+\ell_{1}^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| u^{2}(t) d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left[d^{2} \ell_{2}+d\left(\frac{\ell_{1} \ell_{3}}{\delta}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t \leq \ell \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

The resulting contradiction completes the proof of the theorem.

If $p_{i}(t) \equiv p_{i}=$ const ( $i=2,3$ ), i.e., Eq. (1) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=p_{1}(t) u+p_{2} u^{\prime}+p_{3} u^{\prime \prime}+q(t), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then Theorem 1 implies the following assertion.
Corollary 1. Let condition (3) be satisfied. In addition, suppose that either $\sigma p_{3} \leq 0$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma p_{3}>0, \quad\left|p_{1}(t)\right|<d^{-2}\left|p_{3}\right| \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then problem (1 $)$, (2) has exactly one solution.
Remark 1. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(t) \equiv d^{-2} p_{3}, \quad p_{2}(t) \equiv-d^{-2}, \quad p_{3}(t) \equiv p_{3} \neq 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then conditions (3), (41), (13), and (14) are satisfied, where $\sigma=\operatorname{sgn} p_{3}, \delta=1, \ell_{1}=d^{-2}\left|p_{3}\right|, \ell_{2}=0$, and $\ell=\ell_{3}=\left|p_{3}\right|$, and, instead of (12) and (16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma\left(p_{2}^{\prime}(t)-p_{3}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) & \leq 2(1-\delta)\left|p_{1}(t)\right|, \quad\left|p_{1}(t)\right| \leq \ell_{1} \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b,  \tag{12'}\\
\sigma p_{3} & >0, \quad\left|p_{1}(t)\right| \leq d^{-2} p_{3} \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b,
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Nevertheless, the homogeneous problem $\left(1_{0}\right),\left(2_{0}\right)$ has the nontrivial solution

$$
u(t)=\sin \frac{2 \pi(t-a)}{b-a}
$$

Consequently, condition (12) [respectively, (16)] in Theorem 1 (respectively, Corollary 1) is sharp in the sense that it cannot be replaced by condition (12') [respectively, (16')].

Theorem 2. Let conditions (3) and $\left(4_{2}\right)$ be satisfied. In addition, suppose that there exist constants $\delta \in] 0,1]$ and $\ell_{i} \geq 0(i=1,2,3), \ell \geq 0$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{1}^{\prime}(t) & \leq 2 \ell_{1}\left|p_{1}(t)\right|, \quad 2 p_{2}(t)-p_{3}^{\prime}(t)>-2 \ell \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b,  \tag{18}\\
\ell_{1} p_{2}^{2}(t) & \leq \ell_{2}\left|p_{1}(t)\right|, \quad \sigma \ell_{1} p_{3}(t) \leq \ell_{3}, \quad \ell p_{3}^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq-2(1-\delta)\left|p_{1}(t)\right| \quad \text { for } a<t<b,  \tag{19}\\
\ell & +\left(\delta^{-1} \ell_{2}^{1 / 2}+\delta^{-1 / 2} \ell_{3}^{1 / 2}\right)^{2} \leq d^{-2} . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Then problem (1), (2) has exactly one solution.
Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then problem $\left(1_{0}\right),\left(2_{0}\right)$ has a nontrivial solution $u$, which satisfies inequalities $\left(8_{2}\right),\left(9_{2}\right)$, and (10) by Lemma 1.

By virtue of condition (19) and the Schwartz inequality, it follows from $\left(8_{2}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell_{1} \int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| u^{2}(t) d t & \leq \delta^{-1} \ell_{2}^{1 / 2} \ell_{1}^{1 / 2} \int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right|^{1 / 2}|u(t)|\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right| d t+\delta^{-1} \ell_{3} \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime 2}(t) d t \\
& \leq \delta^{-1} \ell_{2}^{1 / 2}\left(\ell_{1} \int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| u^{2}(t) d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime 2}(t) d t\right)^{1 / 2}+\delta^{-1} \ell_{3} \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime 2}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently,

$$
\ell_{1} \int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| u^{2}(t) d t \leq\left(\delta^{-1} \ell_{2}^{1 / 2}+\delta^{-1 / 2} \ell_{3}^{1 / 2}\right)^{2} \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime 2}(t) d t
$$

If, along with the last inequality, we use conditions (10), (18), and (20) and apply the Wirtinger theorem, then from $\left(9_{2}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t & <\ell_{1} \int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| u^{2}(t) d t+\ell \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime 2}(t) d t \\
& \leq\left[\ell+\left(\delta^{-1} \ell_{2}^{1 / 2}+\delta^{-1 / 2} \ell_{3}^{1 / 2}\right)^{2}\right] \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime 2}(t) d t \leq \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

The resulting contradiction proves the theorem.
This implies the following assertion for the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=p_{1} u+p_{2}(t) u^{\prime}+p_{3} u+q(t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}$ and $p_{3}$ are constants.
Corollary 2. If $p_{1} \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}(t)>-d^{-2} \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then problem $\left(1_{2}\right)$, (2) has exactly one solution.
Remark 2. If condition (17) is satisfied, then conditions (3), (42), (19), and (20) are valid, where $\sigma=\operatorname{sgn} p_{3}, \delta=1, \ell_{1}=\ell_{2}=\ell_{3}=0$, and $\ell=d^{-2}$, and, instead of (18) and (21), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq 2 \ell_{1}\left|p_{1}(t)\right|, \quad 2 p_{2}(t)-p_{3}^{\prime}(t) \geq-\ell \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b \\
& p_{2}(t) \geq-\ell \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. On the other hand, in this case, the homogeneous problem $\left(1_{0}\right),\left(2_{0}\right)$ has the nontrivial solution $u(t)=\sin (2 \pi(t-a) /(b-a))$. Consequently, condition (18) [respectively, (21)] in Theorem 2 [respectively, Corollary 2)] is sharp in the sense that it cannot be replaced by condition (18') [respectively, (21')].

Theorem 3. Let conditions (3) and $\left(4_{3}\right)$ be satisfied. In addition, suppose that there exist constants $\delta \in] 0,1]$ and $\ell_{i} \geq 0(i=1,2,3), \ell \geq 0$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma p_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) & \leq \ell_{1}\left|p_{1}(t)\right|, \quad\left|p_{1}(t)\right|+\frac{\sigma}{2} p_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq \ell_{2} \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b,  \tag{22}\\
\sigma p_{2}^{\prime}(t) & \geq 2(1-\delta)\left|p_{1}(t)\right|, \quad \ell_{1} p_{3}^{2}(t) \leq \ell_{3}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b,  \tag{23}\\
\sigma p_{3}(t) & >\ell \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b,  \tag{24}\\
d^{2} \ell_{2}+\delta^{-2} \ell_{3} & \leq \ell \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Then problem (1), (2) has exactly one solution.
Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then the homogeneous problem $\left(1_{0}\right),\left(2_{0}\right)$ has a nontrivial solution $u$, which satisfies inequalities $\left(8_{3}\right),\left(9_{3}\right)$, and (10) by Lemma 1.

By condition (23) and the Schwartz inequality, from $\left(8_{3}\right)$, we obtain the inequality

$$
\ell_{1}^{1 / 2} \int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| u^{2}(t) d t \leq \delta^{-1} \ell_{3}^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| u^{2}(t) d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\ell_{1} \int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| u^{2}(t) d t \leq \delta^{-2} \ell_{3} \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t
$$

If, along with this inequality, we use conditions (10), (22), (24), and (25) and apply the Wirtinger theorem, then from $\left(9_{3}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t & <\ell_{2} \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime 2}(t) d t+\ell_{1} \int_{a}^{b}\left|p_{1}(t)\right| u^{2}(t) d t \\
& \leq\left[d^{2} \ell_{2}+\delta^{-2} \ell_{3}\right] \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t \leq \ell \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime \prime 2}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

The resulting contradiction proves the theorem.
The following assertion can be proved by analogy with the preceding theorem.
Theorem 4. Let conditions (3) and ( $4_{4}$ ) be satisfied, and let

$$
\sigma p_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 0, \quad\left|p_{1}(t)\right|+\frac{\sigma}{2} p_{2}^{\prime}(t)>0, \quad \sigma p_{3}(t) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b .
$$

Then problem (1), (2) has exactly one solution.
Theorems 3 and 4 imply the following assertion for the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=p_{1} u+p_{2} u^{\prime}+p_{3}(t) u, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are constants.
Corollary 3. Let $p_{1} \neq 0$, and let either

$$
p_{1} p_{3}(t) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b,
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{3}(t) \operatorname{sgn} p_{1}>d^{2}\left|p_{1}\right| \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then problem $\left(1_{3}\right),(2)$ has exactly one solution.
Remark 3. As was mentioned above, if condition (17) is satisfied, then the homogeneous problem $\left(1_{0}\right),\left(2_{0}\right)$ has a nontrivial solution. This implies that condition (24) [respectively, condition (26)] in Theorem 3 (respectively, in Corollary 3) cannot be replaced by the condition

$$
\sigma p_{3}(t) \geq \ell \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b \quad\left(p_{3}(t) \operatorname{sgn} p_{1} \geq d^{2}\left|p_{1}\right| \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b\right) .
$$
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