



Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations

An International Journal

ISSN: 1747-6933 (Print) 1747-6941 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcov20

A boundary value problem for higher-order semilinear partial differential equations

S. Kharibegashvili & B. Midodashvili

To cite this article: S. Kharibegashvili & B. Midodashvili (2019) A boundary value problem for higher-order semilinear partial differential equations, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, 64:5, 766-776, DOI: 10.1080/17476933.2018.1508286

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2018.1508286

4	1	(1
Г			

Published online: 20 Aug 2018.



🖉 Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 13



View Crossmark data 🗹



Check for updates

A boundary value problem for higher-order semilinear partial differential equations

S. Kharibegashvili^{a,b} and B. Midodashvili^{c,d}

^aI. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute, Tbilisi, Georgia; ^bGeorgian Technical University Department of Mathematics, Tbilisi, Georgia; ^cI. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Tbilisi, Georgia; ^dGori State Teaching University Faculty of Education, Exact and Natural Sciences, Gori, Georgia

ABSTRACT

One boundary value problem for a class of higher-order semilinear partial differential equations is considered. Theorems on existence, uniqueness and nonexistence of solutions of this problem are proved. **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 21 June 2018 Accepted 27 July 2018

COMMUNICATED BY A. Soldatov

KEYWORDS

Semilinear higher-order equations; hypoelliptic operators; existence; uniqueness and nonexistence of solutions

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION 35G30

1. Statetement of the problem

In the Euclidian space \mathbb{R}^n of the variables $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ and t we consider the semilinear equation of the type

$$L_f := \frac{\partial^{4k} u}{\partial t^{4k}} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + f(u) = F, \tag{1}$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a given continuous function, $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = a_{ij}(x)$, i, j = 1, ..., n, F = F(x, t) are given, and u = u(x, t) is an unknown real functions, k is a natural number, $n \ge 2$.

For the equation (1) we consider the boundary value problem: find in the cylindrical domain $D_T := \Omega \times (0, T)$, where Ω is an open Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^n , a solution u = u(x, t) of that equation according to the boundary conditions

$$u|_{\Gamma} = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial^{i} u}{\partial t^{i}}\Big|_{\Omega_{0}\cup\Omega_{T}} = 0, \quad i = 0,\dots,2k-1,$$
(3)

CONTACT S. Kharibegashvili 🖾 kharibegashvili@yahoo.com

© 2018 Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

where $\Gamma := \partial \Omega \times (0, T)$ is the lateral face of the cylinder D_T , $\Omega_0 : x \in \Omega$, t = 0 and $\Omega_T : x \in \Omega$, t = T are upper and lower bases of this cylinder, respectively.

A numerous literature is dedicated to the research of initial and mixed problems for the high order semilinear hyperbolic equations having a structure different from (1) for example, see works [1-11] and works cited there). Note that some of the results in this direction have been discussed in the workshop materials [12].

Denote by $C^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T)$ the space of functions u continuous in \bar{D}_T , having continuous partial derivatives $\partial u/\partial x_i$, $\partial^2 u/\partial x_i \partial x_j$, $\partial^l u/\partial t^l$, i, j = 1, ..., n; l = 1, ..., 4k, in \bar{D}_T . Assume

$$C_0^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T) := \left\{ u \in C^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T) : u|_{\Gamma} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial t^i} \Big|_{\Omega_0 \cup \Omega_T} = 0, \ i = 0, \dots, 2k-1 \right\}.$$

Let $a_{ij} = a_{ij}(x) \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, i, j = 1, ..., n, and $u \in C_0^{2,4k}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T)$ be a classical solution of the problem (1)–(3). Multiplying both parts of the equation (1) by an arbitrary function $\varphi \in C_0^{2,4k}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T)$ and integrating the obtained equation by parts over the domain D_T , we obtain

$$\int_{D_T} \left[\frac{\partial^{2k} u}{\partial t^{2k}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2k} \varphi}{\partial t^{2k}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right] dx dt + \int_{D_T} f(u) \varphi \, dx dt$$
$$= \int_{D_T} F \varphi \, dx dt \quad \forall \, \varphi \in C_0^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T).$$
(4)

Below, we assume that the operator $K := \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \partial/\partial x_j (a_{ij}(x)(\partial u/\partial x_i))$ is strongly elliptic in $\overline{\Omega}$, i.e.

$$k_{0}|\xi|^{2} \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x)\xi_{i}\xi_{j} \leq k_{1}|\xi|^{2} \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, \quad \xi = (\xi_{1}, \dots, \xi_{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n},$$
(5)

where $k_0, k_1 = \text{const} > 0, |\xi|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2$. Note that (5) implies the hypoellipticity of the linear part of the operator from (1), i.e. L_0 is hyppoelliptic for each $x = x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$ [13].

Introduce the Hilbert space $W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ as a completion with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)}^2 = \int_{D_T} \left[u^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2k} \left(\frac{\partial^i u}{\partial t^i} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \right] \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \tag{6}$$

of the classical space $C_0^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T)$.

Remark 1.1: It follows from (6) that if $u \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$, then $u \in W_2^{1,0}(D_T)$ and $\frac{\partial^i u}{\partial t^i} \in L_2(D_T)$, i = 2, ..., 2k. Here $W_2^1(D_T)$ is the well-known Sobolev space [14] consisting of the elements of $L_2(D_T)$, having the first order generalized derivatives from $L_2(D_T)$, and $W_2^{1,0}(D_T) = \{u \in W_2^1(D_T) : u|_{\partial D_T} = 0\}$, where the equality $u|_{\partial D_T} = 0$ is understood in the sense of the trace theory [14].

We take the equality (4) as a basis for our definition of the weak generalized solution u of the problem (1), (2), (3).

Below, on the function f = f(u) we impose the following requirements

$$f \in C(\mathbb{R}), \quad |f(u)| \le M_1 + M_2 |u|^{\alpha}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R},$$
(7)

where $M_i = \text{const} \ge 0$, i = 1, 2, and

$$0 \le \alpha = \text{const} < \frac{n+1}{n-1}.$$
(8)

Remark 1.2: The embedding operator $I: W_2^1(\overline{D}_T) \to L_q(D_T)$ represents a linear continuous compact operator for 1 < q < 2(n+1)/(n-1), when n > 1 [14]. At the same time the Nemytsky operator $N: L_q(D_T) \to L_2(D_T)$, acting by the formula Nu = -f(u), due to (7) is continuous and bounded if $q \ge 2\alpha$ [15]. Thus, since due to (8) we have $2\alpha < 2(n+1)/(n-1)$, then there exists a number q such that 1 < q < 2(n+1)/(n-1) and $q \ge 2\alpha$. Therefore, in this case the operator

$$N_0 = NI: W_2^{10}(D_T) \to L_2(D_T)$$
(9)

will be continuous and compact. Besides, from $u \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ it follows that $f(u) \in L_2(D_T)$ and, if $u_m \to u$ in the space $W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$, then $f(u_m) \to f(u)$ in the space $L_2(D_T)$.

Definition 1.1: Let the function f satisfy the conditions (7) and (8), $F \in L_2(D_T)$. The function $u \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ is said to be a weak generalized solution of the problem (1)–(3), if for any $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ the integral equality (4) is valid.

It is not difficult to verify that if the solution of the problem (1)–(3) in the sense of Definition 1.1 belongs to the class $C_0^{2,4k}(D_T, \partial D_T)$, then it will also be a classical solution of this problem.

2. The solvability of problem (1)-(3)

In the space $C_0^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T)$, together with the scalar product

$$(u,v)_o = \int_{D_T} \left[u \cdot v + \sum_{i=1}^{2k} \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial t^i} \frac{\partial^i v}{\partial t^i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right] \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \tag{10}$$

with norm $|| \cdot ||_0 = ||u||_{W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)}$ defined by the right-hand side part of equality (6), let us introduce the following scalar product

$$(u,v)_1 = \int_{D_T} \left[\frac{\partial^{2k} u}{\partial t^{2k}} \frac{\partial^{2k} v}{\partial t^{2k}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right] \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \tag{11}$$

with norm

$$||u||_{1}^{2} = \int_{D_{T}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2k} u}{\partial t^{2k}} \right)^{2} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \right] \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t, \qquad (12)$$

where $u, v \in C_0^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T)$.

Lemma 2.1: The inequalities

$$c_1||u||_0 \le ||u||_1 \le c_2||u||_0 \quad \forall \ u \in C_0^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T)$$
(13)

hold, where the positive constants c_1 and c_2 do not depend on u.

Proof: If $u \in C_0^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T)$ then for fixed $t \in [0, T]$ the function $u(\cdot, t) \in W_2^{1,0}(\Omega)$ and due to a known inequality [14]

$$||u(\cdot,t)||_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \le c_0 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 (x,t) \,\mathrm{d}x,\tag{14}$$

whence, in view of (5), we have

$$||u(\cdot,t)||_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \le \frac{c_0}{k_0} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(x,t) \,\mathrm{d}x,\tag{15}$$

where the positive constants k_0 and $c_0 = c_0(\Omega)$ do not depend on $t \in [0, T]$ and u. Integrating inequalities (14) and (15) on $t \in [0, T]$ we obtain

$$||u||_{L_2(D_T)}^2 \le c_0 \int_{D_T} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right)^2(x,t) \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t,\tag{16}$$

$$||u||_{L_2(D_T)}^2 \le \frac{c_0}{k_0} \int_{D_T} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(x,t) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t.$$
(17)

Let us evaluate the norms $\|\partial^i u/\partial t^i\|_{L_2(D_T)}$ for i = 1, ..., 2k - 1 through $\|\partial^{2k} u/\partial t^{2k}\|_{L_2(D_T)}$. Since $u \in C_0^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T)$ satisfies equalities (3), then it is easy to see that

$$\frac{\partial^{i} u(\cdot, t)}{\partial t^{i}} = \frac{1}{(2k - i - 1)!} \int_{0}^{t} (t - \tau)^{2k - i - 1} \frac{\partial^{2k} u(\cdot, \tau)}{\partial t^{2k}} \, \mathrm{d}\tau, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2k - 1.$$
(18)

From (18), using Cauchy inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\partial^{i}u(\cdot,t)}{\partial t^{i}}\right)^{2} &\leq \frac{1}{\left((2k-i-1)!\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{2(2k-i-1)} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{\partial^{2k}u(\cdot,t)}{\partial t^{2k}}\right)^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \\ &= \frac{t^{4k-2i-1}}{\left((2k-i-1)!\right)^{2} \left(4k-2i-1\right)} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{\partial^{2k}u(\cdot,t)}{\partial t^{2k}}\right)^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq T^{4k-2i-1} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\frac{\partial^{2k}u(\cdot,\tau)}{\partial t^{2k}}\right)^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\tau, \end{split}$$

whence

$$\int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial^i u(\cdot, t)}{\partial t^i}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t \le T^{4k-2i} \int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial^{2k} u(\cdot, \tau)}{\partial t^{2k}}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}\tau, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2k-1.$$
(19)

770 😔 S. KHARIBEGASHVILI AND B. MIDODASHVILI

Integrating both parts of inequality (19) over the domain Ω we obtain

$$\left\|\frac{\partial^{i} u}{\partial t^{i}}\right\|_{L_{2}(D_{T})}^{2} \leq T^{4k-2i} \left\|\frac{\partial^{2k} u}{\partial t^{2k}}\right\|_{L_{2}(D_{T})}^{2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2k-1.$$

$$(20)$$

Due to (5) we have

$$k_0 \int_{D_T} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \le \int_{D_T} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \le k_1 \int_{D_T} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t.$$
(21)

Finally, from (6), (12), (16), (17), (20) and (21) we easily obtain (13). Lemma 2.1 is proved.

Remark 2.1: If we complete the space $C_0^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T)$ under the norm [12] due to Lemma 2.1, then in view of (10) we obtain the same Hilbert space $W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ with equivalent scalar products (10) and (11).

Consider the following condition

$$\lim_{|u| \to \infty} \inf \frac{f(u)}{u} \ge 0.$$
(22)

Lemma 2.2: Let $F \in L_2(D_T)$ and the conditions (7), (8) and (22) be fulfilled. Then for a weak generalized solution $u \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ of the problem (1)–(3) the a priori estimate

$$||u||_{0} = ||u||_{W_{0}^{1,2k}(D_{T})} \le c_{3}||F||_{L_{2}(D_{T})} + c_{4}$$
(23)

is valid with constants $c_3 > 0$ *and* $c_4 \ge 0$ *, independent of u and F.*

Proof: Since $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$, then from (22) it follows that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $M_{\varepsilon} \ge 0$ such that

$$uf(u) \ge -M_{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon u^2 \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (24)

Assuming that $\varphi = u \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ in equality (4) and taking into account (24) and (12), for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$||u||_{1}^{2} = -\int_{D_{T}} uf(u) \, dxdt + \int_{D_{T}} Fu \, dxdt \leq M_{\varepsilon} \, mesD_{T} + \varepsilon \int_{D_{T}} u^{2} \, dxdt + \int_{D_{T}} \left(\frac{1}{4\varepsilon}F^{2} + \varepsilon u^{2}\right) \, dxdt = \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}||F||_{L_{2}(D_{T})}^{2} + M_{\varepsilon} \, mesD_{T} + 2\varepsilon ||u||_{L_{2}(D_{T})}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}||F||_{L_{2}(D_{T})}^{2} + M_{\varepsilon} \, mesD_{T} + 2\varepsilon ||u||_{0}^{2}.$$

$$(25)$$

Due to (13) from (25) we have

$$c_1^2 ||u||_0^2 \le ||u||_1^2 \le \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} ||F||_{L_2(D_T)}^2 + M_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{mes} D_T + 2\varepsilon ||u||_0^2$$

whence, for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}c_1^2$ we obtain

$$||u||_0^2 \le 2c_1^{-4}||F||_{L_2(D_T)}^2 + 2c_1^{-2}M_{\varepsilon} mesD_T.$$

From the last inequality follows (23) for $c_3 = 2c_1^{-4}$ and $c_4 = 2c_1^{-2}M_{\varepsilon}$ mes D_T , where $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}c_1^2$. Lemma 2.2 is proved.

Remark 2.2: First we consider a linear problem correspondent to (1)–(3), i.e. when f = 0. In this case for $F \in L_2(D_T)$ we analogously introduce a notion of a weak generalized solution $u \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ of this problem for which it is valid the integral equality

$$(u,\varphi)_{1} = \int_{D_{T}} \left[\frac{\partial^{2k}u}{\partial t^{2k}} \frac{\partial^{2k}\varphi}{\partial t^{2k}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{j}} \right] dxdt$$
$$= \int_{D_{T}} F\varphi \, dxdt \quad \forall \varphi \in W_{0}^{1,2k}(D_{T}).$$
(26)

In view of (13) we have

$$\left| \int_{D_T} F\varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \right| \le ||F||_{L_2(D_T)} ||\varphi||_{L_2(D_T)}$$
$$||F||_{L_2(D_T)} ||\varphi||_0 \le c_1^{-1} ||F||_{L_2(D_T)} ||\varphi||_1.$$
(27)

Due to Remark 2.1, (26) and (27) from the Riess theorem it follows the existence of a unique function $u \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ which satisfies equality (26) for any $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ and for its norm is valid the estimate

$$||u||_1 \le c_1^{-1} ||F||_{L_2(D_T)}.$$
(28)

Due to (13) from (28) we obtain

$$||u||_{0} = ||u||_{W_{0}^{1,2k}(D_{T})} \le c_{1}^{-2}||F||_{L_{2}(D_{T})}.$$
(29)

Thus, introducing the notation $u = L_0^{-1}F$, we find that to the linear problem corresponding to (1)–(3), i.e. when f = 0, there corresponds the linear bounded operator

$$L_0^{-1}: L_2(D_T) \to W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$$

and for its norm the estimate

$$||L_0^{-1}||_{L_2(D_T) \to W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)} \le c_1^{-2}$$
(30)

holds by virtue of (29).

Taking into account Definition 1.1 and Remark 2.2, we can rewrite the equality (4), equivalent to the problem (1)–(3) in the form

$$u = L_0^{-1} \left[-f(u) + F \right]$$
(31)

in the Hilbert space $W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$.

Remark 2.3: Since due to (6) and Remark 1.1 the space $W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ is continuously embedded into the space $W_2^{10}(D_T)$, taking into account (9) from Remark 1.2, when the conditions (7) and (8) are fulfilled, we see that the operator

$$N_1 = NII_1 : W_0^{1,2k}(D_T) \to L_2(D_T),$$

where $I_1: W_0^{1,2k}(D_T) \to W_2^{10}(D_T)$ is the embedding operator, is likewise continuous and compact.

We rewrite the equation (31) as

$$u = Au := L_0^{-1} \left(N_1 u + F \right).$$
(32)

Then, taking into account (30) and Remark 2.3, we conclude that the operator A: $W_0^{1,2k}(D_T) \rightarrow W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ from (32) is continuous and compact. At the same time according to the a priori estimate (23) of Lemma 2.2 in which the constants $c_3 = 2c_1^{-4}$ and $c_4 = 2c_1^{-2}M_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{mes} D_T$, $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}c_1^2$ for any parameter $\tau \in [0, 1]$ and for every solution $u \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ of equation $u = \tau Au$ with the above-mentioned parameter the a priori estimate (23) is valid with the same constants $c_3 > 0$ and $c_4 \ge 0$, independent of u, F and τ . Therefore, by the Schaefer's fixed point theorem [16] equation (32) and hence the problem (1)–(3) has at least one weak generalized solution u from the space $W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$. Thus, the following theorem is valid.

Theorem 2.1: Let the conditions (7), (8) and (22) be fulfilled. Then for any $F \in L_2(D_T)$ the problem (1)–(3) has at least one weak generalized solution $u \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$.

3. Uniqueness of the solution of problem (1)-(3)

Theorem 3.1: Let f be a monotone function and satisfy the conditions (7), (8). Then for any $F \in L_2(D_T)$ the problem (1)–(3) cannot have more than one weak generalized solution in the space $W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$.

Proof: Let $F \in L_2(D_T)$, and moreover, let u_1 and u_2 be two weak generalized solutions of the problem (1)–(3) from the space $W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$, i.e. according to (4) the equalities

$$\int_{D_T} \left[\frac{\partial^{2k} u_m}{\partial t^{2k}} \frac{\partial^{2k} \varphi}{\partial t^{2k}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right] dxdt$$
$$= -\int_{D_T} f(u_m)\varphi \, dxdt + \int_{D_T} F\varphi \, dxdt \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T),$$
(33)

are valid, m = 1,2.

From (33), for the difference $v = u_2 - u_1$ we have

$$\int_{D_T} \left[\frac{\partial^{2k} v}{\partial t^{2k}} \frac{\partial^{2k} \varphi}{\partial t^{2k}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right] dxdt$$
$$= -\int_{D_T} \left(f(u_2) - f(u_1) \right) \varphi \, dxdt \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T).$$
(34)

Putting $\varphi = v \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ in the equality (34), in view of (12) we obtain

$$||v||_{1} = -\int_{D_{T}} \left(f(u_{2}) - f(u_{1}) \right) (u_{2} - u_{1}) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t.$$
(35)

Since f is a monotone function, we have

$$(f(s_2) - f(s_1))(s_2 - s_1) \ge 0 \quad \forall s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
 (36)

From (13), (35) and (36) it follows that

$$c_1||v||_0 \le ||v||_1 \le 0,$$

whence we find that v = 0, i.e. $u_2 = u_1$, and hence the proof of the Theorem 3.1 is complete.

From Theorem 2.1 and 3.1 in its turn it follows

Theorem 3.2: Let f be a monotone function and satisfy the conditions (7), (8) and (22). Then for any $F \in L_2(D_T)$ the problem (1)–(3) has a unique weak generalized solution in the space $W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$.

4. Nonexistence of a solution of problem (1)–(3)

Let for simplicity $\Omega : |x| < 1$.

Theorem 4.1: Let $F^0 \in L_2(D_T)$, $||F^0||_{L_2(D_T)} \neq 0$, $F^0 \ge 0$ and $F = \mu F^0$, $\mu = \text{const} > 0$. Then, if conditions (7), (8) are fulfilled and $f(u) \le -|u|^{\alpha} \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha > 1$, there exist a number $\mu_0 = \mu_0(F^0, \alpha) > 0$ such that for $\mu > \mu_0$ the problem (1)–(3) cannot have a weak generalized solution in the space $W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$.

Proof: Assume that the conditions of the theorem are fulfilled and the solution $u \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$ of the problem (1)–(3) exists for any fixed $\mu > 0$. Then the equality (4) takes

774 😔 S. KHARIBEGASHVILI AND B. MIDODASHVILI

the form

$$\int_{D_T} \left[\frac{\partial^{2k} u}{\partial t^{2k}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2k} \varphi}{\partial t^{2k}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right] dx dt = -\int_{D_T} f(u) \varphi \, dx dt + \mu \int_{D_T} F^0 \varphi \, dx dt \quad \forall \, \varphi \in W_0^{1,2k}(D_T).$$
(37)

By integration by parts it can be easily verified that

$$\int_{D_T} \left[\frac{\partial^{2k} u}{\partial t^{2k}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2k} \varphi}{\partial t^{2k}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right] dxdt$$
$$= \int_{D_T} u \left[\frac{\partial^{4k} \varphi}{\partial t^{4k}} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \right) \right] \varphi dxdt$$
$$= \int_{D_T} u L_0 \varphi dxdt \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T),$$
(38)

where the space $C_0^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T,\partial D_T)$ was introduced in the first section, besides

$$C_0^{2,4k}(\overline{D}_T,\partial D_T) \subset W_0^{1,2k}(D_T).$$

In view of (38) and conditions of the theorem from (37) we obtain

$$\int_{D_T} |u|^{\alpha} \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \leq \int_{D_T} u L_0 \, \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t - \mu \int_{D_T} F^0 \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T).$$
(39)

Below we use the method of test functions [17]. As a test function we take $\varphi \in C_0^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T)$ such that $\varphi|_{D_T} > 0$. If in Young's inequality with parameter $\varepsilon > 0$

$$ab \leq rac{arepsilon}{lpha}a^{lpha} + rac{1}{lpha'arepsilon^{lpha'}}; a, b \geq 0, \quad lpha' = rac{lpha}{lpha - 1}$$

we take $a = |u|\varphi^{1/\alpha}$, $b = |L_0\varphi|/\varphi^{1/\alpha}$, then taking into account that $\alpha'/\alpha = \alpha' - 1$ we have

$$|uL_0\varphi| = |u|\varphi^{1/\alpha} \frac{|L_0\varphi|}{\varphi^{1/\alpha}} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha} |u|^{\alpha}\varphi + \frac{1}{\alpha'\varepsilon^{\alpha'-1}} \frac{|L_0\varphi|^{\alpha'}}{\varphi^{\alpha'-1}}.$$
(40)

From (39), (40) we have the inequality

$$\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\right)\int_{D_T}|u|^{\alpha}\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t=\frac{1}{\alpha'\varepsilon^{\alpha'-1}}\int_{D_T}\frac{|L_0\varphi|^{\alpha'}}{\varphi^{\alpha'-1}}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t-\mu\int_{D_T}F^0\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t,$$

whence for $\varepsilon < \alpha$ we get

$$\int_{D_T} |u|^{\alpha} \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \leq \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha - \varepsilon)\alpha' \varepsilon^{\alpha' - 1}} \int_{D_T} \frac{|L_0 \varphi|^{\alpha'}}{\varphi^{\alpha' - 1}} \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t - \frac{\alpha \mu}{\alpha - \varepsilon} \int_{D_T} F^0 \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t. \tag{41}$$

Taking into account the equalities $\alpha' = \alpha/(\alpha - 1)$, $\alpha = \alpha'/(\alpha' - 1)$ and $\min_{0 < \varepsilon < \alpha} \alpha/((\alpha - \varepsilon)\alpha'\varepsilon^{\alpha'-1}) = 1$ which is achieved at $\varepsilon = 1$, from (41) we find that

$$\int_{D_T} |u|^{\alpha} \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \le \int_{D_T} \frac{|L_0 \varphi|^{\alpha'}}{\varphi^{\alpha' - 1}} \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t - \alpha' \mu \int_{D_T} F^0 \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t. \tag{42}$$

Note that it is not difficult to show the existence of a test function φ such that

$$\varphi \in C_0^{2,4k}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T), \varphi|_{D_T} > 0, \kappa_0 = \int_{D_T} \frac{|L_0 \varphi|^{\alpha'}}{\varphi^{\alpha'-1}} \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t < +\infty.$$
(43)

Indeed, as it can be easily verified, the function

$$\varphi(x,t) = [(1-|x|^2)t(T-t)]^m$$

for a sufficiently large positive m satisfies conditions (43).

Since by the condition of the theorem $F^0 \in L_2(D_T)$, $||F^0||_{L_2(D_T)} \neq 0$, $F^0 \ge 0$, and $mes D_T < +\infty$, due to the fact that $\varphi|_{D_T} > 0$ we have

$$0 < \kappa_1 = \int_{D_T} F^0 \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t < +\infty.$$
(44)

Denote by $g(\mu)$ the right-hand side of the inequality (42) which is a linear function with respect to μ . From (43) and (44) we have

$$g(\mu) < 0 \text{ for } \mu > \mu_0 \text{ and } g(\mu) > 0 \text{ for } \mu < \mu_0,$$
 (45)

where

$$g(\mu) = \kappa_0 - \alpha' \mu \kappa_1, \quad \mu_0 = \frac{\kappa_0}{\alpha' \kappa_1} > 0.$$

Owing to (45) for $\mu > \mu_0$, the right-hand side of the inequality (42) is negative, whereas the left-hand side of that inequality is nonnegative. The obtained contradiction proves the theorem.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

- [1] Chen G, Wang S. Existence and non-existence of global solutions for nonlinear hyperbolic equations of higher order. Comment Math Univ Carolin. 1995;36(3):475–487.
- [2] Chen X. Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for nonlinear evolution equation of fourth order. Appl Math J Chinese Univ Ser B. 2001;16(3):251–258.
- [3] Aliev AB, Lichaei BH. Existence and nonexistence of global solutions of the Cauchy problem for higher order semilinear pseudohyperbolic equations. Nonlinear Anal. 2010;72(7-8): 3275-3288.
- [4] Wang YZ, Wang YX. Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for a class of nonlinear wave equations of higher order. Nonlinear Anal. 2010;72(12):4500–4507.
- [5] Galactionov VA, Mitidieri EL, Pohozaev SI. Blow-up for higher order parabolic, hyperbolic, dispersion and Schrodinger equations; 2014. (Chapman and Hall/CRC monographs and research notes in mathematics).

- [6] Ma T, Gu J, Li L. Asymptotic behaviour of solutions to a class of fourth-order nonlinear evolution equations with dispersive and dissipative terms. J Inequal Appl. 2016;2016(1):318. 1–7.
- [7] Kiguradze T, Ben-Rabha R. On strong well-posedness of initial-boundary value problems for higher order nonlinear hyperbolic equations with two independent variables. Georgian Math J. 2017;24(3):409–428.
- [8] Lin G, Gao Y, Sun Y. On local existence and blow-up solutions for nonlinear wave equations of higher – order Kirchhoff type with strong dissipation. Int J Mod Nonlinear Theory Appl. 2017;6(1):11–25.
- [9] Kharibegashvili S, Midodashvili B. Solvability of characteristic boundary value problems for nonlinear equations with iterated wave operator in the principal part. Electron J Differ Equ. 2008;2008(72):12 pp.
- [10] Kharibegashvili S, Midodashvili B. On one boundary value problem for a nonlinear equation with iterated wave operator in the principal part. Georgian Math J. 2008;15(3):541–554.
- [11] Kharibegashvili S. Boundary value problems for some classes of nonlinear wave equations. Mem Differ Equ Math Phys. 2009;46:1–114.
- [12] Kharibegashvili S. The boundary value problem for one class of semilinear partial differential equations. Proceedings of the International Workshop (QUALITDE); 2017 Dec 24–26; Tbilisi, Georgia; 2017. p. 81–82.
- [13] Hormander L. The analysis of linear partial differential operators II: differential operators with constant coefficients. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1983.
- [14] Ladyzhenskaya OA. The boundary value problems of mathematical physics. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1985.
- [15] Kufner A, Fucik S. Nonlinear differential equations. Amsterdam New York: Elsevier; 1980.
- [16] Evans LC. Partial differential equations. Providence (RI): Amer. Math. Soc.; 1998. (Grad. Stud. Math.; vol. 19).
- [17] Mitidieri E, Pohozhaev SI. A priori estimates and the absence of solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities. TrMat Inst Steklova [Proc Steklov Inst Math]. 2001;234:1–384. 2001;234(3):1–362.