On the solvability of one boundary value problem for a class of higher-order nonlinear partial differential equations

S. Kharibegashvili and B. Midodashvili

Abstract. The boundary value problem for a class of higher-order nonlinear partial differential equations is considered. The theorems on existence, uniqueness and nonexistence of solutions of this problem are proved.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 35G30; Secondary 00A00.

Keywords. nonlinear higher-order equations, Schaefer's fixed point theorem, existence, uniqueness and nonexistence of solutions.

1. Statement of the problem

In the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} of the variables $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ and t we consider the nonlinear equation of the type

$$L_{f}u := \frac{\partial^{2(2k+1)}u}{\partial t^{2(2k+1)}} - \Delta^{2}u + f(u, \nabla u) = F(x, t),$$
(1.1)

where f and F are given, and u is an unknown real functions,

 $\nabla := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, ..., \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \, \Delta := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}, \, n \ge 2, \, \text{and} \, k \ge 0 \text{ is an integer number.}$

For the equation (1.1) we consider the boundary value problem: find in the cylindrical domain $D_T := \Omega \times (0, T)$, where Ω is a Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^n , a solution u(x, t) of that equation according to the boundary conditions

$$\left. \frac{\partial^{i} u}{\partial t^{i}} \right|_{\Omega_{0} \cup \Omega_{T}} = 0, \ i = 0, ..., 2k,$$
(1.2)

$$u|_{\Gamma_T} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\Big|_{\Gamma_T} = 0,$$
 (1.3)

where $\Gamma_T : \partial\Omega \times (0,T)$ is the lateral face of the cylinder $D_T, \Omega_0 : x \in \Omega, t = 0$ and $\Omega_T : x \in \Omega, t = T$ are the lower and upper bases of this cylinder, respectively, while $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is a derivative with respect to the outer normal to the boundary ∂D_T of the domain D_T . For $T = \infty$ we have $D_{\infty} = \Omega \times (0, \infty), \Gamma_{\infty} = \partial \Omega \times (0, \infty)$.

Below, for function $f = f(s_0, s_1, ..., s_{n+1}), (s_0, s_1, ..., s_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ we assume that

$$f \in C(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}) \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$|f(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n+1})| \le M + \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} M_i |s_i|^{\alpha_i} \quad \forall s = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2},$$
(1.5)

where $M, M_i, \alpha_i = const > 0, \ i = 0, 1, ..., n + 1.$

To research of initial and mixed problems for the high order semilinear hyperbolic equations having a structure different from (1.1) is dedicated numerous literature (for example, see works [1], [3], [5], [8-10], [13-14], [18-19] and literature cited there). Some of the results in this direction have been discussed in workshop materials [6, 7].

Note that the left side part of the operator L_f from (1.1), i.e. L_0 is a hyppoelliptic operator [4].

Denote by $C^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T)$ the space of functions u continuous in \overline{D}_T and having there continuous partial derivatives $\partial_x^{\beta} u$, $\frac{\partial^l u}{\partial t^l}$, where $\partial_x^{\beta} = \frac{\partial^{|\beta|}}{\partial x_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\beta_n}}$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n), \ |\beta| = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \le 4; \ l = 1, \dots, 4k+2.$ Let

$$\begin{split} C_0^{4,4k+2}(\bar{D}_T,\partial D_T) &:= \left\{ u \in C^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T) : \left. u \right|_{\Gamma_T} = \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|_{\Gamma_T} = 0, \\ \left. \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial t^i} \right|_{\Omega_0 \cup \Omega_T} = 0, \, i = 0, ..., 2k \right\}. \end{split}$$

Let $u \in C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T)$ be a classical solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3). Multiplying both parts of the equation (1.1) by an arbitrary function $\varphi \in C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T)$ and integrating the obtained equation by parts over the domain D_T , we obtain

$$-\int_{D_T} \left[\frac{\partial^{2k+1} u}{\partial t^{2k+1}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2k+1} \varphi}{\partial t^{2k+1}} + \Delta u \cdot \Delta \varphi \right] dx dt + \int_{D_T} f(u, \nabla u) \varphi dx dt =$$
$$= \int_{D_T} F \varphi dx dt \ \forall \varphi \in C_0^{4,4k+2}(\bar{D}_T, \partial D_T). \tag{1.6}$$

We take the equality (1.6) as a basis for our definition of the weak generalized solution u of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3).

Introduce the Hilbert space $W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ as a completion of the classical space $C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T,\partial D_T)$ with respect to the norm

$$||u||_{W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)}^2 =$$

$$= \int_{D_T} \left[u^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \left(\frac{\partial^i u}{\partial t^i} \right)^2 \right] dx dt (1.7)$$

of the classical space $C_0^{4,4k+2}(\bar{D}_T,\partial D_T)$.

Remark 1.1. It follows from (1.7) that if $u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$, then $u \in W_2^{1}(D_T)$ and $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \frac{\partial^l u}{\partial t^l} \in L_2(D_T)$; i, j = 1, ..., n; l = 1, ..., 2k + 1. Here $W_2^m(D_T)$ is the well-known Sobolev space consisting of the elements of $L_2(D_T)$, having up to the *m*-th order generalized derivatives from $L_2(D_T)$, and

 $W_2^1(D_T) = \{ u \in W_2^1(D_T) : u|_{\partial D_T} = 0 \}$, where the equality $u|_{\partial D_T} = 0$ is understood in the sense of the trace theory [12]. Moreover, in the case when the domain Ω is convex, implying that D_T is also convex, since the following estimate is valid [12]

$$\int_{D_T} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial t} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \right)^2 \right] dx dt \le c \int_{D_T} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \right]^2 dx dt \forall u \in \overset{o}{C^2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T) := \{ u \in C^2(\overline{D}_T) : u |_{\partial D_T} = 0 \}$$
(1.8)

with positive constant c, not dependent on u and domain D_T , then from (1.7) we obtain continuous embedment of the spaces

$$W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T) \subset W_2^2(D_T).$$
 (1.9)

Below, we assume that domain Ω is convex.

Remark 1.2. As it is known the space $W_2^2(D_T)$ is continuously and compactly embedded into $L_p(D_T)$ for $p < \frac{2(n+1)}{n-3}$ when n > 3 and for any $p \ge 1$ when n = 2,3; analogously, the space $W_2^1(D_T)$ is continuously and compactly embedded into $L_q(D_T)$ for $q < \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}$ [14]. Therefore, taking into account continuous embeddment of spaces (1.9), the inequality (1.5) and due to the properties of the Nemitskii operators N_i , i = 0, 1, ..., n + 1, acting by formulas $N_i v = |v|^{\alpha_i}$ [11], we obtain that the nonlinear operator

$$N: W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T) \to L_2(D_T)$$
(1.10)

acting by formula

$$Nu = f(u, \nabla u) \tag{1.11}$$

is continuous and compact if the powers of nonlinearity α_i in the right side part of inequality (1.5) satisfy the following inequalities:

$$1 < \alpha_0 < \frac{n+1}{n-3}$$
 for $n > 3$; $\alpha_0 > 1$ for $n = 2, 3$; (1.12)

$$1 < \alpha_i < \frac{n+1}{n-1}, \ i = 1, \dots, n+1, \ n \ge 2.$$
(1.13)

Besides, from the remarks made above it follows that if $u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ then $f(u, \nabla u) \in L_2(D_T)$ and for $u_m \to u$ in the space $W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ we have $f(u_m, \nabla u_m) \to f(u, \nabla u)$ in the space $L_2(D_T)$.

Definition 1.1. Let function f satisfy the conditions (1.4), (1.5), (1.12) and (1.13); $F \in L_2(D_T)$. The function $u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ is said to be a weak generalized solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), if for any $\varphi \in$ $W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ the integral equality (1.6) is valid.

2. Reduction of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) to the nonlinear functional equation

In the space $C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T)$, together with scalar product

$$(u,v)_{0} = \int_{D_{T}} \left[u \cdot v + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} + \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \frac{\partial^{i} u}{\partial t^{i}} \frac{\partial^{i} v}{\partial t^{i}} \right] dxdt$$

$$(2.1)$$

with the norm $||u||_0 = ||u||_{W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)}$, defined by the right side part of the equality (1.7), let us introduce the following scalar product

$$(u,v)_1 = \int_{D_T} \left[\frac{\partial^{2k+1} u}{\partial t^{2k+1}} \frac{\partial^{2k+1} v}{\partial t^{2k+1}} + \Delta u \cdot \Delta v \right] dxdt$$
(2.2)

with the norm

$$||u||_{1}^{2} = \int_{D_{T}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2k+1} u}{\partial t^{2k+1}} \right)^{2} + (\Delta u)^{2} \right] dx dt, \qquad (2.3)$$

where $u, v \in C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T)$.

Lemma 2.1. The inequalities

$$c_1||u||_0 \le ||u||_1 \le c_2||u||_0 \ \forall u \in C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T)$$
(2.4)

hold, where the positive constants c_1 and c_2 do not depend on u.

Proof. If $u \in C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T)$ then all the more the function $u(\cdot, t) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}), u(\cdot, t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ for fixed $t \in [0, T]$ and according to the known inequality [12]

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[u^2(\cdot, t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial u(\cdot, t)}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \right] dx \le c_0 \int_{\Omega} \left(\Delta u(\cdot, t) \right)^2 dx, \quad (2.5)$$

where the positive constant $c_0 = c_0(\Omega)$ does not depend on $t \in [0, T]$. Integrating the inequality (2.5) with respect to $t \in [0, T]$ we obtain

$$\int_{D_T} \left[u^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \right] dx dt \le$$

One boundary value problem for a higher-order nonlinear equation 5

$$c_0 \int_{D_T} (\Delta u)^2 dx dt \ \forall u \in C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T).$$
(2.6)

Now let us estimate the values

$$\int_{D_T} \left(\frac{\partial^i u}{\partial t^i}\right)^2 dx dt, \ i = 1, ..., 2k,$$

by the value

$$\int_{D_T} \left(\frac{\partial^{2k+1}u}{\partial t^{2k+1}}\right)^2 dx dt.$$

Since $u \in C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T)$ satisfies the equalities (1.2), then

$$\frac{\partial^{i} u(\cdot,t)}{\partial t^{i}} = \frac{1}{(2k-i)!} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{2k-i} \frac{\partial^{2k+1} u(\cdot,\tau)}{\partial t^{2k+1}} d\tau, \quad i = 1, ..., 2k.$$
(2.7)

Using the Cauchy's inequality from (2.7) we have

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\partial^{i}u(\cdot,t)}{\partial t^{i}}\right)^{2} &\leq \frac{1}{((2k-i)!)^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{2(2k-i)} d\tau \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{\partial^{2k+1}u(\cdot,\tau)}{\partial t^{2k+1}}\right)^{2} d\tau = \\ &= \frac{t^{4k-2i+1}}{((2k-i)!)^{2}(4k-2i+1)} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{\partial^{2k+1}u(\cdot,\tau)}{\partial t^{2k+1}}\right)^{2} d\tau \leq \\ &\leq T^{4k-2i+1} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\frac{\partial^{2k+1}u(\cdot,\tau)}{\partial t^{2k+1}}\right)^{2} d\tau, \end{split}$$

whence we obtain

$$\int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial^i u(\cdot,t)}{\partial t^i}\right)^2 dt \le T^{4k-2i+2} \int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial^{2k+1} u(\cdot,\tau)}{\partial t^{2k+1}}\right)^2 d\tau, \quad i = 1, ..., 2k.$$
(2.8)

Integrating both parts of the inequality (2.8) over domain Ω we get

$$\int_{D_T} \left(\frac{\partial^i u}{\partial t^i}\right)^2 dx dt \le T^{4k-2i+2} \int_{D_T} \left(\frac{\partial^{2k+1} u}{\partial t^{2k+1}}\right)^2 dx dt, \quad i = 1, ..., 2k.$$
(2.9)

Finally, since the domain Ω is convex and thereafter the inequality (1.8) is valid, then from (1.7), (2.3), (2.6) and (2.9) it is clear that (2.4) is valid. The Lemma 2.1 is proved.

Remark 2.1. In view of the Lemma 2.1 by completion of the space $C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T)$ by the norm (2.3) we obtain the same Hilbert space $W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ with equivalent scalar products.

Remark 2.2. First let us consider the linear problem corresponded to (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), i.e. when f = 0. In this case for $F \in L_2(D_T)$ we introduce analogously a notion of a weak generalized solution $u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ of this problem for which due to (1.6), (2.2) it is valid the following integral equality

$$(u,\varphi)_1 = \int_{D_T} \left[\frac{\partial^{2k+1}u}{\partial t^{2k+1}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2k+1}\varphi}{\partial t^{2k+1}} + \Delta u \cdot \Delta \varphi \right] dxdt = -\int_{D_T} F\varphi dxdt \quad (2.10)$$
$$\forall \varphi \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T).$$

Taking into account (2.6) we have

$$\left| \int_{D_T} F\varphi dx dt \right| \le ||F||_{L_2(D_T)} ||\varphi||_{L_2(D_T)} \le \\ \le ||F||_{L_2(D_T)} ||\varphi||_0 \le c^{\frac{1}{2}} ||F||_{L_2(D_T)} ||\varphi||_1.$$
(2.11)

According to the Remark 2.1, (2.10) and (2.11) from the Riesz theorem it follows that there exists a unique function $u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ which satisfies the equality (2.10) for any $\varphi \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ and for its norm the following estimate

$$||u||_{1} \le c_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||F||_{L_{2}(D_{T})}$$
(2.12)

is valid. Thus, introducing the notation $u = L_0^{-1}F$, we find that to the linear problem corresponding to (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), i.e. for f = 0, there corresponds the linear bounded operator

$$L_0^{-1}: L_2(D_T) \to W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$$

and for its norm the estimate

$$\left\|L_0^{-1}\right\|_{L_2(D_T)\to W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)} \le c_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2.13)

holds by virtue of (2.12).

Remark 2.3. Taking into account Definition 1.1 and Remark 2.2, we can rewrite the equality (1.6), equivalent to the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) in the form

$$u = L_0^{-1} \left[-f(u, \nabla u) + F \right]$$
(2.14)

in the Hilbert space $W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$. Due to (1.11) equation (2.14) can be rewritten in the form

$$u = Au := L_0^{-1}(-Nu + F), (2.15)$$

where in view of (2.13) and the Remark 1.2, if nonlinear function $f = f(u, \nabla u)$ satisfies conditions (1.5), (1.12) and (1.13), then the operator $A : W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T) \to W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ from (2.15) will be continuous and compact [11].

Below we use well-known multiplicative inequality [12]

$$||v||_{p,G} \leq \beta ||v_x||_{m,G}^{\tilde{\alpha}} ||v||_{r,G}^{1-\tilde{\alpha}} \quad \forall v \in W_2^1(G), \ G \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1},$$

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{\tilde{m}}\right)^{-1}, \ \tilde{m} = \frac{(n+1)m}{n+1-m},$$
(2.16)

whence taking into account [17]

$$\int_{G} |v| dG \le (\operatorname{mes} G)^{1 - \frac{1}{p}} ||v||_{p,G}, \ p \ge 1,$$

for $G = D_T \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, r = 1, m = 2 and $1 , where the constant <math>\beta = const > 0$ does not depend on domain G and v, we have [6]

$$||v||_{L_p(D_T)} \le \beta_0(\operatorname{mes} D_T)^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}} ||v||_{W_2^1(D_T)}^0 \quad \forall v \in W_2^1(D_T), \qquad (2.17)$$

where $\beta_0 = const > 0$ does not depend on v and T.

Since $mesD_T = Tmes\Omega$ then from (2.17) we have

$$||v||_{L_p(D_T)} \le \beta_1 T^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}} ||v||_{W_2^1(D_T)}^0 \quad \forall v \in W_2^1(D_T),$$
(2.18)

where $\beta_1 = \beta_0(\text{mes}\Omega)^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}}$, besides, it is easy to see that the condition $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} > 0$ is equivalent to the condition $p < \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}$. Further, due to (1.7), (1.9), (2.4), if $u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$, then according

Further, due to (1.7), (1.9), (2.4), if $u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$, then according to the well-known results on existence of traces on the domain boundary for the functions from the Sobolev spaces $W_2^k(D_T)$, $k \ge 1$, we have [12]

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in W_2^0(D_T), \quad i = 1, ..., n, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T).$$

Thus, if the inequalities (1.13) are fulfilled, in view of (1.7) and (2.18) we have

$$\left[\int_{D_T} |u_{x_i}|^{2\alpha_i} dx dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = ||u_{x_i}||_{L_{2\alpha_i}(D_T)}^{\alpha_i} \leq \beta_1^{\alpha_i} T^{\alpha_i (\frac{1}{2\alpha_i} + \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2})} ||u_{x_i}||_{0}^{\alpha_i} \leq \beta_1^{\alpha_i} T^{\alpha_i (\frac{1}{2\alpha_i} + \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2})} ||u||_{W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)}^{\alpha_i} = \beta_1^{\alpha_i} T^{\alpha_i (\frac{1}{2\alpha_i} + \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2})} ||u||_{0}^{\alpha_i}, \quad (2.19)$$
$$i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T),$$

analogously,

$$\left[\int_{D_T} |u_t|^{2\alpha_{n+1}} dx dt\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \beta_1^{\alpha_{n+1}} T^{\alpha_{n+1}(\frac{1}{2\alpha_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2})} ||u||_0^{\alpha_{n+1}} \qquad (2.20)$$
$$\forall u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T).$$

Note that due to (1.13) in the inequalities (2.19) and (2.20) we have

$$\gamma_i = \alpha_i \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha_i} + \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) > 0, \ i = 1, \dots, n+1.$$
(2.21)

At fulfillment of the condition (1.12) due to (2.18) and the well-known inequality [17]

$$||v||_{L_{\alpha}(G)} \le (\operatorname{mes} G)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{p}} ||v||_{L_{p}(G)}, \ 0 \le \alpha \le p,$$

we have

$$\left[\int_{D_T} |u|^{2\alpha_0} dx dt\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \beta_0^{\alpha_0} T^{\gamma_0} ||u||_0^{\alpha_0} \ \forall u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T),$$
(2.22)

with positive constants β_0 and γ_0 which do not depend on u and T.

Below we need the following refinement of the first inequality from (2.4). Due to (1.7), (1.8), (2.3), (2.6) and (2.9) we have

$$||u||_0^2 \le \int_{D_T} \left[c_0 (\Delta u)^2 + 2c \left((\Delta u)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \right)^2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \left(\frac{\partial^i u}{\partial t^i} \right)^2 \right] dx dt \le C_0 \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^i} \right)^2 = C_0 \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^i}$$

S. Kharibegashvili and B. Midodashvili

$$\leq \int_{D_T} \left[(c_0 + 2c)(\Delta u)^2 + 2cT^{4k-2} \left(\frac{\partial^{2k+1} u}{\partial t^{2k+1}} \right)^2 + \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} T^{4k-2i+2} \right\} \left(\frac{\partial^{2k+1} u}{\partial t^{2k+1}} \right)^2 \right] dxdt \leq \\ \leq \lambda^2(T) \int_{D_T} \left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2k+1} u}{\partial t^{2k+1}} \right)^2 + (\Delta u)^2 \right] dxdt = \\ = \lambda^2(T) ||u||_1^2 \ \forall u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T),$$
(2.23)

where

$$\lambda(T) = \begin{cases} (c_0 + 4c + 2k + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & T \le 1, \\ (c_0 + 4c + 2k + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{2k}, & T > 1. \end{cases}$$
(2.24)

Now, taking into account (1.5), (2.13), (2.19) - (2.24) we estimate $||Au||_{W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)} = ||Au||_1$ from (2.15)

$$\begin{split} ||Au||_{W_{0}^{2,2k+1}(D_{T})} &\leq ||L_{0}^{-1}||_{L_{2}(D_{T}) \to W_{0}^{2,2k+1}(D_{T})}|| - Nu + F||_{L_{2}(D_{T})} \leq \\ &\leq c_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}||Nu||_{L_{2}(D_{T})} + c_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}||F||_{L_{2}(D_{T})} \leq c_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\int_{D_{T}} \left(M + M_{0}|u|^{\alpha_{0}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}|u_{x_{i}}|^{\alpha_{i}} + \\ &+ M_{n+1}|u_{i}|^{\alpha_{n+1}}\right)^{2} dx dt\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}||F||_{L_{2}(D_{T})} \leq c_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\int_{D_{T}} (n+3)\left(M^{2} + M_{0}^{2}|u|^{2\alpha_{0}} + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{2}|u_{x_{i}}|^{2\alpha_{i}} + M_{n+1}^{2}|u_{i}|^{2\alpha_{n+1}}\right) dx dt\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}||F||_{L_{2}(D_{T})} \leq \\ &\leq (c_{0}(n+3))^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\left(\int_{D_{T}} M^{2} dx dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{D_{T}} M_{0}^{2}|u|^{2\alpha_{0}} dx dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{D_{T}} M_{i}^{2}|u_{x_{i}}|^{2\alpha_{i}} dx dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{D_{T}} M_{n+1}^{2}|u_{i}|^{2\alpha_{n+1}} dx dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] + c_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}||F||_{L_{2}(D_{T})} \leq \\ &\leq (c_{0}(n+3))^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\left(M^{2} \mathrm{mes} D_{T}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + M_{0}\beta_{0}^{\alpha_{0}}T^{\gamma_{0}}||u||_{0}^{\alpha_{0}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} M_{i}\beta_{1}^{\alpha_{i}}T^{\gamma_{i}}||u||_{0}^{\alpha_{i}}\right] + \\ &+ c_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}||F||_{L_{2}(D_{T})} \leq (c_{0}(n+3))^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} M_{i}\beta_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}T^{\gamma_{i}}\lambda^{\alpha_{i}}(T)||u||_{1}^{\alpha_{i}} + \\ &+ (c_{0}(n+3))^{\frac{1}{2}}(M^{2} \mathrm{mes} \Omega)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}||F||_{L_{2}(D_{T})} = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} a_{i}(T)||u||_{1}^{\alpha_{i}} + b(T) \quad \forall u \in W_{0}^{2,2k+1}(D_{T}). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$a_i(T) = (c_0(n+3))^{\frac{1}{2}} M_i \beta_i^{\alpha_i} T^{\gamma_i} \lambda^{\alpha_i}(T), \ i = 0, ..., n+1,$$
(2.26)

$$b(T) = (c_0(n+3))^{\frac{1}{2}} (M^2 \text{mes}\Omega)^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_0^{\frac{1}{2}} ||F||_{L_2(D_T)}, \qquad (2.27)$$

besides, in derivation of the estimate (2.25) we used the following obvious inequalities

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i\right)^2 \le m \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i^2, \ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} |k_i|.$$

Let us simplify the right hand part of the estimate (2.25). Since $\alpha_i > 1$, i = 0, ..., n + 1, then for $||u_1|| \le 1$ we have $||u||_1^{\alpha_i} \le 1$, and for $||u||_1 > 1$ we have $||u||_1^{\alpha_i} \le ||u||_1^{\alpha}$, where

$$\alpha = \max_{0 \le i \le n+1} \alpha_i > 1. \tag{2.28}$$

Therefore, from (2.25) we obtain

$$||Au||_{W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)} \le a(T)||u||_1^{\alpha} + b_1(T) \ \forall u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T),$$
(2.29)

where

$$a(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} a_i(T), \ b_1(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} a_i(T) + b(T),$$
(2.30)

where $a_i(T)$, i = 0, ..., n + 1, and b(T) are defined by the equalities (2.26) and (2.27).

3. The cases of existence and absence of solutions of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3)

In this section, assuming that the function F is defined in the domain D_∞ and

$$F|_{D_T} \in L_2(D_T) \ \forall T > 0,$$

under the assumptions regarding the nonlinear function f we prove the existence of positive number T_0 such that for $0 < T < T_0$ the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) has at least one generalized solution $u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ in the sense of Definition 1.1, while for sufficiently large T this problem may not have a solution in the domain D_T . Generally speaking, the number T_0 depends on F.

According to the Remark 2.3 the solvability of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) is equivalent to the solvability of functional equation (2.15) in the Hilbert space $W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$, in which operator A, acting by formula (2.15), is continuous and compact. For clarification of the question of solvability of functional equation (2.15) consider the following algebraic equation

$$az^{\alpha} + b_1 = z \tag{3.1}$$

with respect to unknown z > 0, where a = a(T) and $b_1 = b_1(T)$, defined by equality (2.30) take part in the estimate (2.29) for the value $||Au||_{W^{2,2k+1}_{o}(D_T)}$.

For T > 0 due to (2.26), (2.27) and (2.30) it is clear that a > 0 and $b_1 \ge 0$. Simple analysis, analogous to that given in [17], for $\alpha = 3$ shows that:

1) for $b_1 = 0$ equation (3.1) together with root $z_1 = 0$ has only one positive root $z_2 = a^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}$;

2) if $b_1 > 0$, then for $0 < b_1 < b_0$, where

$$b_0 = \left[\alpha^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} - \alpha^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\right] a^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-1}},\tag{3.2}$$

equation (3.1) has two positive roots z_1 and z_2 , $0 < z_1 < z_2$. For $b = b_0$ these roots coincide and we have only one positive root

$$z_1 = z_2 = z_0 = (\alpha a)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}};$$

3) for $b_1 > b_0$ equation (3.1) does not have nonnegative roots. Note that in the case $0 < b_1 < b_0$ there hold the following inequalities

$$z_1 < z_0 = (\alpha a)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}} < z_2.$$

In view of (2.24), (2.26), (2.27), (2.30) and (3.2) the condition $b_1 < b_0$ is equivalent to the condition

$$g(T) := a^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}(T) + a^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}(T) \left[(c_0(n+3)M^2 T \mathrm{mes}\Omega)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_0^{\frac{1}{2}} ||F||_{L_2(D_T)} \right] < < \alpha^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} - \alpha^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}.$$
(3.3)

Since the Lebesque measure is absolutely continuous and $F \in L_{2,loc}(D_{\infty})$, $F|_{D_T} \in L_2(D_T) \ \forall T > 0$, and $\lim_{T \to 0} \operatorname{mes} D_T = 0$, then

$$\lim_{T \to 0} ||F||_{L_2(D_T)} = 0.$$
(3.4)

Further, due to (2.21) and since $\gamma_0 > 0$ in (2.2), and also (2.26), (2.28), (2.30) and (3.3), (3.4) we have

$$\lim_{T \to 0} g(T) = 0.$$
(3.5)

At the same time due to (2.28) the right hand part of inequality (3.3) is positive. Therefore, there exists a positive number $T_0 = T_0(F)$ such that $b_1 < b_0$ when the condition

$$0 < T < T_0(F) (3.6)$$

is fulfilled. Thus, if T satisfies inequality (3.6), then operator

$$A: W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T) \to W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T),$$

acting by formula (2.15), maps the ball $B(0, z_2) := \{u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T) :$ $||u||_{W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)} \leq z_2\}$ into itself, where $z_2 = z_2(T)$ is a maximal positive root of the equation (3.1). Indeed, if $u \in B(0, z_2)$, then due to (2.29) and (3.1) we have

$$||Au||_{W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)} \le a||u||_1^{\alpha} + b_1 \le az_2^{\alpha} + b_1 = z_2.$$

Therefore, taking into account that operator A is continuous and compact and maps closed convex ball $B(0, z_2) \subset W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ into itself, then according to the Schauder's theorem [2] the equation (2.15) has at least one solution ufrom the space $W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$.

Thus, the following theorem is valid.

Theorem 3.1. Let Lipschitz domain Ω be convex; function f satisfy conditions (1.4), (1.5), (1.12), (1.13); function F be defined in D_{∞} and $F|_{D_T} \in L_2(D_T) \ \forall T > 0$. Then there exists a number $T_0 = T_0(F) > 0$ such that for any positive number $T < T_0$ the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) has at least one weak generalized solution $u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ in the sense of the Definition 1.1.

Now let us consider the cases of absence of a solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3).

Theorem 3.2. Let $\Omega : |x| < 1$, function F^0 be defined in D_{∞} and for fixed T > 0, $F^0|_{D_T} \ge 0$, $||F^0|_{D_T}||_{L_2(D_T)} \ne 0$ and $F = \mu F^0$, $\mu = const > 0$. Then, if function $f = f(s_0, s_1, ..., s_{n+1})$ satisfies conditions (1.4), (1.5), (1.12), (1.13) and

$$f(s_0, s_1, ..., s_{n+1}) \le -|s_0|^{\alpha} \ \forall (s_0, s_1, ..., s_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\alpha = const > 1$, there exists a number $\mu_0 = \mu_0 (F^0, \alpha) > 0$ such that for $\mu > \mu_0$ the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) cannot have a weak generalized solution in the space $W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$.

Proof. Assume that the conditions of the theorem are fulfilled and the solution $u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) does exist for any fixed $\mu > 0$. Then the equality (1.6) takes the form

$$\int_{D_T} f(u, \nabla u) \varphi dx dt = \int_{D_T} \left[\frac{\partial^{2k+1} u}{\partial t^{2k+1}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2k+1} \varphi}{\partial t^{2k+1}} + \Delta u \cdot \Delta \varphi \right] dx dt +$$
$$+ \mu \int_{D_T} F^0 \varphi dx dt \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T).$$
(3.8)

By integration by parts it can be easily verified that

$$\int_{D_T} \left[\frac{\partial^{2k+1} u}{\partial t^{2k+1}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2k+1} \varphi}{\partial t^{2k+1}} + \Delta u \cdot \Delta \varphi \right] dx dt = \int_{D_T} u \left[-\frac{\partial^{2(2k+1)} \varphi}{\partial t^{2(2k+1)}} + \Delta^2 \varphi \right] dx dt = -\int_{D_T} u L_0 \varphi dx dt \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T),$$
(3.9)

where the space $C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T)$ is defined in the first section, besides, $C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T) \subset W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T).$

In view of (3.9) we rewrite the equality (3.8) as follows

$$-\int_{D_T} f(u, \nabla u)\varphi dx dt = \int_{D_T} u L_0 \varphi dx dt -$$
$$-\mu \int_{D_T} F^0 \varphi dx dt \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T).$$
(3.10)

Below we will use the method of test functions [15]. As a test function we take $\varphi \in C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T)$ such that $\varphi|_{D_T} > 0$. Due to (3.7) from (3.10) we have

$$\int_{D_T} |u|^{\alpha} \varphi dx dt \leq \int_{D_T} u L_0 \varphi dx dt - \mu \int_{D_T} F^0 \varphi dx dt \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T).$$
(3.11)

If in Young's inequality with parameter $\varepsilon > 0$

$$ab \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}a^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha'\varepsilon^{\alpha'-1}}b^{\alpha'}; \ a, b \geq 0, \ \alpha' = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$$

we take $a = |u|\varphi^{1/\alpha}$, $b = |L_0\varphi|/\varphi^{1/\alpha}$, then taking into account that $\alpha'/\alpha = \alpha' - 1$ we will have

$$|uL_0\varphi| = |u|\varphi^{1/\alpha} \frac{|L_0\varphi|}{\varphi^{1/\alpha}} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha} |u|^{\alpha}\varphi + \frac{1}{\alpha'\varepsilon^{\alpha'-1}} \frac{|L_0\varphi|^{\alpha'}}{\varphi^{\alpha'-1}}.$$
 (3.12)

From (3.11), (3.12) we have the inequality

$$\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\right)\int_{D_T}|u|^{\alpha}\varphi dxdt \leq \frac{1}{\alpha'\varepsilon^{\alpha'-1}}\int_{D_T}\frac{|L_0\varphi|^{\alpha'}}{\varphi^{\alpha'-1}}dxdt - \mu\int_{D_T}F^0\varphi dxdt,$$

whence for $\varepsilon < \alpha$ we get

$$\int_{D_T} |u|^{\alpha} \varphi dx dt \leq \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha - \varepsilon) \alpha' \varepsilon^{\alpha' - 1}} \int_{D_T} \frac{|L_0 \varphi|^{\alpha'}}{\varphi^{\alpha' - 1}} dx dt - \frac{\alpha \mu}{\alpha - \varepsilon} \int_{D_T} F^0 \varphi dx dt.$$
(3.13)

Taking into account the equalities $\alpha' = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$, $\alpha = \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha'-1}$ and $\min_{0 < \varepsilon < \alpha} \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-\varepsilon)\alpha'\varepsilon^{\alpha'-1}} = 1$ which is achieved at $\varepsilon = 1$, from (3.13) we find that

$$\int_{D_T} |u|^{\alpha} \varphi dx dt \leq \int_{D_T} \frac{|L_0 \varphi|^{\alpha'}}{\varphi^{\alpha'-1}} dx dt - \alpha' \mu \int_{D_T} F^0 \varphi dx dt.$$
(3.14)

Note that it is not difficult to show the existence of a test function φ such that

$$\varphi \in C_0^{4,4k+2}(\overline{D}_T, \partial D_T), \ \varphi|_{D_T} > 0, \ \kappa_0 = \int_{D_T} \frac{|L_0 \varphi|^{\alpha'}}{\varphi^{\alpha'-1}} dx dt < +\infty.$$
(3.15)

Indeed, as it can be easily verified, the function

$$\varphi(x,t) = [(1 - |x|^2)t(T - t)]^m$$

for a sufficiently large positive m satisfies conditions (3.15).

Since by the condition of the theorem $F^0 \in L_2(D_T)$, $\|F^0\|_{L_2(D_T)} \neq 0$, $F^0 \geq 0$, and $\operatorname{mes} D_T < +\infty$, due to the fact that $\varphi|_{D_T} > 0$ we will have

$$0 < \kappa_1 = \int_{D_T} F^0 \varphi dx dt < +\infty.$$
(3.16)

Denote by $g(\mu)$ the right-hand side of the inequality (3.14) which is a linear function with respect to μ , and by (3.15), (3.16) we will have

$$g(\mu) < 0 \text{ for } \mu > \mu_0 \text{ and } g(\mu) > 0 \text{ for } \mu < \mu_0,$$
 (3.17)

where

$$g(\mu) = \kappa_0 - \alpha' \mu \kappa_1, \ \mu_0 = \frac{\kappa_0}{\alpha' \kappa_1} > 0.$$

Owing to (3.17) for $\mu > \mu_0$, the right-hand side of the inequality (3.14) is negative, whereas the left-hand side of that inequality is nonnegative. The obtained contradiction proves the theorem.

Remark 3.1. Note that in the Theorem 3.2 for simplicity we assume $\Omega : |x| < 1$. However, this theorem is valid in more general case, when Ω represents a convex, sufficiently smooth domain. Our assumption was caused by the construction of a test function φ satisfying conditions (3.15) according to the formula

$$\varphi(x,t) = [(1-|x|^2)t(T-t)]^m \tag{3.18}$$

for a sufficiently large positive m. If the boundary of the convex domain Ω is given by the equation $\partial\Omega : \omega(x) = 0$, where $\nabla_x \omega|_{\partial\Omega} \neq 0$, $\omega|_{\Omega} > 0$ and $\omega \in C^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then, instead of the test function defined by formula (3.18), we should take

$$\varphi(x,t) = [\omega(x)t(T-t)]^m,$$

where m is a sufficiently large positive number, and in this case the Theorem 3.2 remains valid.

4. One case of solvability of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3)

Let function f in the equation (1.1) depend only on variable u and satisfy conditions

$$f \in C(\mathbb{R}), \ |f(u)| \le M + M_0 |u|^{\alpha_0} \ \forall u \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(4.1)$$

where $\alpha_0 = const$ satisfies conditions (1.12). Consider the following condition

$$\lim_{|u| \to \infty} \sup \frac{f(u)}{u} \le 0.$$
(4.2)

Lemma 4.1. Let Lipschitz domain Ω be convex, $F \in L_2(D_T)$ and the conditions (4.1), (4.2), (1.12) be fulfilled. Then for a weak generalized solution $u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) the a priori estimate

$$||u||_0 = ||u||_{W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)} \le c_3 ||F||_{L_2(D_T)} + c_4$$
(4.3)

is valid with constants $c_3 > 0$ and $c_4 \ge 0$, independent of u and F.

Proof. Since $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$, then from (4.2) it follows that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $M_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ such that

$$uf(u) \le M_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon u^2 \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (4.4)

Putting $\varphi = u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ in the equality (1.6) and taking into account (4.4) and (2.3), for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we obtain

$$||u||_{1}^{2} = \int_{D_{T}} uf(u)dxdt - \int_{D_{T}} Fudxdt \leq$$

$$\leq M_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{mes} D_{T} + \varepsilon \int_{D_{T}} u^{2}dxdt + \int_{D_{T}} \left(\frac{1}{4\varepsilon}F^{2} + \varepsilon u^{2}\right)dxdt =$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}||F||_{L_{2}(D_{T})}^{2} + M_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{mes} D_{T} + 2\varepsilon||u||_{L_{2}(D_{T})}^{2} \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}||F||_{L_{2}(D_{T})}^{2} + M_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{mes} D_{T} + 2\varepsilon||u||_{0}^{2}. \tag{4.5}$$

From (4.5) by virtue of (2.4) we have

$$c_1^2 ||u||_0^2 \le ||u||_1^2 \le \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} ||F||_{L_2(D_T)}^2 + M_\varepsilon \operatorname{mes} D_T + 2\varepsilon ||u||_0^2,$$

whence for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}c_1^2$ we obtain

$$||u||_0^2 \le 2c_1^{-4}||F||_{L_2(D_T)}^2 + 2c_1^{-2}M_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mes} D_T.$$

From the last inequality follows (4.3) for $c_3 = 2c_1^{-4}$ and $c_4 = 2c_1^{-2}M_{\varepsilon} \text{mes}D_T$, where $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}c_1^2$. Lemma 4.1 is proved.

According to the Remark 2.3 the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) is equivalent to the functional equation (2.15), where the operator A, acting in the Hilbert space $W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$, is continuous and compact. At the same time according to the a priori estimate (4.3) of the Lemma 4.1 in which the constants $c_3 = 2c_1^{-4}$ and $c_4 = 2c_1^{-2}M_{\varepsilon} \text{mes} D_T, \varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}c_1^2$, for any parameter $\tau \in [0,1]$ and for every solution $u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ of the equation $u = \tau Au$ with the above-mentioned parameter the a priori estimate (4.3) is valid with the same constants $c_3 > 0$ and $c_4 \ge 0$, independent of u, F and τ . Therefore, by the Schaefer's fixed point theorem [19] the equation (2.15) and hence the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) has at least one weak generalized solution u from the space $W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$. Thus the following theorem is valid.

Theorem 4.1. Let Lipschitz domain Ω be convex, the conditions (4.1), (4.2) and (1.12) be fulfilled. Then for any $F \in L_2(D_T)$ the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) has at least one weak generalized solution $u \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$.

5. The uniqueness of a solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3)

Theorem 5.1. Let Lipschitz domain Ω be convex, f = f(u) be a monotone function and satisfy the conditions (4.1), (1.12). Then for any $F \in L_2(D_T)$ the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) cannot have more than one weak generalized solution in the space $W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$.

Proof. Let $F \in L_2(D_T)$, and moreover, let u_1 and u_2 be two weak generalized solutions of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) from the space $W_0^{1,2k}(D_T)$, i.e., according to (1.6) the equalities

$$\int_{D_T} \left[\frac{\partial^{2k+1} u_i}{\partial t^{2k+1}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2k+1} \varphi}{\partial t^{2k+1}} + \Delta u_i \cdot \Delta \varphi \right] dx dt =$$
$$= \int_{D_T} f(u_i)\varphi dx dt - \int_{D_T} F\varphi dx dt \ \forall \varphi \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T), \tag{5.1}$$

are valid, i = 1, 2.

From (5.1), for the difference $v = u_2 - u_1$ we have

$$\int_{D_T} \left[\frac{\partial^{2k+1}v}{\partial t^{2k+1}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2k+1}\varphi}{\partial t^{2k+1}} + \Delta v \cdot \Delta \varphi \right] dxdt = \int_{D_T} \left(f(u_2) - f(u_1) \right) \varphi dxdt \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T).$$
(5.2)

Putting $\varphi = v \in W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$ in the equality (5.2), due to (2.3) we obtain

$$||v||_{1} = \int_{D_{T}} (f(u_{2}) - f(u_{1}))(u_{2} - u_{1})dxdt.$$
(5.3)

Since f is the monotone function, we have

$$(f(s_2) - f(s_1))(s_2 - s_1) \ge 0 \quad \forall s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (5.4)

From (2.4), (5.3) and (5.4) it follows that

$$c_1||v||_0 \le ||v||_1 \le 0,$$

whence we find that v = 0, i.e., $u_2 = u_1$, and hence the proof of the Theorem 5.1 is complete.

From Theorems 4.1 and 5.1, in its turn, it follows

Theorem 5.2. Let Lipschitz domain Ω be convex, f be a monotone function and satisfy the conditions (4.1), (4.2) and (1.12). Then for any $F \in L_2(D_T)$ the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) has a unique weak generalized solution in the space $W_0^{2,2k+1}(D_T)$.

References

- Aliev, A.B., Lichaei, B.H.: Existence and nonexistence of global solutions of the Cauchy problem for higher order semilinear pseudohyperbolic equations. J. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications 72 (2010), No. 7-8, 3275 - 3288.
- [2] Evans, L.C.: Partial Differential Equations, in: Grad. Stud. Math., vol 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI,1998.

- [3] Galactionov, V.A., Mitidieri, E.L., Pohozaev, S.I: Blow-up for Higher -Order Parabolic, Hyperbolic, Dispersion and Schrodinger Equations. Series: Chapman & Hall / CRC Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics, 2014.
- [4] Hörmander, L.: The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators II. Differential Operators with Constant Coefficients. Springer - Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York - Tokyo, 1983.
- [5] Kharibegashvili, S.: Boundary value problems for some classes of nonlinear wave equations. Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys. 46 (2009), 1-114.
- [6] Kharibegashvili, S.: The boundary value problem for one class of semilinear partial differential equations. International Workshop QUALITDE - 2017, December 24 - 26, Tbilisi, Georgia, 81 - 82.
- [7] Kharibegashvili, S.: The solvability of the boundary value problem for one class of higher-order nonlinear partial differential equations. International Workshop QUALITDE - 2019, December 7 - 9, Tbilisi, Georgia, 96 - 98.
- [8] Kharibegashvili, S. and Midodashvili, B.: Solvability of characteristic boundaryvalue problems for nonlinear equations with iterated wave operator in the principal part. Electron. J. Differential Equations 2008, No.72, 12 pp.
- [9] Kharibegashvili, S. and Midodashvili, B.: On one boundary value problem for a nonlinear equation with iterated wave operator in the principal part. Georgian Math. J. 15 (2008), No. 3, 541-554.
- [10] Kiguradze, T., Raja Ben-Rabha: On strong well-posedness of initial-boundary value problems for higher order nonlinear hyperbolic equations with two independent variables. Georgian Math. J. 24 (2017), No. 3, 409 - 428.
- [11] Kufner, A. and Fučik, S.: Nonlinear Differential Equations. Elsevier, Amsterdam - New York, 1980.
- [12] Ladyzhenskaya, O.A.: The boundary value problems of mathematical physics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [13] Lin, G., Gao, Y., Sun, Y.: On local existence and blow-up solutions for nonlinear wave equations of higher - order Kirchhoff type with strong dissipation. IJMNTA, 6 (2017), No. 1, 11 - 25.
- [14] Ma, T., Gu, J. and Li, L.: Asymptotic behaviour of solutions to a class of fourth - order nonlinear evolution equations with dispersive and dissipative terms. J. Inequal. Appl. (2016) 2016: 318, No. 1, 1 - 7.
- [15] Mitidieri, E. and Pohozhaev, S.I.: A priori estimates and the absence of solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities. (Russian) Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 234(2001), 1-384; English transl.: Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2001, No. 3(234), 1-362.
- [16] Trenogin, V.A.: Functional analysis. 2nd ed. (Russian) Nauka, Moscow, 1993.
- [17] Vulikh, B.Z.: Concise course of the theory of functions of a real variable. (Russian) Nauka, Moscow, 1973.
- [18] Wang, Y.Z., Wang, Y.X.: Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for a class of nonlinear wave equations of higher order. J. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications 72 (2010), No. 12, 4500 - 4507.
- [19] Xiangying, C.: Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for nonlinear evolution equation of fourth order. Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser. B, 16 (2001), No. 3, 251 - 258.

S. Kharibegashvili A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University 6, Tamarashvili Str., Tbilisi 0177, Georgia And Georgian Technical University Department of Mathematics 77, M. Kostava Str., Tbilisi 0175, Georgia e-mail: kharibegashvili@yahoo.com B. Midodashvili

I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences
13, University Str., Tbilisi 0143, Georgia And
Gori State Teaching University, Faculty of

Education, Exact and Natural Sciences 5, I. Chavchavadze Str., Gori, Georgia e-mail: bidmid@hotmail.com