

## On the Continuous Dependence of Solutions to Linear Boundary Value Problems on Boundary Conditions

V. P. Maksimov

*Perm State University, Perm, Russia*

*E-mail: maksimov@econ.psu.ru*

### 1 Introduction

The general questions of the continuous dependence of solutions to boundary value problems on parameters as applied to functional differential equations are studied in [1, 3, 4, 8], see also the references to Section 1.5 in [1].

We consider a quite broad class of functional differential systems with aftereffect and follow the notation and basic statements of the general theory of functional differential equations in the part concerning linear systems with aftereffect [1, 4].

Let  $L^n = L^n[0, T]$  be the Lebesgue space of all summable functions  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow R^n$  defined on a finite segment  $[0, T]$  with the norm

$$\|z\|_{L^n} = \int_0^T |z(t)| dt,$$

where  $|\cdot|$  is a norm in  $R^n$ . Below we use  $\|\cdot\|$  for the matrix norm agreed with  $|\cdot|$ .

Denote by  $AC^n = AC^n[0, T]$  the space of absolutely continuous functions  $x : [0; T] \rightarrow R^n$  with the norm

$$\|x\|_{AC^n} = |x(0)| + \|\dot{x}\|_{L^n}.$$

In the sequel we will use some results from [1, 4].

The system

$$\mathcal{L}x = f \tag{1.1}$$

with a linear bounded Volterra operator  $\mathcal{L} : AC^n \rightarrow L^n$  is considered under the assumption that the general solution of equation (1.1) has the form

$$x(t) = X(t)x(0) + \int_0^t C(t, s)f(s) ds, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $X(t)$  is the fundamental matrix to the homogeneous equation  $\mathcal{L}x = 0$ ,  $C(t, s)$  is the Cauchy matrix. A broad class of operators  $\mathcal{L}$  with property (1.2) is described, for instance, in [5].

We consider the boundary value problems (BVPs)

$$\mathcal{L}x = f, \quad \ell_0 x = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}x = f, \quad \ell x = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\ell_0, \ell : AC^n \rightarrow R^n$  are linear bounded vector-functional, assuming (1.3) to be uniquely solvable, i.e.  $\det \ell_0 X \neq 0$ . We will consider the question of the continuous dependence of solutions on the boundary conditions in terms of the proximity of  $\ell$  to  $\ell_0$  and the proximity of the solution  $x$  of BVP (1.4) to the solution  $x_0$  of BVP (1.3).

## 2 Two theorems

First we give a theorem that follows from the theorem on the invertible operator (see, for instance, Theorem 3.6.3 [2]).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let the inequality*

$$\Delta = \|\ell_0 X - \ell X\| \cdot \|(\ell_0 X)^{-1}\| < 1 \quad (2.1)$$

*be fulfilled. Then BVP (1.4) is uniquely solvable and the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0 - x\|_{AC^n} \leq & \|X\|_{AC^{n \times n}} \cdot \frac{\Delta}{1 - \Delta} \cdot \|(\ell_0 X)^{-1}\| \cdot \|\ell\|_{AC^n \rightarrow R^n} \cdot \|Cf\|_{AC^n} \\ & + \|X\|_{AC^{n \times n}} \cdot \|(\ell_0 X)^{-1}\| \cdot \|\ell_0 - \ell\|_{AC^n \rightarrow R^n} \cdot \|Cf\|_{AC^n} \end{aligned}$$

*holds.*

Results of the constructive study of boundary value problems, based on conditions like (2.1), are presented systematically in [1, 7], see also [6]. Condition (2.1) often turns out to be quite rigid. To formulate the next theorem based on another approach, we introduce additional notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_0 X = \Gamma^0 &= (\gamma_{ij}^0)_{i,j=1,\dots,n}; \quad \ell X = \Gamma = ([\gamma_{ij}^b, \gamma_{ij}^u])_{i,j=1,\dots,n}; \quad \gamma_{ij}^0 \in [\gamma_{ij}^b, \gamma_{ij}^u]; \\ (\ell_0 X)^{-1} = B^0 &= (\beta_{ij}^0)_{i,j=1,\dots,n}; \quad (\ell X)^{-1} = B = ([\beta_{ij}^b, \beta_{ij}^u])_{i,j=1,\dots,n}; \\ M &= \max(\det \Gamma : \gamma_{ij} \in [\gamma_{ij}^b, \gamma_{ij}^u], i, j = 1, \dots, n); \\ \mu &= \min(\det \Gamma : \gamma_{ij} \in [\gamma_{ij}^b, \gamma_{ij}^u], i, j = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

For an  $(n \times n)$ -matrix  $\mathcal{A}$  with interval-valued elements  $[a_{ij}, b_{ij}]$  we define  $\|\mathcal{A}\|_I$  by the equality

$$\|\mathcal{A}\|_I = \|(\alpha_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}\|,$$

where  $\alpha_{ij} = \max(|a_{ij}|, |b_{ij}|)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let the inequality*

$$M \cdot \mu > 0$$

*be fulfilled. Then BVP (1.4) is uniquely solvable and the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0 - x\|_{AC^n} \leq & \|X\|_{AC^{n \times n}} \cdot \|B_0 - B\|_I \cdot \|\ell\|_{AC^n \rightarrow R^n} \cdot \|Cf\|_{AC^n} \\ & + \|X\|_{AC^{n \times n}} \cdot \|B_0\| \cdot \|\ell_0 - \ell\|_{AC^n \rightarrow R^n} \cdot \|Cf\|_{AC^n} \end{aligned}$$

*holds.*

This theorem allows to cover a set of boundary value problems (1.4) for which condition (2.1) is not fulfilled.

## 3 An example

Consider the boundary value problem

$$\dot{x}(t) = Fx(t) + f(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad \ell_{01}x \equiv ax_1(0) + bx_2(1) = 0, \quad \ell_{02}x \equiv cx_1(1) + dx_2(0) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Here

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & -0.1 \\ -0.2 & 0.6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For definiteness, let the norm in  $R^2$  be defined by the equality  $|x| = \max(|x_1|, |x_2|)$ , hence for  $B = (b_{ij})$  we have

$$\|B\| = \max(|b_{11}| + |b_{12}|, |b_{21}| + |b_{22}|).$$

For the case the matrix  $\ell_0 X$  is defined by the equality

$$\ell_0 X = \begin{pmatrix} 0.304 & 3.680 \\ 4.997 & 3.478 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\ell_0 X)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.200 & 0.212 \\ 0.288 & -0.018 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \|(\ell_0 X)^{-1}\| = 0.413.$$

Thus by virtue of Theorem 2.1 problem (3.1) is uniquely solvable and, together with it, any problem

$$\dot{x} = Fx + f, \quad \ell x = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

with  $\ell$  such that  $\|\ell X - \ell_0 X\| < 2.421$  is uniquely solvable too.

Let us show that Theorem 2.2 makes it possible to go beyond this inequality. Immerse the matrix  $\ell_0 X$  into the family  $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{12} \\ \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  with  $\gamma_{11} \in [0.2, 0.4]$ ,  $\gamma_{12} \in [3.5, 3.8]$ ,  $\gamma_{21} \in [4.5, 5.5]$ ,  $\gamma_{22} \in [3.4, 8]$ .

Further

$$\begin{aligned} \max(\det \Gamma : \gamma_{11} \in [0.2, 0.4], \gamma_{12} \in [3.5, 3.8], \gamma_{21} \in [4.5, 5.5], \gamma_{22} \in [3.4, 8]) &= -12.55, \\ \min(\det \Gamma : \gamma_{11} \in [0.2, 0.4], \gamma_{12} \in [3.5, 3.8], \gamma_{21} \in [4.5, 5.5], \gamma_{22} \in [3.4, 8]) &= -20.22, \end{aligned}$$

therefore, the determinant of any matrix from the family  $\Gamma$  differs from zero. It should be noted that in terms of the parameters  $a, b, c, d$  of  $\ell_0$  it means the unique solvability for all the problems (3.2) with  $a \in [0.862, 1.119]$ ,  $b \in [1.902, 2.065]$ ,  $c \in [2.701, 3.301]$ ,  $d \in [3.870, 8.574]$ .

Let us take the element  $\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 3.5 \\ 5.5 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$  from  $\Gamma$  and calculate

$$\|\ell_0 X - \Gamma_1\| = 5.025 > \frac{1}{\|(\ell_0 X)^{-1}\|} = 2.421.$$

As for estimating difference of a solution  $x_0$  to (3.1) and a solution  $x$  to an arbitrary problem from (3.2) with  $\ell X \in \Gamma$ , first we calculate

$$\Gamma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} [-0.637, -0.168] & [0.188, 0.279] \\ [0.272, 0.359] & [-0.032, -0.010] \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\|\Gamma^{-1}\|_I \leq 0.805$  and

$$\ell_0 X - \Gamma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} [0.032, 0.437, ] & [-0.067, 0.024] \\ [-0.016, 0.071] & [-0.008, 0.014] \end{pmatrix},$$

hence  $\|(\ell_0 X)^{-1} - \Gamma^{-1}\|_I \leq 0.504$ . Having in mind the representation

$$x_0 - x = X [(\ell_0 X)^{-1} \ell - (\ell X)^{-1} \ell_0] Cf = X [(\ell_0 X)^{-1} - (\ell X)^{-1}] \ell Cf + X [(\ell_0 X)^{-1} (\ell_0 - \ell)] Cf,$$

we obtain

$$\|x_0 - x\|_{AC_2} \leq 0.504 \|X\|_{AC^{2 \times 2}} \cdot \|\ell\|_{AC^2 \rightarrow R^2} \cdot \|Cf\|_{AC^2} + 0.414 \|X\| \cdot \|\ell_0 - \ell\|_{AC^2 \rightarrow R^2} \cdot \|Cf\|_{AC^2}$$

and, taking into account the estimate  $\|X\|_{AC^2 \times 2} \leq 2.188$ ,

$$\|x_0 - x\|_{AC^2} \leq 1.103 \|\ell\|_{AC^2 \rightarrow R^2} \cdot \|Cf\|_{AC^2} + 0.906 \|\ell_0 - \ell\|_{AC^2 \rightarrow R^2} \cdot \|Cf\|_{AC^2}.$$

Note again that, in this example, the statements of Theorem 2.2 cover the set of problems including those that do not belong to the set defined by Theorem 2.1.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation, Project # 22-21-00517.

## References

- [1] N. V. Azbelev, V. P. Maksimov and L. F. Rakhmatullina, *Introduction to the Theory of Functional Differential Equations: Methods and Applications*. Contemporary Mathematics and Its Applications, 3. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Cairo, 2007.
- [2] V. Hutson and J. S. Pym, *Applications of Functional Analysis and Operator Theory*. Mathematics in Science and Engineering, 146. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York–London, 1980.
- [3] V. P. Maksimov, On passage to the limit in boundary value problems for functional-differential equations. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **17** (1981), no. 11, 1984–1994, 2109–2110.
- [4] V. P. Maksimov, *Questions of the General Theory of Functional Differential Equations*. (Russian) Perm State University, Perm, 2003.
- [5] V. P. Maksimov, On a class of linear functional differential systems under integral control. *Abstracts of the International Workshop on the Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations – QUALITDE-2017*, Tbilisi, Georgia, December 24–26, 2017, pp. 131–135; [http://www.rmi.ge/eng/QUALITDE-2017/Maksimov\\_workshop\\_2017.pdf](http://www.rmi.ge/eng/QUALITDE-2017/Maksimov_workshop_2017.pdf).
- [6] V. P. Maksimov, To the question of saving the unique solvability of the general linear boundary value problem for a class of functional differential systems with discrete memory. *Mem. Differ. Equ. Math. Phys.* **87** (2022), 89–98.
- [7] V. P. Maksimov and A. N. Rumyantsev, Boundary value problems and problems of impulse control in economic dynamics. Constructive investigation. (Russian) *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* **1993**, no. 5, 56–71; translation in *Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ)* **37** (1993), no. 5, 48–62.
- [8] E. S. Zhukovskiy and Kh. M. T. Takhir, Comparison of solutions to boundary-value problems for linear functional-differential equations. (Russian) *Vestnik Udmurtskogo Universiteta. Matematika. Mekhanika. Komp'yuternye Nauki* **28** (2018), no. 3, 284–292.