

On Increasing the Order of Smallness of Fast Variables in Linear Differential Systems

S. A. Shchogolev

Odessa I. I. Mechnikov National University, Odessa, Ukraine

E-mail: sergas1959@gmail.com

Let

$$G = \{t, \varepsilon : t \in [t_0, +\infty), \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0), \varepsilon_0 \in \mathbf{R}^+\}.$$

Definition. We say that the function $f(t, \varepsilon)$ belongs to the class $S(m)$, $m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$, if:

- 1) $f : G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$,
- 2) $f(t, \varepsilon) \in C^m(G)$ at t ,
- 3) $d^k f(t, \varepsilon)/dt^k = \varepsilon^k f_k(t, \varepsilon)$ ($0 \leq k \leq m$),

$$\|f\|_{S(m)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^m \sup_G |f_k(t, \varepsilon)| < +\infty.$$

By slowly varying function we mean a function from the class $S(m)$.

Consider the system of differential equations

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \left(A_0(t, \varepsilon) + \sum_{s=1}^r A_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta(t, \varepsilon)) (\mu(\theta(t, \varepsilon)))^s \right) x, \tag{1}$$

$x = \text{colon}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $A_0(t, \varepsilon) - (N \times N)$ -matrix, whose elements belong to the class $S(m)$. The function $\theta(t, \varepsilon)$ has the form

$$\theta(t, \varepsilon) = \int_{t_0}^t \varphi(\tau, \varepsilon) d\tau, \tag{2}$$

$\varphi \in \mathbf{R}^+$, $\varphi(t, \varepsilon) \in S(m)$, $\inf_G \varphi(t, \varepsilon) = \varphi_0 > 0$. The elements of matrices $A_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ belong to the class $S(m)$ with respect to t, ε , are continuous and 2π -periodic with respect to $\theta \in [0, +\infty)$. The function $\mu(\theta)$ is continuous in $[0, +\infty)$.

With a small function $\mu(\theta)$ system (1) is close to the system with slowly varying coefficients

$$\frac{dx_0}{dt} = A_0(t, \varepsilon)x_0.$$

The terms depending on θ in system (1) has the order $O(\mu)$. We study the problem of reducing system (1) to the form where the terms depending on θ has the order $O(\mu^{r+1})$, or $O(\varepsilon)$. If a parameter ε is sufficiently small, then the transformed system will be closer to a system with slowly varying coefficients than to system (1).

Theorem. *Let system (1) satisfy the following conditions:*

1) eigenvalues $\lambda_j(t, \varepsilon)$ ($j = \overline{1, N}$) of matrix $A_0(t, \varepsilon)$ are such that

$$\lambda_j(t, \varepsilon) - \lambda_k(t, \varepsilon) = in_{jk}\varphi(t, \varepsilon), \quad n_{jk} \in \mathbf{Z},$$

where the function $\varphi(t, \varepsilon)$ are defined by condition (2);

2) there exists a matrix $L(t, \varepsilon)$, the elements of which belong to the class $S(m)$ such that $\inf_G |\det L(t, \varepsilon)| > 0$, and

$$L^{-1}(t, \varepsilon)A_0(t, \varepsilon)L(t, \varepsilon) = \Lambda(t, \varepsilon) = \text{diag} [\lambda_1(t, \varepsilon), \dots, \lambda_N(t, \varepsilon)];$$

3) the function $\mu(\theta)$ is such that

$$\mu(\theta) \in \mathbf{R}, \quad \sup_{[0, +\infty)} \mu(\theta) \leq \mu_0 < +\infty, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \mu^{\mathbf{k}}(\theta) \mathbf{d}\theta \leq \mu_0 < +\infty \quad (\mathbf{k} = \overline{1, \mathbf{r}}).$$

Then for sufficiently small values of μ_0 there exists the transformation of the kind

$$x = \Phi(t, \varepsilon, \theta(t, \varepsilon))y,$$

where the elements of the matrix $\Phi(t, \varepsilon, \theta(t, \varepsilon))$ are bounded on $G \times [t_0, +\infty)$, that leads system (1) to the kind

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = (\Lambda(t, \varepsilon) + \varepsilon V(t, \varepsilon, \theta) + W(t, \varepsilon, \theta))y, \tag{3}$$

where the elements of the matrices $V(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ and $W(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ are bounded on $G \times [t_0, +\infty)$, and the elements of the matrix $W(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ has the order μ_0^{r+1} .

Proof. We make in system (1) the substitution

$$x = L(t, \varepsilon)x^{(1)},$$

where $x^{(1)}$ – new unknown vector od dimension N . We obtain

$$\frac{dx^{(1)}}{dt} = \left(\Lambda(t, \varepsilon) + \varepsilon H(t, \varepsilon) + \sum_{s=1}^r B_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta)(\mu(\theta))^s \right) x^{(1)}, \tag{4}$$

where

$$H(t, \varepsilon) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}L^{-1}(t, \varepsilon)\frac{dL(t, \varepsilon)}{dt}, \quad B_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta) = L^{-1}(t, \varepsilon)A_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta)L(t, \varepsilon). \tag{5}$$

The elements of the matrix $H(t, \varepsilon)$ belong to the class $S(m - 1)$.

We seek the transformation, which leads system (4) to the kind (3), in the form

$$\frac{dx^{(1)}}{dt} = \left(E + \sum_{s=1}^r Q_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta) \right) y, \tag{6}$$

where the matrices $Q_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ ($s = \overline{1, r}$) are defined from the next chain of the differential equations

$$\varphi(t, \varepsilon) \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial \theta} = \Lambda(t, \varepsilon)Q_1 - Q_1\Lambda(t, \varepsilon) + B_1(t, \varepsilon, \theta)\mu(\theta), \tag{7}$$

$$\varphi(t, \varepsilon) \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial \theta} = \Lambda(t, \varepsilon)Q_2 - Q_2\Lambda(t, \varepsilon) + B_2(t, \varepsilon, \theta)(\mu(\theta))^2 + B_1(t, \varepsilon, \theta)Q_1t, \varepsilon, \theta)\mu(\theta),$$

.....

$$\varphi(t, \varepsilon) \frac{\partial Q_r}{\partial \theta} = \Lambda(t, \varepsilon)Q_r - Q_r\Lambda(t, \varepsilon) + B_r(t, \varepsilon, \theta)(\mu(\theta))^r + \sum_{s=1}^{r-1} B_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta)Q_{r-s}(t, \varepsilon, \theta)(\mu(\theta))^s.$$

The matrices $V(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$, $W(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ are defined from the equations

$$\left(E + \sum_{s=1}^r Q_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta)\right)V = H(t, \varepsilon) \left(E + \sum_{s=1}^r Q_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta)\right) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{s=1}^r \frac{\partial Q_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta)}{dt}, \tag{8}$$

$$\left(E + \sum_{s=1}^r Q_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta)\right)W = \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{s=j}^r B_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta) Q_{r+j-s}(t, \varepsilon, \theta) (\mu(\theta))^s. \tag{9}$$

Let

$$Q_s = (q_{jk}^{(s)})_{j,k=\overline{1,N}}, \quad B_s = (b_{jk}^{(s)})_{j,k=\overline{1,N}}, \quad s = \overline{1,r}.$$

Consider equation (7). By virtue condition 1) of the theorem equation (7) is equal to the set of scalar equations

$$\frac{\partial q_{jk}^{(1)}}{\partial \theta} = in_{jk} q_{jk}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\varphi(t, \varepsilon)} \mu(\theta) b_{jk}^{(1)}(t, \varepsilon, \theta), \quad j, k = \overline{1, N}. \tag{10}$$

For each of equations (10), we consider its solution

$$q_{jk}^{(1)}(t, \varepsilon, \theta) = \frac{1}{\varphi(t, \varepsilon)} e^{in_{jk}\theta} \int_0^\theta \mu(\vartheta) b_{jk}^{(1)}(t, \varepsilon, \vartheta) e^{-in_{jk}\vartheta} d\vartheta, \quad j, k = \overline{1, N}. \tag{11}$$

From the fact that elements of matrices $A_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ in system (1) belong to the class $S(m)$ with respect to t, ε , and are continuous and 2π -periodic with respect to $\theta \in [0, +\infty)$, and from equality (5) it follows that the elements of the matrices $B_s(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ also have similar properties. Hence

$$\sup_{G \times [0, +\infty)} |b_{jk}^{(1)}(t, \varepsilon, \theta)| = c_{jk}^{(1)} < +\infty, \quad j, k = \overline{1, N}.$$

From (11) and condition 3) of the theorem we have

$$\sup_{G \times [0, +\infty)} |q_{jk}^{(1)}(t, \varepsilon, \theta)| \leq \frac{1}{\varphi_0} \mu_0 c_{jk}^{(1)}, \quad j, k = \overline{1, N}.$$

For $q_{jk}^{(r)}(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ we define

$$q_{jk}^{(r)}(t, \varepsilon, \theta) = \frac{1}{\varphi(t, \varepsilon)} e^{in_{jk}\theta} \times \int_0^\theta \left((\mu(\vartheta))^r b_{jk}^{(r)}(t, \varepsilon, \vartheta) + \sum_{s=1}^{r-1} (\mu(\vartheta))^s \sum_{l=1}^N b_{jl}^{(s)}(t, \varepsilon, \vartheta) q_{lk}^{(r-s)}(t, \varepsilon, \vartheta) \right) e^{-in_{jk}\vartheta} d\vartheta, \quad j, k = \overline{1, N}.$$

All functions $q_{jk}^{(s)}(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ ($j, k = \overline{1, N}$, $s = \overline{1, r-1}$) are bounded at $t \in G \times [t_0, +\infty)$. All functions $b_{jk}^{(s)}(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ ($j, k = \overline{1, N}$, $s = \overline{1, r}$) are bounded also at $t \in G \times [t_0, +\infty)$. Hence, the condition 3) of the theorem guarantees existence of bounded solutions $q_{jk}^{(r)}(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ ($j, k = \overline{1, N}$), and these solutions have the order μ_0^r . For the small μ_0 the same condition guarantees non-degeneracy of transformation (6). The matrix $V(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ is uniquely defined from equation (8), and the matrix $W(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ is uniquely defined from equation (9), and how easy it is to see that the order of the elements of the matrix $W(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ are not less than μ_0^{r+1} . \square