Dirichlet type Problem in a Smooth Convex Domain for Quasilinear Hyperbolic Equations of Fourth Order

Tariel Kiguradze, Reemah Alhuzally

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA E-mails: tkigurad@fit.edu; ralhuzally2015@my.fit.edu

Let $\Omega = (0, \omega_1) \times (0, \omega_2)$ be an open rectangle, and let **D** be an *orthogonally convex* open domain with C^2 boundary inscribed in Ω such that

$$\mathbf{D} = \{ (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega : x_1 \in (0, \omega_1), x_2 \in (\gamma_1(x_1), \gamma_2(x_1)) \} \\ = \{ (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega : x_2 \in (0, \omega_2), x_1 \in (\eta_1(x_2), \eta_2(x_2)) \},\$$

where $\gamma_i \in C([0, \omega_1]) \cap C^2((0, \omega_1)), \eta_i \in C([0, \omega_2]) \cap C^2((0, \omega_2))$ (i = 1, 2), and

$$\gamma_1(\xi_1^*) = 0, \quad \gamma_2(\xi_2^*) = \omega_2, \quad \eta_1(\zeta_1^*) = 0, \quad \eta_2(\zeta_2^*) = \omega_1$$

for some $\xi_1^*, \xi_2^* \in [0, \omega_1]$ and $\zeta_1^*, \zeta_2^* \in [0, \omega_2]$.

In the domain \mathbf{D} consider the problem

$$u^{(2,2)} = p_1(x_1, x_2)u^{(2,0)} + p_2(x_1, x_2)u^{(0,2)} + \sum_{j=0}^{1} \sum_{k=0}^{1} p_{jk}(x_1, x_2)u^{(j,k)} + q(x_1, x_2),$$
(1)

$$u(\eta_i(x_2), x_2) = \varphi_i(x_2) \quad (i = 1, 2); \quad u^{(2,0)}(x_1, \gamma_i(x_1)) = \psi_i''(x_1) \quad (i = 1, 2),$$
(2)

where

$$u^{(j,k)}(x_1,x_2) = \frac{\partial^{j+k}u}{\partial x_1^j \partial x_2^k},$$

 $p_i \in C(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \ (i = 1, 2), p_{jk} \in C(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \ (j, k = 0, 1), q \in C(\overline{\mathbf{D}}), \phi_i \in C^2([0, \omega_2]), \psi_i \in C^2([0, \omega_1]) \ (i = 1, 2), C^{m,n}(\overline{\mathbf{D}})$ is the Banach space of functions $u : \overline{\mathbf{D}} \to \mathbb{R}$, having continuous partial derivatives $u^{(i,j)}$ $(i = 0, \ldots, m; j = 0, \ldots, n)$, with the norm

$$||u||_{C^{m,n}(\overline{\mathbf{D}})} = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} ||u^{(j,k)}||_{C(\overline{\mathbf{D}})},$$

and $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ is the closure of the set \mathbf{D} .

Problem (1), (2) was studied in [1–3]. The Dirichlet problem for higher order linear hyperbolic equations in a rectangular domain was studied in [4].

Along with problem (1), (2) consider its corresponding homogeneous problem

$$u^{(2,2)} = p_1(x_1, x_2)u^{(2,0)} + p_2(x_1, x_2)u^{(0,2)} + \sum_{j=0}^{1} \sum_{k=1}^{1} p_{jk}(x_1, x_2)u^{(j,k)},$$
(10)

$$u(\eta_i(x_2), x_2) = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2); \quad u^{(2,0)}(x_1, \gamma_i(x_1)) = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2).$$
 (20)

By a solution of problem (1), (2) we understand a *classical* solution, i.e., a function $u \in C^{2,2}(\mathbf{D}) \cap C^{2,0}(\overline{\mathbf{D}})$ satisfying equation (1) and boundary conditions (2) everywhere in \mathbf{D} and $\partial \mathbf{D}$, respectively.

Theorem 1. Let $p_i \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ (i = 1, 2), $p_{jk} \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ (j, k = 0, 1), $q \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, $\phi_i \in C^2([0, \omega_2])$, $\psi_i \in C^2([0, \omega_1])$ (i = 1, 2), and let

$$p_1(x_1, x_2) \ge 0$$
, $p_2(x_1, x_2) \ge 0$ for $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{D}$.

Then problem (1), (2) has the Fredholm property, i.e.:

- (i) problem (1_0) , (2_0) has a finite dimensional space of solutions;
- (ii) problem (1), (2) is uniquely solvable if and only if problem $(1_0), (2_0)$ has only the trivial solution.

Furthermore, every solution of problem (1), (2) in a unique way can be continued to a solution of equation (1) in the domain Ω .

Remark 1. Orthogonal convexity of the domain D is very important and cannot be relaxed. Indeed, in the domain

$$D = \{ (x_1, x_2) : x_1 \in (0, 4), x_2 \in (\gamma(x_1), 2) \},\$$

where

$$\gamma(x) = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{1}{(x-1)(x-3)}} & \text{for } x \in (1,3) \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in [0,1] \cup [3,4] \end{cases}$$

consider the problem

$$u^{(2,2)} = 0, (3)$$

$$u\big|_{\partial \mathbf{D}} = 0; \quad u^{(2,0)}\big|_{\partial \mathbf{D}} = 1.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Notice that the function $y = \gamma(x)$ belongs to $C^{\infty}([0,4])$, it is increasing on the interval [1,2] and it is decreasing on the interval [2,3]. It is easy to show that

$$\eta_1(y) = 2 - \sqrt{1 + \ln^{-1}(y)}$$

is the function inverse to $\gamma(x)$ on the interval [1,2], and

$$\eta_2(y) = 2 + \sqrt{1 + \ln^{-1}(y)}$$

is the function inverse to $\gamma(x)$ on the interval [2,3].

It is clear that the only possible solution of problem (3), (4) is a solution of the problem

$$u^{(2,0)} = 1, (5)$$

$$u\Big|_{\partial \mathbf{D}} = 0. \tag{6}$$

Problem (5), (6) has the unique solution

$$u(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{x_1(x_1 - \eta_1(x_2))}{2} & \text{for } x_1 \in [0, 2), \ x_2 \in [0, e^{-1}) \\ \frac{(x_1 - \eta_2(x_2))(x_1 - 4)}{2} & \text{for } x_1 \in (2, 4], \ x_2 \in [0, e^{-1}) \\ \frac{x_1(x_1 - 4)}{2} & \text{for } x_1 \in [0, 4], \ x_2 \in (e^{-1}, 2] \end{cases}$$

One can easily see that $u(x_1, x_2)$ is not a classical solution of problem (3), (4), since it is discontinuous along the line segment $0 \le x_1 \le 4$, $x_2 = e^{-1}$.

Remark 2. C^2 smoothness of the boundary of the domain **D** is very important and cannot be relaxed. Indeed, let $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ be an arbitrary number,

$$\gamma_i(x_2) = 1 + (-1)^i \sqrt{1 - |x_2 - 1|^{\alpha}} (i = 1, 2)$$

and

$$\eta_i(x_1) = 1 + (-1)^i x_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (2 - x_1)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

In the domain

$$\mathbf{D} = \left\{ (x_1, x_2) : \ x_1 \in (0, 2), \ x_2 \in \left(1 - x_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (2 - x_1)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, 1 + x_1^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (2 - x_1)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ (x_1, x_2) : \ x_2 \in (0, 2), \ x_1 \in \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - |x_2 - 1|^{\alpha}}, 1 + \sqrt{1 - |x_2 - 1|^{\alpha}}\right) \right\}$$

consider the problem

$$u^{(2,2)} = 0, (7)$$

$$u(\eta_i(x_2), x_2) = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2); \quad u^{(2,0)}(x_1, \gamma_i(x_1)) = 2 \quad (i = 1, 2).$$
 (8)

It is clear that the only possible solution of problem (7), (8) is a solution of the problem

$$u^{(2,0)} = 2, (9)$$

$$u(\eta_i(x_2), x_2) = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2).$$
(10)

Problem (9), (10) has the unique solution

$$u(x_1, x_2) = \left(x_1 - 1 - \sqrt{1 - |x_2 - 1|^{\alpha}}\right) \left(x_1 - 1 + \sqrt{1 - |x_2 - 1|^{\alpha}}\right)$$

= $(x_1 - 1)^2 - 1 + |x_2 - 1|^{\alpha} = x_1^2 - 2x_1 + |x_2 - 1|^{\alpha}.$

However, $u^{(0,2)}(x_1, x_2)$ is discontinuous along the line segment $0 \le x_1 \le 2$, $x_2 = 1$, since $\alpha \in [1, 2)$. Thus, problem (7), (8) is not solvable in classical sense due to the fact that the boundary $\partial \mathbf{D}$ is not C^2 smooth at points (0, 1) and (2, 1).

Consider the quasilinear equation

$$u^{(2,2)} = \rho_1(x_1, x_2, u, u^{(1,0)}, u^{(0,1)}, u^{(1,1)})u^{(2,0)} + \rho_2(x_1, x_2, u, u^{(1,0)}, u^{(0,1)}, u^{(1,1)})u^{(0,2)} + \sum_{j=0}^1 \sum_{k=0}^1 \rho_{jk}(x_1, x_2, u, u^{(1,0)}, u^{(0,1)}, u^{(1,1)})u^{(j,k)} + q(x_1, x_2, u, u^{(1,0)}, u^{(0,1)}, u^{(1,1)}),$$
(11)

where $\rho_i(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{z})$ (i = 1, 2), $\rho_{jk}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{z})$ (j, k = 0, 1) and $q(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{z})$ are continuous functions on $\overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R}^4$, and $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$.

Theorem 2. Let $\rho_i \in C(\overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R}^4)$ (i = 1, 2), $\rho_{jk} \in C(\overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R}^4)$ (j, k = 0, 1), $q \in C(\overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R}^4)$, $\phi_i \in C^2([0, \omega_2])$, $\psi_i \in C^2([0, \omega_1])$ (i = 1, 2), and let there exist functions $P_{il} \in C(\overline{\mathbf{D}})$ (i, l = 1, 2) and $P_{ijk} \in C(\overline{\mathbf{D}})$ (i, j = 0, 1; j, k = 0, 1) such that:

 (A_0)

$$0 \le P_{1l}(x_1, x_2) \le \rho_l(x, y, \mathbf{z}) \le P_{2l}(x_1, x_2) \text{ for } (x_1, x_2, \mathbf{z}) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R}^4 \quad (l = 1, 2);$$

 (A_1)

$$P_{1jk}(x_1, x_2) \le \rho_{jk}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{z}) \le P_{2jk}(x_1, x_2) \text{ for } (x_1, x_2, \mathbf{z}) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R}^4 \quad (j, k = 0, 1);$$

(A₂) for arbitrary measurable functions $p_i : \overline{\mathbf{D}} \to \mathbb{R}$ (i = 1, 2) and $p_{jk} : \overline{\mathbf{D}} \to \mathbb{R}$ (j, k = 0, 1)satisfying the inequalities

$$P_{1l}(x_1, x_2) \le p_l(x, y) \le P_{2l}(x_1, x_2) \text{ for } (x_1, x_2, \mathbf{z}) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R}^4 \ (l = 1, 2),$$

$$P_{1jk}(x_1, x_2) \le p_{jk}(x_1, x_2) \le P_{2jk}(x_1, x_2) \text{ for } (x_1, x_2, \mathbf{z}) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R}^4 \ (j, k = 0, 1),$$

problem $(1_0), (2_0)$ has only the trivial solution;

 (A_3)

$$\lim_{\|\mathbf{z}\| \to +\infty} \frac{q(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{z})}{\|\mathbf{z}\|} = 0 \quad uniformly \ on \ \overline{\mathbf{D}}$$

Then problem (11), (2) has at least one solution.

Consider the linear and quasilinear equations

$$u^{(2,2)} = \left(p_1(x_1, x_2)u^{(1,0)}\right)^{(1,0)} + \left(p_2(x_1, x_2)u^{(0,1)}\right)^{(0,1)} + p_0(x_1, x_2)u + q(x_1, x_2),$$
(12)
$$u^{(2,2)} = \left(p_1(x_1, x_2, u)u^{(1,0)}\right)^{(1,0)}$$

+
$$(p_2(x_1, x_2, u)u^{(0,1)})^{(0,1)} + p_0(x_1, x_2, u) + q(x_1, x_2, u, u^{(1,0)}, u^{(0,1)}, u^{(1,1)})$$
 (13)

and

$$u^{(2,2)} = \left(p_1(x_1, x_2)u^{(1,0)}\right)^{(1,0)} + \left(p_2(x_1, x_2)u^{(0,1)}\right)^{(0,1)} + p_0(x_1, x_2, u) + q(x_1, x_2).$$
(14)

Theorem 3. Let **D** be an open **convex** domain with C^2 boundary inscribed in Ω such that

$$\mathbf{D} = \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega : \ x_1 \in (0, \omega_1), \ x_2 \in (\gamma_1(x_1), \gamma_2(x_1)) \right\} \\ = \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega : \ x_2 \in (0, \omega_2), \ x_1 \in (\eta_1(x_2), \eta_2(x_2)) \right\},\$$

where $\gamma_i \in C([0, \omega_1]) \cap C^2((0, \omega_1)), \ \eta_i \in C([0, \omega_2]) \cap C^2((0, \omega_2)) \ (i = 1, 2),$

$$(-1)^{i} \gamma_{i}''(x_{1}) \leq 0 \text{ for } x_{1} \in (0, \omega_{1}) \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

$$(-1)^{i} \eta_{i}''(x_{2}) \leq 0 \text{ for } x_{2} \in (0, \omega_{2}) \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

and

$$\gamma_1(\xi_1^*) = 0, \quad \gamma_2(\xi_2^*) = \omega_2, \quad \eta_1(\zeta_1^*) = 0, \quad \eta_2(\zeta_2^*) = \omega_1$$

for some $\xi_1^*, \xi_2^* \in [0, \omega_1]$ and $\zeta_1^*, \zeta_2^* \in [0, \omega_2]$. Furthermore, let $p_1 \in C^{1,0}(\overline{\Omega}), p_2 \in C^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega}), p_0, q \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \phi_i \in C^2([0, \omega_2]), \psi_i \in C^2([0, \omega_1])$ (i = 1, 2), and let

$$p_1(x_1, x_2) \ge 0$$
, $p_2(x_1, x_2) \ge 0$, $p_0(x_1, x_2) \le 0$ for $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{D}$.

Then problem (12), (2) is uniquely solvable, and its solution in a unique way can be continued to a solution of equation (12) in the domain Ω .

Furthermore, if

$$(-1)^{i}\gamma_{i}''(x_{1}) < 0 \ for \ x_{1} \in (0,\omega_{1}) \ (i=1,2)$$

$$(15)$$

and

$$(-1)^{i}\eta_{i}''(x_{2}) < 0 \text{ for } x_{2} \in (0,\omega_{2}) \quad (i=1,2),$$
(16)

then the solution of problem (12), (2) can be continued to a solution of equation (12) in the closed domain $\overline{\Omega}$.

Theorem 4. Let \mathbf{D} be an open **convex** domain same as in Theorem 3, and let $p_1 \in C^{1,0,1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R})$, $p_2 \in C^{0,1,1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R})$, $p_0 \in C(\overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R})$, $q \in C(\overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R}^4)$, and a nonnegative number M be such that

$$p_1(x_1, x_2, z) \ge 0, \quad p_2(x_1, x_2, z) \ge 0 \text{ for } (x_1, x_2, z) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R},$$
$$p_0(x_1, x_2, z)z \le M \text{ for } (x_1, x_2, z) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R},$$
$$\lim_{\|\mathbf{z}\| \to +\infty} \frac{q(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{z})}{\|\mathbf{z}\|} = 0 \text{ uniformly on } \overline{\mathbf{D}}.$$

Then problem (13), (2) has at least one solution. Moreover, if inequalities (15) and (16) hold, then every solution of problem (13), (2) belongs to $C^{2,2}(\overline{\mathbf{D}})$.

Corollary 1. Let **D** be an open **convex** domain same as in Theorem 3, let $p_1 \in C^{1,0}(\overline{\mathbf{D}})$, $p_2 \in C^{0,1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}})$, $p_0 \in C(\overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R})$, $q \in C(\overline{\mathbf{D}})$, and let

$$(p_0(x_1, x_2, z_1) - p_0(x_1, x_2, z_1))(z_1 - z_2) \le 0 \text{ for } (x_1, x_2, z) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Then problem (14), (2) has one and only one solution. Moreover, if inequalities (15) and (16) hold, then the solution of problem (13), (2) belongs to $C^{2,2}(\overline{\mathbf{D}})$.

Remark 3. Under the conditions of Theorem 3 the functions p_0 , p_1 and p_2 may have arbitrary growth order with respect to the phase variable. As an example, consider the equation

$$u^{(2,2)} = \left(e^{\alpha_1(x_1,x_2)u^2}u^{(1,0)}\right)^{(1,0)} + \left(e^{\alpha_2(x_1,x_2)u^3}u^{(0,1)}\right)^{(0,1)} - u^{2n+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \beta_k(x_1,x_2)u^k + \left(1 + |u| + |u^{(1,0)}| + |u^{(0,1)}| + |u^{(1,1)}|\right)^{1-\varepsilon},$$
(17)

where $\alpha_1 \in C^{1,0}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}), \ \alpha_2 \in C^{0,1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}), \ \beta_k \in C(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \ (k = 0, \dots, 2n)$ are arbitrary functions, n is an arbitrary positive integer, and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. By Theorem 4, problem (17), (2) has at least one solution.

References

- T. Kiguradze, On the correctness of the Dirichlet problem in a characteristic rectangle for fourth order linear hyperbolic equations. *Georgian Math. J.* 6 (1999), no. 5, 447–470.
- [2] T. Kiguradze, On the Dirichlet problem in a characteristic rectangle for fourth order linear singular hyperbolic equations. *Georgian Math. J.* 6 (1999), no. 6, 537–552.
- [3] T. Kiguradze and V. Lakshmikantham, On the Dirichlet problem for fourth-order linear hyperbolic equations. Nonlinear Anal. 49 (2002), no. 2, Ser. A: Theory Methods, 197–219.
- [4] T. Kiguradze and V. Lakshmikantham, On the Dirichlet problem in a characteristic rectangle for higher order linear hyperbolic equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* 50 (2002), no. 8, Ser. A: Theory Methods, 1153–1178.